INTRODUCTION

This book entitled "7 Church Age Book - Heresies Injected, Dr. Vayle's & Kocourek's Heresies exposed", relates to the 7 church age book-C.A.B. which was grammarized by Dr. Lee Vayle for W.M. Branham, Malachi 4:5-6, which was printed and published weeks before the prophet died in December 1965. It bears the prophet's name as the author.

This work exposes three major errors that were injected into the revelation of the original church age messages preached by the prophet in 1960, which represent a part of a long series of messages based upon Revelation chapters 1-4, entitled —The Revelation Of Jesus Christl.

The revelation of the end time message is perfect, inclusive of the messages of the 7 church ages on tapes and printed copies. Thus this work is not to correct the teachings of the prophet but is isolated to the C.A.B. and three major errors injected into that inspired book of W.M. Branham. It is not saying that the C.A.B. is to be rejected as unscriptural and a book of Dr. Vayle and full of heresies. Though some have taken that attitude, I am not identified with such infidelity. I declare that the revelation of the church age is one of the greatest preached by the prophet. Therefore, this work is aimed at protecting the message as a whole, the original revelation of the 7 church age messages and the inspired doctrines contained in the C.A.B. To accomplish this goal, those three major errors of the C.A.B. must be identified and exposed as heresies.

This was done by Dr. Vayle and not the prophet, thus I am directly exposing his heresies injected into the C.A.B. These errors were noticed by local ministers since 1972, and by foreign ministers since the publication of the book. They were corrected from our pulpit but not made public since that time, because of our respect for Dr. Vayle and the C.A.B., also bearing in mind the name of the author; Brother William Branham, and the stigma that it may bring upon him and his message.

However, a small article was published on E.O.D.H.-Book 12 page 89 to correct a heresy, and at the same time giving Dr. Vayle due respect and excusing him for his mistake.


Pastor Brian Kocourek, representative and successor of Dr. Lee Vayle's ministry, objected to that article in August 2008, four years after it was written and published in 2004. I started a discourse with him on the subject of the 7th seal/7 thunders. I invited him to engage me in a friendly discourse on the other errors injected into the C.A.B., which he accepted.

Those discourses make up the greater part of this booklet, inclusive of Pastor Kocourek's responses which were very hostile and unscriptural. He based his defense on a hideous **lie that I am correcting the prophet**. In the process of our discourse he preached the subject from his pulpit and placed it on his website. I rebuked him for being a coward and less than a man.

I hold Pastor Kocourek responsible for this publicity of Dr. Vayle. What he has advertised to the public by his website must continue. I am obliged to publicly defend the message and our publication from the lies of Kocourek. Thus this work includes other heresies of Kocourek and Dr. Vayle, exposed by the Word and message of Malachi 4:5-6. I cannot go overboard on respect to Dr. Vayle and allow people to miss the rapture. All love him, but he is wrong, and Pastor Kocourek is walking in his errors and establishing them.

I trust that this work will be of edification to message believers internationally. I am assured that many will be delivered from the three major heresies injected into the C.A.B. Two of such heresies are designed of Satan to keep the people of God earthbound as they have to do with the token, which all must receive or perish. They also have to do with the 7 thunders for translation faith and power for the rapture. Nevertheless, the elect are predestinated to know the truth and hear God's voice.

John 10:4-5 < ...and the sheep follow him: for they know his voice. And a stranger will they not follow, but will flee from him: for they know not the voice of strangers.>



7 CHURCH AGE BOOK- HERESIES INJECTED > DR. VAYLE'S AND KOCOUREK'S HERESIES <

FOREWORD

Pastor Brian Kocourek has exposed to the public Dr. Lee Vayle and his errors injected into the seven church age book-C.A.B., although E.O.D.H., its authors and other message ministers, kept a low profile on this matter for decades. His mean action is capable of causing the aged elder to have a heart attack. Pastor Kocourek is successor, defender and the poorest watchdog of his master, Dr. Vayle, at 94 years of age.

E.O.D.H. was obliged to publicly defend the Word, the prophet and his message because of the barbarous act of Dr. Vayle's friendly companion, and high tempered watchdog. He should be ashamed of himself for this mischievous deed to his mentor, since we ministers were not mindful to publish Dr. Vayle and were careful to excuse him when his name was mentioned in any article. This is evident by E.O.D.H book 12, page 89. In book 13: page 96, the exposition of his heresies was in an impersonal manner. Enough was written to bring deliverance to message believers and to follow in the line of respect that W.M. Branham displayed to Dr. Vayle, though he argued with him for several hours on doctrinal teachings. Dr. Vayle's heresies were handled with such wisdom, that his watchdog failed to bark because he fell asleep. It is documented in chapter seven of this booklet.

Pastor Kocourek; —Reverendl, as he would like to be addressed, was an E.O.D.H. subscriber and in contact with me, until he took notice of the article in book 12, which made mention of Dr. Vayle's name in connection with an error injected into the C.A.B. If he was a real protector of Dr. Vayle's ministry he would have noticed that article since 2004 when it was published. But he awoke in a stupor only a few weeks ago and rushed in where angels fear to tread. He strained at a gnat and swallowed a camel, as Jesus said to the Pharisees. He was speechlessly defeated though he resorted to lies, deceit and hypocrisy to continue his attacks against the truth, and the message of God's prophet, documented in E.O.D.H.

Why did Reverend Kocourek expose Dr. Vayle to the world? I believe his motives and objective were selfishly based. He wanted to make it big in the eyes of the message world as the ordained defender of the message after Dr. Vayle; also to establish himself to 183 nations; he boasted about, that listens to him as the qualified student of Dr. Vayle. To achieve this goal, he tried to destroy the integrity, credibility and popularity of E.O.D.H. God humbled him because he exalted himself above the stars of God. He failed to ascend above the clouds and was cast down to the ground.

After I closed my discourse on the C.A.B. with Pastor Brian Kocourek, he cunningly tried to prevent the public exposition of his heresies, thus he responded angrily saying that the people will not believe me, my attitude cannot be hidden, I am exposed and I cannot escape it, my website is a tool of Satan, not to write him back until I have something good to say, my soul is mean and hateful, threatened me with Hell, the white throne judgment and blasphemy; Hebrews 6: 4-6, in his previous statements. He tried to pull me into a wild argument, but I don't argue.

From his numerous letters I perceived that he became restless, possibly sleepless but certainly fearful of his exposure to the public and being identified with his heresies. He again slipped into his sheep clothing saying that he is praying for me that God fills my soul with love and remove the hate, and I needed anger management.

He slipped out of his sheep wool again in a desperate effort to stop me from exposing him to the public on my website.

He kept my name off my discourse with him, which he posted on his website, which he threatened to advertise if I don't repent of my exposition of the C.A.B. errors. I refused to repent about the truth, several weeks ago. He panicked and became furious when he saw my book cover and pictures of himself and Dr. Vayle on my website and a notice that says, —Coming soonl.

Pastor Kocourek's greatest fear is for the publication of his arrogant, baseless and heretical defense, which he did not post on his website. His heresies were thoroughly exposed. Thus he dreads to face his own defense, which is also heretical. His subscribers would see him without his sheep wool.

When notice was given to Pastor Kocourek about publishing his heresies, he responded as follows. He sent a link to me. He isolated it to me and his friends and hid it from public view. Since he borrowed and employed a carnal weapon of war, from Pentecostal heretics, against the truth. When I clicked on it, it read as follows:

Pastor Kocourek: "Br. Dalton, Like your game... I'm serving it back to you.... Br. Brian. MESSAGE-DOCTRINE Word of the Hour Free Message Search Download Message Heretic Hunter exposed as worst Message Heretic of all see article 1 article 2 Our Website is being viewed in 183 countries."

The above is an expression of the true nature of this man who claims to have the **love of God** in him and is praying for his enemy, Dalton Bruce. He is based on the law: an eye for and eye and a tooth for a tooth, and blood for blood, as he so vividly expressed above.

The terminology "Heretic Hunter" is popularly used and employed in Pentecostal circles among heretics that hate and despise any true servant of God who exposes heresies and corrects false prophets, false Christs and the modern evangelists by the Word of God. Such men placed Brother Branham and many other message preachers in the same category. Pastor Kocourek, in his desperate effort to save himself from exposition of heresies, borrowed the same terminology to defend himself and crucify the editor of E.O.D.H. We must be reminded that Judas went to the Pharisees who arrested Jesus, which brought about his crucifixion. It is evident that the same spirit is in operation with a desperate effort to destroy the truth by the same terminology of Pentecostalism.

Therefore Pastor Kocourek is stooped in Pentecostalism. He brought over his birth and baptism from the so-called Pentecostals. He fights the Word just the same as all Pentecostals who hate William Branham. He even said that we are not called to be Branhamites. Thank God, we, authors of E.OD.H. and all our subscribers count it joy to bear the reproach of the message of Christ and be called Branhamites, instead of being identified with the heresies of Kocourek. If his interpretation is right, then all the apostles, and Jesus Himself, were —heresy huntersl, because they exposed all the heresies of the Pharisees. Jesus, in Matthew 23, exposed their heresies. Iraeneus was against heresies.

Such are documented in the Pre-Nicean fathers. Every messenger that followed him in the church ages exposed the heresies of religions and message heretics in their day. Likewise W.M. Branham hunted heresies and exposed the Catholic Church and all other organizations, inclusive of Pentecostalism. Therefore Pastor Kocourek is guilty of accusing such great men as —Heresy hunters. He must repent or perish. Woe be unto him for his damnable

heresy above, which identifies me as the —worst Message Heretic of all. Nevertheless, with great joy, I am happy to be identified with the Lord and His messengers. Brother Paul said:

Acts 24:14 <...after the way which **they call heresy**, so worship I the God of my fathers, believing all things which are written in the law and in the prophets.>

As a drowning man seeking pity, he grabbed on to a last straw. This poor deluded soul who made Dr. Vayle his idol, by which his eyes were blinded, indicated action by the law, and blamed me as a thief because of the two pictures I used from his website. He sent me a copyright notice, protecting what, I do not know, because it failed to specify. Articles on his website are freely downloaded without any notice of restriction. This is also specified above on his website. His letter stated that I have written permission but I am a thief. That makes all who download articles, thieves.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: "And now I have caught you as a Thief lifting pictures from our webiste with written permission to do so." {Letter dated 11th October 2008, 2:46 am}. [Note time of e-mail letter.]

He is not sleeping but I am. Because of his lying tongue, evident by the lie he told about Sister Meda Branham, I am skeptical of his permission. **I am not ready to go to Jail**, and he has a spirit that is not beyond this evil deed, contrary to 1 Corinthians 6. He no doubt has enough money to sue me at the law; and I may not have the money to buy myself out of prison. Though I am precautious about his spirit and his meanness, he must be seen by our subscribers in identification of his heresies, for hearing of him is insufficient.

This is a positive identification of the spirit that motivates Pastor Kocourek. It is a political spirit of Satan, which possessed the Pharisees. They delivered Jesus to the Roman law and cried, —Crucify him.I The same rage of temper is expressed by Pastor Kocourek, insomuch that I can hear in his voice, —Crucify him.I He accused me of ravishing the wife and daughter of Heretic Gan of Singapore, who was never here, neither did I go there. Is he a supporter of Gan and his heresies? It seems so. He reopened his discourse on the subject, which we already discussed, boasted of his capability of finding and exposing heresies from my website. He is a confused man at the present time, like the raging waves of the sea, foaming out his own shame. All his efforts have failed to stop his exposition. They cannot prevent his exposition of heresies. He exposed Dr. Vayle on his website, but he excluded my name for selfish motives. The exposition of his heresies must be posted for the deliverance of God's people internationally.

E.O.D.H. RESPONSE

"You are exposed! Your defense is departed! Your carnal judgment is of the Devil! God does not hear the prayer of a liar!! Shame on you! You took yourself to the public, not me! Now you cannot bear your shame, you big hypocrite! More exposition is coming soon! You are a shameless fellow, even about your spelling.

Don't waste your time, God don't hear the prayer of liars! You are hard of understanding. You want to lie against a man, making him anti-message, anti-Branham, and anti-C.A.B and then be a hypocrite and say you are praying? Don't forget to check my website and what is coming soon with your and Dr. Vayle's picture. Amen!

All sympathizers of Dr. Vayle must hold Reverend Kocourek responsible for the publicity of the grand, famous and beloved author of the C.A.B. Contact him, since he published the name of the aged elder and kept my name off my discourse. Let him explain why he acted against Dr. Vayle in such a wicked manner. Pastor Kocourek acted like he knows more than Dr. Vayle, as if he is no longer alert and cannot handle his affairs. He changed the meaning of his statements in the C.A.B. If he does so now, what will he do when Dr. Vayle departs? This is not hard to understand seeing how he perverted, denied and refused to acknowledge the revelation of the prophet. It is evident that he is wrapped in self and satanic delusions.

Sympathizers of Rev. Kocourek, supporters and defenders of all heresies exposed in this work are free to challenge the same, but must support all objections by the Word of God and message of the prophet. I am not interested in suggestions and opinions. Heresies cannot defend heresies. Be advised that all such defenses and challenges against this exposition will of necessity be made public with the names of the authors, since they

automatically engage themselves in this public battle which continues until Christ's enemies becomes his footstool. Amen. Farewell.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	Ι
Foreword	III

CHAPTER ONE

7 Church Age Book- Heresies Injected – >
Dr. Lee Vayle's & Kocourek's Heresies Exposed<1
Seven church age revelation1
Dr. Vayle argued with W.M.B2
Contrary doctrines injected into C.A.B. made public
Nicolaitanism4
C.A.B. periods of church ages altered – adding trend
Kocourek – challenged book 12 – Dr. Vayle's article
Kocourek's testimony
Three major heresies
Arrogant response7
My letter to Kocourek7
Kocourek took private discourse to website8
Lie of Kocourek on Sister Branham9
Evil to Dr. Vayle
Discourse on the 7 church age book; errors exposed10
Discourse terms and conditions10
Kocourek's published policy10

Declaration: not challenging C.A.B. only three errors......11

MARRIAGE & DIVORCE-C.A.B. PAGE 104

ERROR ONE – Heretical Articles Nos. 688 to 690

Heretical Article No. 688: An adulteress is no longer married12
Heretical Article No. 689: An adulteress can't be reunited with her husband13
Heretical Article No. 690: Adam's sin: He took back his Eve14
Dr. Vayle's Heresy - Deuteronomy 24:1-414

CHAPTER TWO

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S RESPONSE >E.O.D.H ANSWERS<

Heretical Articles Nos. 691 to 705

Heretical Article No. 691: All spoken in C.A.B. is W.M.B's teachings	18
Heretical Article No. 692: Vayle's errors ascribed to W.M.B	18
Heretical Article No. 693: C.A.B. full of heresies	18
Heretical Article No. 694: All written in the C.A.B. is right	18
Heretical Article No. 695: Exposition of errors is an attack on the C.A.B	19
Heretical Article No. 696: E.O.D.H. and its author swallowed lies	20
Heretical Article No. 697: E.O.D.H. don't do homework	20
Heretical Article No. 698: Errors of C.A.B. are not Dr. Vayle's	20
Heretical Article No. 699: E.O.D.H. brandished prophet	21
Heretical Article No. 700: E.O.D.H. questions prophet's teachings	22
Heretical Article No. 701: Dr. Vayle, by the Holy Ghost, corrected the	
prophet on new birth teaching	22
Heretical Article No. 702: E.O.D.H. lied about C.A.B	22
Heretical Article No. 703: E.O.D.H. is fit for burning	23
Heretical Article No. 704: E.O.D.H. challenged the prophet's teachings	23
Heretical Article No. 705: Pay dearly for exposing C.A.B. errors	

CHAPTER THREE

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S WEBSITE RESPONSE ERROR ONE >E.O.D.H. ANSWERS< Heretical Articles Nos. 706 to 721

Introduction	25
Kocourek's website response	
Carnal weapons of war	
History - C.A.B.	

Heretical Article No. 706: Language is stinging; without love	31
Heretical Article No. 707: Denial of Adam's sin	32
Heretical Article No. 708: Adam's sin - disobedience to God	32
Heretical Article No. 709: Adulterous woman is no longer married	32
Heretical Article No. 710: Romans 7:3 does not mean married	33
Heretical Article No. 711: Demanding a scripture is not a spirit of love	34
Heretical Article No. 712: Adultery is good as divorcement	35

Heretical Article No. 713: Jesus did not tell the adulterous woman
to go back to her husband
Heretical Article No. 714: Women allowed to commit adultery once
Heretical Article No. 715: E.O.D.H. makes it easy for sisters
to commit adultery
Heretical Article No. 716: Uncleanness means she was not a virgin
Heretical Article No. 717: Divorced woman is free to remarry
Heretical Article No. 718: Defiled woman's second marriage is legitimate 38
Heretical Article No. 719: Deuteronomy 24:1-4 doesn't mean what it say39
Heretical Article No. 720: Exposing C.A.B. errors is an attack on W.M.B 40
Heretical Article No. 721: E.O.D.H. Book 12 is a personal attack
on Dr. Vayle40

CHAPTER FOUR

THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST- NEW BIRTH

AN EAR TO HEAR – C.A.B. PAGES 139-148 ERROR TWO - Heretical articles nos. 722 to 723

Introduction	.42
Heretical Article No. 722: Baptism H.G. to receive message - ear to hear	47
A He that hath an ear to hear- evidence of Holy Ghost baptism	47
B I Corinthians 2: 6-16 misinterpreted	.49
Saints spiritually discerned without the Pentecostal experience	50
Heretical Article No. 723: New birth & baptism; one and the same	.50
Dr. Vayle versus W. M. Branham.	.50
Jesus and apostles - born again then baptism	.52
Pyramid teaching- born again then baptism	.54

CHAPTER FIVE

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S RESPONSE ERROR TWO

Heretical Articles Nos. 724 to 737

Heretical Article No. 724: Strategy to show E.O.D.H. is anti-message57
Heretical Article No. 725: The C.A.B. is not saying what it says about Spirit
filled receiving the Word for the Age
Heretical Article No. 726: A person has to have the Spirit first
to receive the Word, denied60
Heretical Article No. 727: E.O.D.H. is challenging the prophet's statements60
Heretical Article No. 728: The Baptism H.G. is not the article of Pentecost 60
Heretical Article No. 729: The Baptism is the new birth
Heretical Article No. 730: E.O.D.H.'s author cannot read
Heretical Article No. 731: No spiritual revelation before the Baptism
Heretical Article No. 732: The spiritual revelation of new birth is the
Baptism64
Heretical Article No. 733: Old Testament saints had baptism like the day of
Pentecost
Heretical Article No. 734: No eternal life prior to Baptism H. G66
Heretical Article No. 735: Peter not born again in Matthew 16
Heretical Article No. 736: Two baptisms of the Spirit
Heretical Article No. 737: Born again experience by a corruptible seed70

CHAPTER SIX

SEVENTH SEAL/SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES ERROR THREE > E.O.D.H. ANSWERS <

Heretical Articles Nos. 738 to 745

CHAPTER SEVEN

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S RESPONSE – SEVEN THUNDERS > E.O.D.H ANSWERS <

Heretical Articles Nos. 746 to 752

CHAPTER EIGHT

SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES AND ITS GROWTH PASTOR KOCOUREK'S ANGRY RESPONSES

Growth of seven thunders heresies	15
Dr. Vayle's suggestive terms	94
Pastor Kocourek's angry responses - >E.O.D.H. Answers<	95
Summary1	03

CHAPTER NINE

SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES

EXPOSED 2004

Heretical Articles Nos. 509 to 514

Dr. Vayle's misconception grew106
Heretical Articles No. 509: 7 th seal is a part of the thunders or a thunder107
Heretical Articles No. 510: The seventh seal/seven thunders are the last part of the shout
Heretical Articles No. 511: The last part of 7 th seal broken to the public in 1965

by the rapture sermon	108
Heretical Articles No. 512: Revelation 10: 1-7 is the seventh seal, the message	ge1946-
1965	
Heretical Articles No. 513: The rapture is the seventh seal	109
Heretical Articles No. 514: The seals are the message and the capstone	110

CHAPTER TEN

SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES

EXPOSED 2008

Heretical Articles Nos. 753 to 762

Heretical Article No. 753: The revelation of Melchisedec was part or one of the
seven thunders112
Heretical Article No. 754: The mystery of the seven thunders is the mystery of
Revelation 10: 7112
Heretical Article No. 755: The seven thunders and the seals are the same113
Heretical article No. 756: The seventh seal was opened in secret in 1963114
Heretical Article No. 757: Rev. 10: 1 is not the Lion of the tribe of Judah115
Heretical Article No. 758: The rapture is in a threefold manner115
Heretical Article No. 759: The seventh seal was broken to the public117
Heretical Article No. 760: The Rapture is secret, and the Bride gets out of here
One and two at a time118
Heretical Article No. 761: The voice that followed the sign was the rapture
message119
Heretical Artcile No. 762: The ushering in of the millennium is now120

CHAPTER ELEVEN

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES

Heretical Articles Nos. 763 to 783

Introduction	
Restless Kocourek	122
Dr. Vayle blamed for nasty question	
Self exalted nature	123
-Prophetl - Crocodile prayers	.123
Unscriptural suggestions on website	123
Dr. Vayle never wanted his sermons taped or put on books	124
Taught wrong; don't listen to me said Dr. Vayle	124
One man identification of Christ's appearing	125
Two different interpretations	
Heresies of Pastor Kocourek	126
Heresies of Pastor Kocourek and Dr. Vayle	127
Pastor Kocourek's heresies exposed	128
Heretical Article No. 763: Dr. Vayle received his doctorate from God	128
Heretical Article No. 764: No man understands like Dr. Vayle	129
Heretical Article No. 765: Message ministers and believers should take their	
questions to Dr. Vayle	129
Heretical Article No. 766: Pastor Kocourek was authorized to answer the que of ministers worldwide	stions
Heretical Article No. 767: Anyone who challenges errors injected into the C. doesn't have any light in them	А.В .
	121
Heretical Article No. 768: Dr. Vayle is a gospel writer	
Heretical Article No. 769: God has never raised up a man from one area and t	nen
another from another part of the World	

Heretical Article No. 770: Light never continued from men who did not know the
Messenger
Heretical Article No. 771: Light continued unto other generations, through those
who knew and studied under the messenger
Heretical Article No. 772: Kocourek is faithfully teaching the truth of the
message
Heretical Article No. 773: Brian Kocourek; spiritual leader amongst message
ministers
Heretical Article No. 774: Kocourek qualified to teach the message, training up men worldwide
Heretical Article No. 775: In Revelation 10; land and sea refers to America and Europe
Heretical Article No. 776: Difference between seals opening & revealing138
Heretical Article No. 777: Souls of Old Testament saints awakened before their bodies
Heretical Article No. 778: The Spirit that raised Jesus from the dead was in the Old Testament saints
Heretical Article No. 779: Same Holy Ghost article in Old & New Testament
Heretical Article No. 780: If have Word in you, you have the Holy Ghost142
Heretical Article No. 781: Bride not made up of only New Testament
saints142
Heretical Article No. 782: A world-wide revival is now taking place143

CHAPTER TWELVE

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES

RAPTURE, RESURRECTION STARTED - PAROUSIA

Heretical Articles Nos. 783 to 788

Foundation of rapture heresies – Parousia presence	147
Heretical Article No. 783: The Rapture began in Luther	.148
Heretical Article No. 784: The resurrection has already started	.138
Heretical Article No. 785: Voice of resurrection wakes the sleeping virgins	.150
Heretical Article No. 786: Rapture in 3 stages of shout, voice & trumpet	151
Heretical Article No. 787: The rapture has already started	.154
Heretical Article No. 788: The lamb is making intercession on the Father's thron	ne of
judgment155	

CHAPTER THIRTEEN

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES - GODHEAD Heretical Articles Nos. 786 to 791

Introduction
Kocourek exposed Vayle
Effort to expose oneness heresy – Blunders
Kocourek & Dr. Vayle – godhead160
Kocourek's heresies
Dr. Vayle's teachings – godhead162
Exposition in love
Heretical Article No. 786: Jesus the son of God created in Genesis 1: 3 164
Heretical Article No. 787: Jesus birthed in Genesis
Heretical Article No. 788: The beginning was in Genesis when God birthed
Jesus166
Heretical Article No. 789: Two beings in the beginning; one is not God168

Heretical Article No. 790: God was not even in Jesus until at Jordan River...172 Heretical Article No. 791: The one in Colossians one is not God......174

HERESIES OF DR. LEE VAYLE'S 1969 MESSAGE: "THE 7 SEALS AND THE 7 THUNDERS"

Heretical Articles Nos. 792 to 797

Dr. Vayle wrong in his doctrine, right in his spirit Heretical Article No. 792: Literal tent vision cannot fit in the Word Heretical Article No. 793: Tent vision; symbol of 7 th seal & part of	
	181
Heretical Article No. 794: Half hour silence: 1955 to 1976	.181
Heretical Article No. 795: Forgiveness of sin is a great mystery	
Heretical Article No. 796: Marriage and divorce, symbol of seventh seal	.182
Heretical Article No. 797: No preacher has any vindication	.183

7 CHURCH AGE BOOK - HERESIES INJECTED

>DR. LEE VAYLE'S & KOCOUREK'S HERESIES EXPOSED≤

CHAPTER ONE

SEVEN CHURCH AGE REVELATION

Except the revelation of the seven seals, the exposition of the seven church ages is the **greatest revelation** that was ever preached by the prophet, William Marrion Branham – W.M.B. in 1960. It exposed the antichrist in a manner as was never in human history. It paved the way for the greater exposition of the antichrist by the loosing of the seven seals in 1963. The Prophet having this knowledge desired to have the seven church age messages documented in a book that would include most major doctrines of his message, as the Laodicean messenger. (Revelation 3: 14, 10: 7).

DR. VAYLE CHOSEN EDITOR

A good friend and associate of the prophet was chosen for this most noble task. He was a Baptist minister whom Brother Branham commended as a scholar, theologian and a teacher. Dr. Vayle was not very acquainted with the end time message, and did not even understand the need to be rebaptized until after the seals in 1963. In contrast, he worked on the book, not being baptized in Christian baptism according to Acts 2: 38 for about two years.

Quote W.M.B.: "Brother **Lee Vayle** was just here today. I **baptized** him today in the baptismal service here today." (Paul a prisoner 17/7/63).

BOOK PUBLISHED 1965

Brother Branham said that Dr. Vayle and his wife worked together in the grammarizing of the book, after he sent the seven church age messages to them. He said the book took about four years. In August of 1965, and even up to November 1965, the prophet was still talking about the book coming very soon. How many of the books were in circulation before the prophet's death in December of 1965, I am not sure. However, the church age tapes were in circulation since they were preached in 1960.

DR. VAYLE ARGUED WITH W.M.B.

Dr. Vayle had his own opinion on the scripture and he argued on different occasions on various subjects with the prophet, before and even during his grammarizing of the seven church age messages. This can be clearly seen by the following statement of the prophet.

Quote W.M.B.: E-55 One of the managers, **Dr. Vayle and I was arguing** that for an hour and a half the other day. He tried to say hope and faith is the same thing. I said, "No, hope is what you're looking for, and faith is what you got by hoping." That's right. Certainly. It's not a hope any longer when you've got it. It's yours. It's in possession; you got it just as happy as you can be, for it is the substance of things hoped for. (Sirs We Would See Jesus 57-1211.)

Quote: 194 Brother Lee Vayle, if you get ahold of this, here it is. That's the only question **we disagree** on; he believes the church will go through the judgment. I don't see it. I don't believe it. (Oneness 62-0211).

Here is Dr. Vayle's own testimony concerning what the prophet said about him that he don't see eye to eye with him:

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: Our own daughter being a woman; divorced and remarried. What am I going to say to somebody in this church?... I was dead set against it, and Brother Branham said, "Carol, don't talk to your dad about this because we don't even see eye to eye." He said, "I'll deal with it." Evidently that is what he told her because he dealt with it with me... (Lee Vayle – Questions & Answers #10 Pastors #10 - Marriage and divorce).

Please note that this incident could have only happened after God forgave the people for their marital problems, through Brother Branham's experience on the mountain (Marriage And Divorce).

NOT ASKED FOR OPINION

The service of Dr. Vayle was solicited by the prophet to grammarize the seven church age tapes. Dr. Vayle was **not asked to inject his opinion or correct doctrines**, nor take away from the revelation of the seven church ages preached by Brother Branham. He made it clear on several statements that he was to only correct grammar, and he even illustrated the items that should be cut off. It amounted to his Kentuckian slangs, example: —totel, —fetchl, —haintl, —yonderl etc. By the consent of the prophet, certain doctrines were added to the book, which were not on the original messages. They harmonized without inconsistency with the message as a whole.

CONTRARY DOCTRINES INJECTED INTO C.A.B. MADE PUBLIC

Dr. Vayle did a most marvelous work in formatting and grammarizing the seven church age messages. He was instructed by the prophet personally on important doctrinal issues. The work of Dr. Vayle is unique and scripturally right. Nevertheless, Dr. Vayle did contrary to the wishes of the prophet and injected into the book several major doctrines which are inconsistent, incorrect and contrary to the teachings on the seven church age tapes and the message of the prophet. They are **pertinent to salvation** and include: the new birth, baptism of the Holy Ghost, seven thunders and the rapture. Thus their true identities are —heresies injected into the true teachings of the prophet, documented in the grammarized version of the seven church age messages. Our subject of —Heresies

injected into the grammarized version of the seven church age book-C.A.B.I, is isolated to such heresies injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Lee Vayle and not the original messages preached by Brother Branham.

FIRST TIME MADE PUBLIC

This work is to expose such heresies, by the written Word and teachings of God's prophet, William Branham, Malachi 4: 5-6. We embark upon this most controversial subject and feel fully equipped to face any opposition against the facts that we are obliged to bring to the **public for the first time**, though these heresies were thoroughly exposed in 1989 and kept amongst ourselves at Bethel —the House of Godl and sister churches. Because of a recent discourse with Dr. Vayle's representative, Pastor Brian Kocourek, it has become necessary to bring to the attention of all message believers this most important subject. Message ministers and believers must be informed of such erroneous teachings that are cunningly injected into the teachings of the prophet, contained in the seven church age book. Many have accepted and established those heresies in their churches, since it is the prophet's book, edited for him by Dr. Lee Vayle.

NICOLAITANISM

It is amazing to comprehend and understand that the very **spirit of nicolaitanism** that was exposed by the prophet in this very revelation of the seven church age, made its way into his book by the heresies of Dr. Vayle. That spirit has conquered many souls by making them believe that they are ready for the rapture when they are not. This work aims at bringing deliverance to such souls, internationally.

I CHALLENGE ANY MAN

I emphatically state here that all the teachings of the prophet on the subject of the seven church age are —Thus saith the Lord. All such teachings which are included in the grammarized version of the seven church age book holds the same credibility. Thus I am not saying that the entire book is full of heresies, erroneous and to be rejected. However, I state in a clear manner, without respect to the person of men, that Dr. Vayle injected errors and heresies into the church age book. I stand ready to defend this work, and further challenge any man to prove otherwise, as I have challenged Dr. Lee Vayle and his student: Pastor Brian Kocourek.

C.A.B. PERIODS OF CHURCH AGES ALTERED ADDING TREND

Dr. Vayle is about 94 years of age. His trend of adding his own opinion to the revelation of the church age messages of the prophet has not changed. He has altered the period of time of several of the church ages, after the church age book was published with the periods of time for each church age, as inspired by God to the prophet. He has also made an issue on the seven thunders, saying that the revelation of it is the rapture sermon of Brother Branham of 1965, the rapture has started, the rapture is in a threefold manner, the seventh seal is a part of the thunders or a thunder, the revelation of Melchesidec was part or one of the seven thunders, the last part of the seventh seal was broken to the public in 1965, the ushering in of the millennium is now etc. More on this later in this work, and also other heresies.

CHURCH AGE PERIODS ALTERED

Brother Branham's Church Age Periods: Then after the Ephesian Church Age, from A.D. 53 until A.D. 170, then started in the Smyrna Church Age which lasted from A.D. 170 until A.D. 312. Then come in the Pergamos Church Age begin at 312 and lasted till A.D. 606. Then come in the Thyatira Church Age, and the church age of Thyatira begin at 606 and went to 1520, the dark ages. And then the Sardis Church Age begin at 1520 and lasted till 1750, the Lutheran age. Then from 1750, the next age come in was the Philadelphian, Wesley age; that begin at 1750 and lasted till 1906. And at 1906 the Laodicean church age set in, and I don't know when it'll end, but I predict it'll be done by 1977. I predict, not the Lord told me. (Ephesian Church Age 60-1205).

Dr. Vayle change the periods for the Sardisean age, Thyatirean age and the Smynaean age, and said that an exact date cannot be set for the ending of the Ephesian age.

Dr. Vayle's Church Age Periods: Paul was both the Messenger to the **Ephesian Age...** We cannot set an exact date as to when this age ended. there is not need for an exact date, as the Ages, like all other of God's eras of time seem to overlap...Judging by the actual wane of power this age was not longer than about 170 A.D. Continuing to fix the Church Ages by referring to Scripture and history... Sardis...This Age is well known to have existed from about 1550 to around 1750... the Fourth Age... The darkest of all the Ages...This age lasted from about the turn of the sixth century to the middle of the sixteenth ... the Third Age... the Church at Pergamos... It last from the turn of the fourth century to the beginning of the seventh... the Smyrnean Age lasted from 200 to 300 A.D. (Twentieth Century Prophet. The Messenger To The Laodicean Church Age - Fixing the Church Ages Historically).

KOCOUREK CHALLENGED BOOK 12 - DR. VAYLE'S ARTICLE

In August 2008, I received a letter from Pastor Brian Kocourek in opposition to a very humble statement that we published about an article of the seven church age book, page 327, concerning the revealing of the seven thunders (E.O.D.H. Book 12 page 89). This started a discourse between me and Pastor Kocourek, who is hailed as the one replacing Dr. Vayle and his ministry.

KOCOUREK'S TESTIMONY

This is a brief testimony of Pastor Brian Kocourek: He pastors Grace Fellowship Tabernacle 2380 Jefferson Street highland Heights, Ky 41076. He came to the message in 1974; played football while under the message; heard Dr. Vayle preach in 1976; got convicted of playing football. He has a website: MESSAGEDOCTRINE.NET where he publishes his and Dr. Vayle's sermons. He has a worldwide ministry that has gone into 183 countries. He has travelled to all inhabited continents of the world and instructs hundreds of ministers worldwide on doctrine. He said that Dr. Vayle has been his mentor for many years, and therefore, feels a commitment to help present the truth concerning Vayle's teachings. Dr. Vayle authorized him to answer ministers' questions because of his confidence in him knowing the message as good as any other man.

VAYLE CONTACTED JACK

E.O.D.H **contacted Dr. Vayle** around 2002 on one of the errors injected into the grammarized version of the seven church age book, and he referred us to Brother Kocourek. Many quotations were sent to him of W.M.B., which states that the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost are not the same. He later responded by a

telephone call to one of our Editors, Brother Ronald Jack. He advised him to stay with the grammarized version of the seven church ages. However, he admitted that the prophet taught differently to what he documented on the subject of the new birth in relation to the baptism of the Holy Spirit, and said that the seals were to correct all errors, but he does not know of any where that the prophet corrected his teaching that the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost are not the same. Dr. Vayle was very honest, polite, respectful, loving, kind, verbal in his speech, and spoke sensibly. He commanded our respect by his attitude and humble personality. We were able to separate the man from his errors, especially now that he is 94 years of age. Hence, in all our writings, we have never expressed any disrespect to the aged elder.

THREE MAJOR HERESIES

This work addresses three major heresies that were injected into the grammarized version of the seven church age book (C.A.B.):

- 1. (C.A.B. page 104); subject: Marriage and divorce, that a man cannot take back his wife, but must put her away if she commits adultery. This was the sin of Adam.
- 2. (C.A.B. page 139- 148); subject: The New Birth and the Baptism of the Holy Ghost are one and the same, with various other related subjects heresies.
- 3. (C.A.B. page 327); subject: Seven thunders to be revealed by Malachi 4: 5-6.

Upon the above subjects, I engaged Pastor Brian Kocourek and indirectly, Dr. Lee Vayle, in a friendly discourse.

ARROGANT RESPONSE

The response of Pastor Kocourek was shocking to me, since we had a pleasant relationship for several years. It was full of arrogance, anger, pride, sarcasm, disrespect and full of lies, since he was unable to disprove the exposition of Dr. Vayle's heresies. It was without any scriptural proof or a single quotation of Brother William Branham to disprove my refutations of the errors injected into the C.A.B. However, he applied carnal weapons of war. He formulated a lie as his defense, saying that I am anti-message and anti-Branham, attacking the teachings of the prophet, saying that the C.A.B. is full of heresies. He was fully aware that I declared myself as a believer of the C.A.B., but my discourse was based upon three major errors injected into the C.A.B. His dishonesty caught me by surprise, as I expected that a man who is replacing the great Dr. Vayle would certainly have an outstanding character and personality, with **superior integrity** that compels the honour and respect of all men.

MY LETTER TO KOCOUREK

Dear Brother and Pastor Kocourek, Greetings in Jesus' name. Thank you for your response to my discourse Nos. one and two. I also received your first response to the subject of the seventh seal/seven thunders. I have answered both letters and am sending them to you. You are free to reply as we are about to close this discourse.

Our communications for the past years had been very pleasant. I looked up to you with admiration because of our fellowship and knowing your association with Dr. Vayle, whom I respect. Your response letter caught me by surprise as it **displayed an opposite attitude** that was very unpleasant. It has completely changed my impression of you as God's servant.

Having introduced yourself as the qualified student of Dr. Lee Vayle, fully authorized to answer questions on his behalf, I expected you to be faithful to your published policy to answer my questions and refutations of Dr. Vayle's erroneous teachings, by the written Word of God and the message of the prophet, William Marion Branham. Instead, you behaved like a stranger to your own boast on your website. In your reply, you expressed gross arrogance, anger, threats and hideous lies, even as you lied against Sister Meda Branham, quoting her in a manner which she never spoke of Dr. Vayle. You expressed yourself and acted like a rogue and vagabond against me, who has not treated you or Dr. Vayle with scant courtesies, in my scriptural and message based discourses. By your hoggish, arrogant and proud attitude, I perceived that you are **yet a footballer** but on the evangelical field, dominated by a sports spirit. You have kicked the Word of God and quotations of the prophet, to score your goals for the devil.

You have not addressed my exposition and challenge to you and Dr. Vayle, by the Word and the message, but dodged the issue by your arrogance, foolish statements and lies. I am doubtful that you brought this discourse and exposition to the attention of Dr. Vayle. If you did, and he had responded, he would have expressed himself like a true writer and gentleman, keeping his discourse within the framework of the Word and message, as his understanding affords him, even if he is wrong in his doctrine. This is evident by the **polite gentleness**, loving, respectable manner in which he spoke to our editor by phone, which brought about the respectful way in which we handled Dr. Vayle on Book 12, page 89, with full respect, as a great brother who unintentionally inserted the wrong doctrines into the church age book. But your arrogant attitude has brought this to the public, with additional expositions, because you want to act like an elite of the message. You behaved like a grunting pig, unmannerly and unchristian-like. With that foolish attitude, you cannot get anybody to repent, if they are wrong on doctrine.

KOCOUREK TOOK PRIVATE DISCOURSE TO WEBSITE

Our discourse was in progress in a private manner but you took it to the world by preaching it from your pulpit and website, informing me to find your defense there. Your defense has two faces. Personally to me, your writing was like a rogue. On your website you acted like a priest full of love and compassion. I will address your public defense separately. All will see that you are a play-actor, when both of your defenses are compared.

You are the **poorest example** of the great and honorable writer, Dr. Lee Vayle. You cannot fill his shoe in answering a question, having a friendly discourse and standing in his room to represent him nor his ministry. This you have clearly demonstrated in your communication with me.

I perceive that you got it **all up in your head** that you have taken over the ministry of Dr. Vayle; you are overcome by your selfish pride and ambition. Instead of studying the Word to show yourself approved unto God, worthy of acceptation, rightly dividing the Word of God, you have buried your faith upon the prophet's commendation of Dr. Vayle, ignoring and **playing football** with the Word and statements of the prophet. Seemingly, the only quotation you cherish is Brother Branham's —we/usl quotation, and the lie you told about Sister Meda Branham's statement about Dr. Vayle.

LIE OF KOCOUREK ON SISTER BRANHAM

Quote Pastor Kocourek: "Now, I have known Brother Vayle for 26 years and know exactly what he has taught. Further more, I know what Brother Branham said about him, and Sister Meda herself spoke to me a month before she died and confirmed that brother Branham said, "no man understands me or my message like Lee Vayle." (Testimony of Brian Kocourek).

Quote Pastor Kocourek: One month before Sister Branham died I asked her " did Brother Branham ever say, "there is no man that understand my ministry and the Message like Lee Vayle?" And she replied, "Brother, since the car accident I've had a bad memory, but **it sounds like something he would have said**, because he had a lot of respect for Lee Vayle."

EVIL TO DR. VAYLE

Now, that same lying spirit is upon you, trying to lie to 183 countries, that I am attacking the prophet's teaching of the seven church age book, after I made it abundantly clear that our discourses are based upon three major errors injected into the grammarized version of the seven church age book. You know that but choose to lie to the world. Since you have made this subject public on your website, you are responsible to God and Dr. Vayle for

publishing his errors injected into the C.A.B. This I have kept from the full view of the public since 1972, and when I exposed them in 1989. I am sorry that you have **done this to Dr. Vayle.** I am obliged by your ignorant attitude, to identify the errors injected into the C.A.B. as heretical articles; and all the heresies of both you and Dr. Vayle because of your public lies advertised on your website. A number will be attached to each heresy. The following is the actual discourse between the author and Pastor Kocourek.

DISCOURSE ON THE SEVEN CHURCH AGE BOOK GRAMMARIZED VERSION BY DR. LEE VAYLE ERRORS EXPOSED

I am delighted that you can represent Brother Lee Vayle in doctrinal issues concerning the 7 church age book (C.A.B.) in a friendly discourse between us both, as you indicated in your letter dated 02-08-08. This subject has been long outstanding amongst message believers and ministers. One of our editors contacted Dr. Vayle on the subject of the Baptism of the Holy Ghost and new birth, several years ago. His answer by telephone was vague and suggestive. He referred us to you as his representative, but we never pursued that option until this time when you sought to defend Dr. Vayle by my correction to his doctrine on the seventh seal/seven thunders. We also noticed on Q. & A. on your website that Dr. Vayle authorised you to answer all questions and to solicit his help if the need arises. I wish that you will **contact Dr. Vayle** on the issues that I would raise since he is the author of the - C.A.B.

DISCOURSE: TERMS AND CONDITIONS

My humble request is that we both keep our discussion in the written Word of God, backed up by the message of Malachi 4:5-6, and also laying aside popular and personal opinions, even as you published on your website:

KOCOUREK'S PUBLISHED POLICY

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Therefore, all answers that I give will either come from Scripture or words from Brother Branham and I will not give you my own opinion unless that opinion can be backed up solidly by Scripture and God's End-Time prophet William Branham.

To answer your questions correctly I can not have an opinion of my own. My opinion must be either what God said through His Son or through His servant William Branham. Now, if any listening to this tape have a problem with that, then that is your problem. I know that I will give an account to God for my Words, and I just hope you know that you will one day give an account to God for yours as well. (Questions And Answers no. 1 Why do so many misunderstand the Message?).

Well spoken Pastor Kocourek. I stand just the same on those principles. I trust that you will be faithful to your published policy.

Our communications for the past years has been one of a Christian-like spirit of love and respect. I endeavor to maintain this same spirit in our discourse as I do not get into arguments on doctrinal matters, though I stand very firm on the truth and exposition of heresies, reproving and rebuking with all longsuffering and doctrine (2 Timothy 4: 2).

I am addressing you and Dr. Vayle to defend my refutations on the above subjects, seeing that you are representing Dr. Vayle's ministry by his authority.

Some have rejected the book as unscrupulous and not the authentic teachings of the prophet, and others believe all that were documented are the teachings of the prophet.

My position, as editor of E.O.D.H., is that certain major doctrinal issues are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet, unscriptural and erroneous. This is the basis of our discourse.

DECLARATION: NOT CHALLENGING C.A.B. -ONLY THREE ERRORS

I make myself absolutely clear that I am not challenging or correcting any error on the original church age teachings of Brother Branham, but defending his revelation of the message. My discourse is solemnly based upon the errors injected into the grammarized version of the C.A.B. by Dr. Lee Vayle. It will be a lie for anyone to say or believe that this discourse aims at correcting the prophet's teaching.

I firmly declare that the revelation of the seven church age given to Malachi 4: 5-6 is —Thus saith the Lordl, but that does not include all the errors that are injected into the grammarized version of the seven church age book.

At this present time I will address three major, unscriptural doctrines which were injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle, based on the subjects of:

- 1) Marriage and divorce (C.A.B. page 104).
- 2) The New Birth and the Baptism of the Holy Ghost are one and the same (C.A.B. page 139- 148) with various related subjects.
- 3) Seven thunders to be revealed by Malachi 4: 5-6 (C.A.B. page 327).

MARRIAGE & DIVORCE - C.A.B. PAGE 104 ERROR NUMBER ONE – HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 688 TO 690

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle from Church Age Book: 104-1 ...people ask me, "If Eve fell that way, what did Adam do, for God lays the blame on Adam?"... Now the Word teaches us that if a woman leaves her husband and goes with another man she is an adultress and is **no longer married** and the husband is **not to take her back.** That Word was true in Eden as it was true when Moses wrote it in the law. The Word can't change. Adam took her back. He knew exactly what he was doing, but he did it any way. (Ephesian Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.3).

Brother Vayle is dealing with a very scriptural question. That is, if Eve committed adultery in the Garden of Eden, and that was the forbidden fruit then what was the sin of Adam? His indication is that Adam also committed **adultery** with his own wife.

I am saying that the teaching and explanation that he gave on the subject, cannot be supported by the Word of God. The scripture that he indicated to support his case does not support it. He **dislocated**, **misplaced** and **misinterpreted** the word of God which the prophet commanded us not to do. (Ref. Pgh. 72 Christ Revealed In His Own Word 65-0822m). I will address this error under 3 sections: A-B-C.

Heretical Article No. 688: An adulteress is no longer married.

A. If a woman leaves her husband and goes with another man she is an adulteress and is no longer married.

E.O.D.H. Answer: It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that a woman is no longer married to her husband if she commits adultery. Based upon Romans 7: 2 and I Corinthians 7: 39, she is bound to her husband as long as her **husband liveth; not until she commits adultery**.

Romans 7:2 <For the woman which hath an husband is bound by the law to her husband so long as he liveth; but if the husband be dead, she is loosed from the law of her husband.>

I Corinthians 7:39 <The wife is bound by the law as long as her husband liveth; but if her husband be dead, she is at liberty to be married to whom she will; only in the Lord.>

Dr. Vayle or Brother Kocourek, I demand that you quote one scripture to support this erroneous, false teaching. Also show one quotation of Brother Branham to support this error.

Heretical Article No. 689: "A Husband cannot be reunited with his wife if she committed adultery with another man."

B. The husband is not to take her back.

E.O.D.H. Answer: It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that a man cannot take back his wife if she commits adultery. If that is so, that annuls the Lord's teaching on forgiveness to our offenders. It will also prove that Brother Branham taught us falsely. He was right and you are wrong. Jesus told the adulterous woman: —...Neither do I condemn thee: go, and sin no more. I (John 8: 11). Not that you are no longer married and your husband cannot take you back.

Matthew 6:14-15 <For if ye forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly Father will also forgive you:

But if ye forgive not men their trespasses, neither will your Father forgive your trespasses.

Matthew 12:31<Wherefore I say unto you, All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men.>

MY WIFE, I WOULD FORGIVE HER

Quote W.M.B. 711-109 I'm not true to my wife because I'm afraid she'd divorce me. I'm true to her because I love her. There's no other woman in the world but her... If I done a mistake and they thought I did something wrong, come to her, say, "Meda, honey, I didn't mean to do that." She'd forgive me for it; I know she would. I'd forgive her; I love her. But I'd forgive her; she'd forgive me. But I wouldn't do it for nothing; I love her too much to do it. (Questions And Answers 62-0527).

The prophet taught forgiveness and said that he would forgive his wife and a man is at liberty to do so. Dr. Vayle's teaching is legalistic, unscriptural and contrary to the teaching of W.M. Branham.

Now, of course we know that was just a point the prophet of God was bringing. We did not have an adulterous prophet; he was sealed with the Holy Ghost and the devil could not break that seal.

IF YOUR HUSBAND CONTINUES TO WANT TO LIVE WITH YOU, THAT'S UP TO HIM

Quote W.M.B. 1014-86 You committed adultery against your husband. You went to your husband and cleared yourself to your husband; then you went to the man and the husband and cleared yourself there. You are clear. If your husband continues to want to live with you, that's up to him. He don't have to do it now, but if he wants to live with you and forgives you, then you be lady enough to never be guilty of such a thing again. (Questions and answers 64-0823E).

The messenger is saying that if a man finds his wife in a fault, he could forgive her and continue to let her be his wife, but he is not compelled to take her back, that is up to him, that is his business. He could forgive the woman, he could continue to live with her as a wife. Brother Branham said she should be lady enough never to do that again.

There is no such scripture in the Bible and William Branham never taught such erroneous doctrine, that if a woman commits adultery that a man must put her away in divorcement.

Heretical Article No. 690: Adam's sin: He took back his Eve.

C. Dr. Vayle said that Adam took back his wife and knew her, contrary to Deuteronomy 24: 1-4.

E.O.D.H. Answer: It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that Adam's sin was to take back his wife after she committed adultery, especially based upon Deuteronomy 24: 1-4.

Based upon this error, do you Brother Vayle and Brother Kocourek teach your followers to divorce their wives on that ground?

If not, you don't believe your Philosophy. If yes, you are doing such contrary to the message. Are all ministers under obligation to preach that doctrine, do you teach them so at your great gathering of ministers?

The C.A.B. has already circled the globe and established that error since its publication in 1965. If you do not believe that erroneous doctrine, practice it and preach it, then **correct it with humble repentance**. Dr. Vayle, how many family lives were destroyed by that error, only eternity will unfold. This is not a small matter but a destructive heresy. Who accounts to God for the woman's tears of repentance rejected by her Husband; also the tears, pains, sorrow and life long damage of little children?

DR. VAYLE'S ERRONEOUS ANSWER DOES NOT FIT DEUTERONOMY 24:1-4.

- A. Adam did not put away his wife Eve for uncleanness. In this case in the Garden of Eden, Eve went out and had only **one act** with the serpent.
- **B.** Eve did not go out and become **married** to another man. The Serpent was not even a man.
- **C.** The serpent did not put away Eve in divorcement.
- **D.** Adam did not take back his wife in marriage, because she remained his wife after the act.

It was a case of Eve being deceived. It was a case of Eve having one act with the serpent and then a second act with Adam. It was not a case of Eve being married to another man. It was not a case of Eve getting a bill of divorcement. It was not a case of the second husband putting her away and she then returning to her first husband.

If this teaching is right then every man who follows this message, whose wife commits adultery, she must be put away by him beyond reconciliation; if not he repeats the same sin which caused Adam to fall. I am saying that this doctrine is wrong.

Now, the scripture means exactly what it says in Deuteronomy 24. You cannot apply it to Eve. The messenger said differently and opposite to Dr. Vayle and the church age book. A question was asked the prophet concerning how to straighten an adulterous matter and he answered as follow:

HUSBAND CAN FORGIVE HIS WIFE

Quote WMB: —Now, this person, if she's the one that's guilty, she has did it; she went to her husband. Now, you've cleared yourself, sister...You committed adultery against your husband. You went to your husband and cleared yourself to your husband; then you went to the man and the husband and cleared yourself there. You are clear. If your husband wants to live with you, that's up to him. He don't have to do it now, but if he wants to live with you and forgives you, then you be lady enough to never be guilty of such a thing again. But if he doesn't forgive you, then that's his own business. He can put you away. Exactly right.! (Questions And Answers 64-0823e)

Deuteronomy 24:1-4 < When a man hath taken a wife, and married her, and it come to pass that she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found some uncleanness in her: then let him write her a bill of **divorcement**, and give it in her hand, and send her out of his house.

And when she is departed out of his house, she may go and be another man's wife.

And if the latter husband hate her, and write her a bill of divorcement, and giveth it in her hand, and sendeth her out of his house; or if the latter husband die, which took her to be his wife;

Her former husband, which sent her away, may not take her again to be his wife, after that she is defiled; for that is abomination before the LORD: and thou shalt not cause the land to sin, which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance.>

The Bible is specifically making reference to a woman that was divorced and became the wife of another man by marriage. The second man that she married had to put her away and also give her a bill of divorcement and under those conditions she could not return to the first husband. If the first husband had taken her back it was an abomination in the sight of God. Dr. Vayle misinterpreted Deuteronomy 24: 1-4. It is in opposition to the message and the prophet. This is how the **prophet applied the law**.

DIVORCED, REMARRIED WOMAN - DON'T YOU GO BACK AND TAKE HER

Quote: 678-Q-159 159. Brother Branham, I was married to a woman that had been married before.

We divorced, and she has been married twice since. The Bible states that if we desire to marry... to turn to... first wife. Now, could I turn to her who has been married before or could I be free?

Well now, my brother, here's the only way that you could do it... Jesus said in Matthew 5, —...whosoever marries her that is put away liveth in adultery." So don't do that. No, you cannot go back to your first wife if she's been married again.

...No, sir. Get over in the Levitical laws. You go back to that woman, she's somebody else's property. You've defiled and made yourself worse off than ever. No, you should not take a wife back who's been married to somebody else...you don't go back again. No, sir. She's married to somebody else; stay away from her...Don't you go back and take that woman when she's been married two or three times since she married you. That's wrong. (Questions And Answers COD 61-1015M).

I am anxious to see how you and Dr. Vayle would **defend yourselves** against the exposition of this gross error that was injected into the C.A.B. Perhaps you may choose to ask me in defence, what is the sin that Adam committed. That would not justify your erroneous teaching. It may help you to dodge the issue. I would prefer to hold my peace on that question until the right time. Because of the ignorance of Dr. Vayle on that question, he perverted Deuteronomy 24: 1-4 and formulated several heresies upon the subject of marriage and divorce.

It is very hard for internationally **famous men to humble** themselves when corrected by a **little nobody**. Your attitude to this exposition will reveal your respect to the Word and message of Brother Branham.

It will also prove your sincerity to your **published policy** in answering questions.

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S RESPONSE

> E.O.D.H. ANSWERS <

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 691 TO 705

CHAPTER TWO

Pastor Kocourek 1: I was just asking for you to name the names because you say one thing but show no evidence. And furthermore, since everything in the Church Age Book was spoken, written and edited by William Branham himself, so I was Just asking because you say there are many people damaged by heresy in the Church Age Book.

E.O.D.H. Answer: A. No, I don't believe that you were just asking, but your arrogance and anger got you nasty with your mouth to ask me about my private life and about my wife and the private lives of message believers by names, even because neither you nor Dr. Vayle can support your heresy of C.A.B. 104, by the Word, which says that a man must divorce his wife if she commits adultery. I challenge you again to prove your heresy in the Word.

It is common sense that if you preach unforgiveness for a wife, and people follow that heresy, you will destroy millions of homes. What proof do you want? God keeps the records. I don't have a notebook. Here is your nasty letter:

"Pastor Bruce,

I have your responses ready, but before I send them, you had stated that things in the Church Age book have destroyed lives or people and families. Before I send you my response, I want to know if you are talking about your marriage with your wife? Or is there someone you personally know that their lives and that of their family were destroyed by what is in the Church Age Book? Br. Brian." **E.O.D.H. Answer:** I married my wife as a virtuous woman, she was never an adulteress. If she was, I would not follow the heresy of church age page 104.

Yes, I know of people whose lives were destroyed by such misinterpretation of marriage and divorce. That's personal church affairs. Eternity will reveal the rest! I appreciate the coming of your manuscript, so this discourse can be continued! Peace! Your servant Dalton Bruce.

Heretical Article No. 691: "Everything in the C.A.B. was spoken by Brother Branham."

E.O.D.H. Answer: B. Everything in the church age book - C.A.B. was not **spoken** by Brother Branham. His tapes are the evidence. Everything was not written by him. He was not the **writer**, only the preacher. Everything was not edited by Brother Branham. He was not the editor. He could not do the job, and solicited the help of Dr. Vayle, who injected three major heresies into the C.A.B. What foolishness are you talking?

Heretical Article No. 692: "In exposing errors in the C.A.B., E.O.D.H. is ascribing errors to Brother Branham."

Pastor Kocourek 2: When in fact the heresy is on your part in ascribing error to Br. **Branhams** teaching.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a **hideous lie** fabricated by you, against your conscience, as you know better from my discourse.

Heretical Article No. 693: "E.O.D.H. is claiming that the C.A.B. is full of heresies."

Pastor Kocourek 3: Anyway your heresies have been exposed for your anti Message and anti William Branham attitude calling his church age book full of heresies. If you truly understood the Message and really were interested in the Truth, you would not have written the heresies against the Church Age Book...

E.O.D.H. Answer: Give me one quotation of my discourse, where I said that the C.A.B. is **full of heresies**. I challenge you! You cannot prove it. Then you are a liar.

I have no heresies to expose. All your heresies are being exposed now and will be in the future.

You are anti-message and anti-Branham, since you played football with his quotations which exposed three major heresies of Dr. Vayle.

"Written heresies against the C.A.B." This is another hideous lie. You have a lying spirit. I wrote against three major heresies injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle.

Heretical Article No. 694: "Everything in the C.A.B. is doctrinally right."

Pastor Kocourek 4: ...because as I have shown in my research and discourse that Brother Branham claimed everything in that book and it was taken from his sermons and audio letters to Br. Vayle and from written transcripts he sent to br. Vayle and there are many witnesses to the process whereby the book was written,...

E.O.D.H. Answer: You have had no discourse with me. You had an **arrogant brawl** and acted like an unmannerly pig.

You are lying again. You never showed by audio tapes or quotations of Brother Branham, that he claimed everything to be doctrinally right in the C.A.B.

You quoted what Brothers said that Brother Branham said. Since you **lie so much**, how can anyone believe you? You lied about Sister Branham's words. I also read, Billy Paul and Rebecca's statements about the C.A.B. I believe they have spoken the truth, but that does not verify the three errors injected into the C.A.B. Only the word can do so. The word has declared it wrong. They were not ordained to say what is right or wrong, but they are free to give their opinion.

Heretical Article No. 695: "E.O.D.H. is making a vicious attack on the C.A.B. by exposing the errors injected. It shows that the editor is trying to make a name for himself."

Pastor Kocourek 5: ...and your vicious attacks on that Book Mark you and expose that you are not interested in Truth but in trying to make a name for yourself.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I viciously **attacked the heresies** injected into the C.A.B. which you swallowed hook, line and sinker, because of a personality. Where is your proof that I am trying to make a name for myself? You are a carnal liar and a judge. If you lied against the prophet's wife, who am I?

Pastor Kocourek 6: The responses are already on my website for all to see. I kept your name off of them so that should you repent no one *zill* know that you are the author of such heresy.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This confession describes your hostile and arrogant character. You are engaged in a friendly discourse with me, and before it is completed you ran to the public on your website to solicit help. You prove yourself less than a man to face my challenge. Where is your **big boast** about answering questions only by the Word and message, and not your opinion? You are a shameless fellow. Place my name right under my discourse. I am not ashamed of it. I refuse to repent about the truth I presented. I want the message world to know all about it. Put your defense next to it and you will expose yourself to all.

Pastor Kocourek 7: That is the Christian thing to do my brother. To spare you the humility of exposure, which is more than your lies did for brother Vayle.

E.O.D.H. Answer: All the heretics I exposed were unable to humiliate me by the Word. I challenge you to do so. You will humiliate yourself by your arrogant defense. You cannot intimidate me. What scares a hero of the faith kills a thousand cowards like you.

Heretical Article No. 696: "E.O.D.H. and its author have swallowed lies about the C.A.B."

Pastor Kocourek 8: *Br. Bruce, you should be ashamed of your conduct and your willingness to swallow the lies about the Church Age Book...*

E.O.D.H. Answer: A man like you should not **open your mouth** about conduct. I am not ashamed of my conduct, as it is scriptural and message based, exposing three major heresies of the C.A.B., which you swallowed. You should be totally ashamed of your arrogant response without scriptures or quotations. Where is your boast about your self-righteous policy?

Heretical Article No. 697: *"E.O.D.H. and its author do not do their homework before writing their books."*

Pastor Kocourek 9: ...but it shows that you do not do your homework when writing your books.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I do not boast about doing my homework. If you were doing yours, you would see the three major errors in the C.A.B. I saw them since 1972. If a man cannot spell, it is certain that he cannot read and understand very well. It expresses the **careless** way in which you handle the Word.

Heretical Article No. 698: "It's a grave error to ascribe the errors injected into the C.A.B. to Dr. Vayle."

Pastor Kocourek 10: All I can tell you is that you are gravely mistaken when you ascribe the Church Age Book and its contents to brother Vayle. It was totally brother **Branhams** book from cover to cover.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I never ascribed the C.A.B. to Dr. Vayle. I only ascribed the heresies, and you know that. The book bears the prophet's name as the author. The book is Brother Branham's from cover to cover, except the heresies that Dr. Vayle injected.

Pastor Kocourek 11: Ask any of his family, or anyone who knew him. But you will not or you most certainly would have investigated the book more closely. But feel free to contact br. George Smith or Billy Paul or any of brother **Branhams** closest friends of **zhich** you and your **sourse** of the lie were not, **Lest** you would have to admit that all the accusations you have brandished at brother Vayle.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I do not have to ask anyone about the C.A.B. It is Brother Branham's book, except the heresies injected into its contents by Dr. Vayle. You cannot prove me wrong, thus you resorted to lies as your defense.

Heretical Article No. 699: "All of E.O.D.H.'s accusations of errors in the C.A.B. are being brandished at the prophet, God and thus saith the Lord."

Pastor Kocourek 12: ...all the accusations you have brandished at brother Vayle, you have **actualyy** brandished at the prophet of God Himself, and thus at God himself for the Thus Saith The Lord that You saw in that book and disputed were the Direct quotes of William Branham,...

E.O.D.H. Answer: I have not disputed the —Thus saith the Lordl in the C.A.B., but the heresies injected into it by Dr. Vayle. You cannot defend my refutations, thus you have resorted to hideous lies and false accusations by your arrogant letter.

Pastor Kocourek 13: ...and in his own handwriting in his communications to br. Vayle which you have not seen and will never see.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I challenge you and Dr. Vayle to **produce the evidence** by writings of Brother Branham, to verify that he taught those three major heresies of Dr. Vayle, inserted into the C.A.B. They are unscriptural and the prophet's teachings were based only upon the Word of God.

You sound like a little boy whistling through the graveyard, saying that I will never see it. You don't have any such literature and cannot show it. Publish it as your defense; I will repent. Heretics boasted of letters in the name of Paul at Thessalonica. That is the same spirit on you.

Heretical Article No. 700: "E.O.D.H. has called into question the prophet of God's teachings."

Pastor Kocourek 14: So my brother, and I still call you my brother, Repent or Perish for calling into question the Prophet of God since it is his book, and only his book. You see what you do not know is all the process and 4 years of painstaking work that Brother Branham did in making sure all the doctrine he taught was correct in that book.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I have never called into question the prophet's teachings. But Dr. Vayle's heresies are questionable and were proven wrong. Yes, all that Brother Branham taught is right, but the three major heresies injected into the C.A.B. are wrong and not Brother Branham's teachings.

Heretical Article No. 701: *"The Holy Spirit corrected the prophet on the teaching of the new birth and baptism of the Holy Ghost being different."*

Pastor Kocourek 15: And in fact the very issue on the baptism and new birth were a result of night long discourses between brother Branham and Br. Vayle in which during the process the Holy Spirit corrected brother Branham concerning the doctrine of the nez birth, and brother Branham even ,entions this on tape.

E.O.D.H. Answer: In essence, you are saying that the Holy Spirit used Dr. Vayle to correct God's prophet on the subject of the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost. Impossible! Brother Branham never mentioned this on tape. **I challenge** you to give to me the quotation. **It's a lie.** Dr. Vayle said differently. He said it was one-four hour session. You said nights of long discussions. Who is lying? I believe it's you.

You have placed Dr. Vayle above the prophet by this heresy.

Heretical Article No. 702: "E.O.D.H. and its author heard a lie about the authenticity of the C.A.B."

Pastor Kocourek 16: But because you heard some rumor which was a lie about the authenticity of the book, you chose to accept the lie instead of investigating the sources,...

E.O.D.H. Answer: You make yourself a carnal judge. Give me the **proof** that I have received rumors about the C.A.B. and received lies about it. Pastor Kocourek, you speak like an irresponsible, little boy. How do you deal with your flock? You must suffer disrespect from sensible people.

Heretical Article No. 703: *"The work of E.O.D.H. is fit for burning because it is not set on finding truth but enhancing the reputation of its author."*

Pastor Kocourek 17: ... for this you have proven that your work is fit for burning, and is not set on finding the truth but enhancing your reputation.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Another carnal judgment. Give me the proof that I seek reputation. I challenge you. When you burn my work you burn the Word and quotations of Brother Branham, since that was the basis of my discourse. That makes you anti-message. Please do not burn your arrogant defense, but place it on your website with my refutations of the three major heresies of Dr. Vayle. I forgot you may chose to burn my discourse to save your face.

Pastor Kocourek 18: And you are now exposed to the world. And depending on your response to this email that is calling for your repentance, I will either keep your name off the discourse or I will place it back on, showing the brethren in 183 countires around the world who this brother is...

E.O.D.H. Answer: Please keep my name on my refutations of the heresies I exposed? Also keep your name on your response. It is an arrogant and lying letter. Please publish them to all the countries of the world.

Heretical Article No. 704: "In challenging the errors Dr. Vayle injected into the C.A.B., E.O.D.H. has challenged the teachings of W.M.B., a vindicated prophet."

Pastor Kocourek 19: ... who this brother is that would challenge the teaching of a vindicated prophet of God. Because the discourse shows just how little you know about the Message and also shows just how little effort you made to find the truth, because before you even asked for explanation, you called truth error, and branded it of the devil, ...

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is another lie. I never challenged the teachings of the prophet. Prove this statement or I have the right to call you a liar. I called heresies of the devil —not truth. You have done so. Repent. I have the truth; I am not trying to find it.

Heretical Article No. 705: *"The author of E.O.D.H. shall pay dearly for challenging the errors Dr. Vayle injected into the C.A.B."*

Pastor Kocourek 20: ...and for this you shall pay dearly. I am a witness now against you and I will stand at the white throne to testify against your heresy, unless you repent.

I am glad that God has shown you his mercy in exposing your unbelief while you still have **breathe** to repent. Br. Brian

E.O.D.H. Answer: Proverbs 26:2 <... the curse causeless shall not come.>

Yes, we can meet at the white throne judgment. I will still have this truth that Dr. Vayle injected three major heresies into the C.A.B. of Brother Branham, and Pastor Kocourek swallowed them to his own destruction. Save yourself this great disappointment by humble repentance, while you have breath. Amen.

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER THREE

Introduction to chapter three, preached at Bethel the House of God, 20/10/2008, after close of discourse.

II Corinthians 10:3-6 <For though we walk in the flesh, we do not war after the flesh...> (Not an eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth, and blood for blood and violence for violence.)

<...For the weapons of our warfare are not carnal, but mighty through God to the pulling down of strong

holds;

Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ;

And having in a readiness to revenge all disobedience, when your obedience is fulfilled.>

You had an example last night of when a man is cornered by the Word of God, how he employs carnal weapons. The man left the scriptures completely, left the quotations of the prophet, left the discourse and started to formulate lies. When you start fighting these carnal men, they use carnal weapons; satanic tools.

One of the biggest tools of Satan that he uses to fight the children of God, fight any servant of God, fight any prophet or fight any man upon the earth; I wonder if anybody knows what is the most powerful tool? [Congregation says, —Lies!I] Oh, you are smart! **Yes. Lies!** It is the most powerful tool that Satan uses to fight any human being. He started in heaven and he told a lie. He came down to Eden and he told a lie. Every messenger God sent, he told a lie on them. Now, this is the most powerful tool the devil could use against the truth; it's a lie versus the truth; but then truth comes and conquers that lie in exposition of damnable heresies.

So now, the first thing he does is lie. Did you notice how the devil lied on Joseph? He lied on Joseph and was able to put him in prison. Did you notice how the devil lied against Jesus, saying that He was going to overthrow the government and all those other things, and that they heard him speak blasphemies? All those were lies.

Now, Satan, in order to fight the true Word of God; lies. We had an example last night, to see how a big man could lie and twist the Word of God. When you are fighting the devil, you will notice that he gets arrogant and then anger follows. Any time you see anger follows, and they twist the Word and they start lying, there are other depths to go, and what follows is **violence and bloodshed.** All right! Let me stop for a moment here.

That was played out in the life of Jesus. Did you notice there were arguments between Him and the Pharisees, and they could not conquer Him through their heresies? The truth made them look small, and they said, —The only way we could get this man is to make a plot to kill him.

It leads up that way, that when a man of the devil cannot stand up against the truth, he goes to politics, and he uses politics and carnal weapons in order to destroy the truth and destroy the man who is bringing the truth.

So now, these same spirits that you see here today, that are arguing like the Pharisees, are the same spirits that will **sell you over to the world council** of churches and say, —The real cult is in G.C.C.; the real cult is Watson; the real cult is Bethel; the real cult is so and so. And they will put you into the hands of the police. Don't you underestimate what I am saying tonight.

When a man could reject solid truth and invent a lie and have a dangerous plot in order to destroy the truth, he has the potentials to shed your blood. There are men presently upon the earth, if they get a chance they will put out my light; but I was given a space of time on earth to bring the truth of God's Word. Yes. I believe that I will be here for the next outpouring of the Holy Spirit. I just believe that. God never told me that but I believe that. I have the faith. If Brother Rogers at ninety-two years of age could believe that, what about me? I believe the thing. We could feel it. We could smell it. We could taste it. It is right at the door.

So now, you have seen the revelation of God in demonstration last night and how undefeatable it is. Now, when you hear teachings like these; it is not just corrections of the youths and the abc's of the gospel, like we were going through on the questions and answers. We put aside the pile of questions that are yet to be answered; and we come to strong meat. This is confirming the Word of God. And faith cometh by hearing and hearing by the Word of God. Such messages and teachings set the church in order. And when you see how the small axe of the Word cuts down those big trees, then **faith arises in your heart** and let you know where you are standing and where you are going. You know you are qualified for the outpouring. You know you are sitting in the right church. You know you are sitting under the right preacher. We have the Word of the Lord. It builds faith. When service is over, you don't feel to go home. You just feel to meet the brethren. You have to meet your faithful shepherd. Yes! That is when faith rises in your heart. That is why you feel that way. **So now, Satan fights nasty.** And that is what this man has done. He fought a nasty battle, but we have seen the revelation of God in power.

Now, there is a motivating factor here which I want to stress right now. This man has a reason for his arrogance, and he is trying to fight politically now, and also trying to fight with lies, anger and arrogance, not to have his exposition published. He has a false conception, and that is, **after Brother Branham**, the next man to follow him was Dr. Vayle, and after Dr. Vayle is Kocourek. That is the spirit in operation. So when I touched Dr. Vayle, it awoke his anger because if I defeat Dr. Vayle and his teachings, his ministry is defeated. That is what is happening to him. So he believes that he is the only man upon the earth that was faithfully taught by Dr. Vayle and Dr. Vayle was faithfully taught by Brother Branham. He has a "hand-me-down" religion. I expose you tonight, Pastor Kocourek! You are an imposter! Dr. Vayle did not follow Brother Branham, and you are not following Dr. Vayle. This discourse has exposed you. It has proved that you were not faithfully taught by the Word of God.

So now, with that in mind, you know what I am dealing with. I am dealing with a man who thinks he knows the message more than everybody else, who thinks that he stands in line after Brother Branham and Dr. Vayle. He boasts about going worldwide, thinking that he is in a great revival right now. You lied! There is no revival going on right now, and you do not have any revival with you. A revival signifies the Word of God in power. Where is the power? —There will be no revival until there is another outpouring of the Holy Spirit. That is thus saith the Spirit. Tell the world that there will be **no revival** until there is another outpouring of the Holy Spirit like the day of Pentecost. When the outpouring came on the day of Pentecost, the Bride's revival came with it. This revelation is undefeatable. On seals page 253; the prophet said, —There is no revival nowhere; don't you think that we have revival. It comes by the seven thunders. And Kocourek does not have the revelation of the seven thunders. It will be broken to the public soon. These heretical claims will be exposed later in this work.

I charge Pastor Kocourek and hold him responsible for exposing Dr. Vayle to the public. You are a mean fellow that has exposed the old man to the public at ninety-four years of age in order to prove your pride, your self and ambition, when I and other ministers, locally and abroad, protected that old fellow since 1972, and I never published the man; Brother Branham never made him a public example. You with your mean spirit took our discourse to the Net and exposed Dr. Vayle, and I am now obliged to make everything public because I have to **defend myself against your hideous lies.** That caused me to go and pick up all of Kocourek's heresies and all of Vayle's heresies and put it in a book, to defend ourselves as true servants of God.

All right! So this is what he has done. After I responded to him, before he told me anything, he made a second response. He preached it secretly to his church and published it on the Net. He then wrote a letter and said, —Find your response on the website. We were having a private discourse, when he wrote and told me to find my response on the website, so then I had to rebuke him sharply.

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S WEBSITE RESPONSE

ERROR NUMBER ONE

>E.O.D.H. ANSWERS<

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 706 TO 721

Dear Pastor Kocourek,

Greetings in Jesus name. This is to inform you that I was able to access your website response after several days.

I am alarmed that you could have jumped out of our personal discourse, while it was in progress, and take it to the public. That was certainly the act of a coward, to bring our discourse to your church before it is completed. And together with that cowardly act, inform me to find your response to my presentation on your website.

Where is your Christian principle? If you have none of it, where is your manly principle? You act like one with a wishbone instead of a backbone, insomuch that you had to solicit the help and support of your congregation to defend yourself and the errors of Dr. Vayle against my exposition of three major heresies of the C.A.B. As if that was insufficient, you advertised it on your website for further support. Be it known to you that I am **not afraid of your publicity**, but I am ashamed of your lack of principles. You should leave that barbarous act for people who yet ride on crocodile backs and wear loin cloths. Some have that impression of us here in the Caribbean. They think that they can poke their heresies down our throats and get away with it.

In your website response, I observed that you spoke and acted **like a lamb** full of love, tolerance, respect, temperance and a Doctor of Divinity even like the good old priest who the prophet described and contrasted with Jesus' militant actions against unbelief. But on your personal response to me, you acted **like a wolf**, arrogant, full of anger, pride, presumptuous in your words and doctrine, and had me standing at the white throne Judgment. You suddenly changed the actor's mask to sheep skin to solicit help from your ministers and 183 nations to fight a little fellow who pointed out the errors of the C.A.B.

To prove that this was a two faced response and gross act of hypocrisy, in your website response you **did not** mention nor **publish your first and arrogant response** to me, which is full of heresies. Your action is like a wolf in sheep's clothing. However I addressed them by the Word of God and message of Malachi 4: 5-6. I am also seeking out other heresies which you published, for their exposure. **I do not waste paper and ink.**

CARNAL WEAPONS OF WAR

II Corinthians 10:4-5 <For the weapons of our warfare [are] not carnal, but mighty through God to the pulling down of strong holds ;)

Casting down imaginations, and every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ.>

The weapons of your warfare are carnal. In your first response your defense was based upon **a hideous lie** that I am opposing the teachings of Brother Branham and that I am anti-message and anti-Branham.

In your website public response, you were not only guilty of taking our discourse to your 183 countries and congregation, but have altered, changed and **perverted my writings**, this with the purpose of victimizing me as anti-Branham. Each place that I had written the name of the editor: —Dr. Vaylel, you replaced it with the name: —Brother Branham. I What a treacherous plot to win a debate. I am positive that your conscience knows better, since you read my declaration at the inset of our discourse.

Quote Declaration: —My position, as editor of E.O.D.H., is that certain major doctrinal issues are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet, unscriptural and erroneous. This is the basis of our discourse.

I make myself absolutely clear that I am **not challenging nor correcting** any error on the original church age teachings of Brother Branham, but defending his revelation of the message. My discourse is solemnly based upon the errors injected into the grammarized version of the C.A.B. by Dr. Lee Vayle. It will be a lie for anyone to say or believe that this discourse aims at correcting the prophet's teaching.

I firmly declare that the revelation of the seven church ages given to Malachi 4: 5-6 is —Thus saith the Lord $\|$, but that does not include all the errors that are injected into the grammarized version of the seven church age book. $\|$

Therefore pastor, you lied; shame on you. Repent! Your treachery against the truth to employ such a carnal weapon of war is proof that you do not have the Sword of God's Word to fight your battles. Thus you exited out of the privacy of our discourse and fled to your congregation and the rest of the world for support by your website.

Further to this cowardly act, you have invented gross heresies to defend the heresies of Dr. Vayle injected into the C.A.B. **A heresy cannot justify a heresy.** Thus your defense is departed. The truth will prevail over all your heresies. You will be exposed before your 183 countries, your congregation and the message world.

HISTORY - C.A.B.

Thank you for your elaborate history of the church age book - C.A.B. You did not have to go through the trouble to prove to me that the C.A.B. was the prophet's book and not Dr. Vayle's. I believe he authored and edited the book for the prophet. It bears his name as the author. I see that you are desperately trying to identify me with those who have rejected the C.A.B. as Dr. Vayle's book, and by extension prove that I am fighting the entire book and the prophet himself. That is hypocritical, dishonest and a lie invented by Satan.

Your elaborate history of the C.A.B. placing the prophet as the editor is wrong. Concluding that the prophet recognized the book as *"Thus saith the Lord"* is based upon what others said and not the prophet himself, evident by quotations from the message. Your quotations of witnesses to support Dr. Vayle's reputation are **not to be trusted** since you lied about Sister Branham's statement. She spoke in humble suggestive terms and you spoke in the affirmative.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: "Now, I have known Brother Vayle for 26 years and know exactly what he has taught. Further more, I know what Brother Branham said about him, and Sister Meda herself spoke to me a month before she died and confirmed that brother Branham said, "no man understands me or my message like Lee Vayle." (Testimony of Brian Kocourek).

Quote Pastor Kocourek: One month before Sister Branham died I asked her " did Brother Branham ever say, "there is no man that understand my ministry and the Message like Lee Vayle?" And she replied, "Brother, since the car accident I've had a bad memory, but it sounds like something he would have said, because he had a lot of respect for Lee Vayle." (Taken From Pastor Kocourek's Website).

Reverend Kocourek, does those words of the humble wife of the prophet sound like a **confirmation** of your exaltation of Dr. Vayle? The poor sister never committed herself, never used the words that you are using. She never quoted the prophet. This is a horrible lie for a big man that is representing Dr. Vayle. This reveals your spirit. **It's a lying spirit** upon you that is bent upon only one thing as your defense and that is what the prophet said about Dr. Vayle. We love Dr. Vayle but we hate your lies!

The short space of time between the publication of the C.A.B. and the decease of the prophet **did not afford him** the opportunity to thoroughly examine the full contents of the C.A.B. Had he done so, being God's prophet, he would never ever leave those three major heresies unattended. I hold to his original teachings on those subjects in which he flatly denied those heresies. Your history of the C.A.B., how it was written, and the role the prophet played cannot replace truth and the expositions of those heresies by the Word and message of the prophet. He condemned such teachings in **no uncertain terms** and I do likewise.

Therefore I refute your defense against the truth in the same way the prophet condemned them by total denial of such heresies and the written Word of God.

The basis of your defense is: 1. Hideous lies; 2. Invention of new heresies; 3. Gross perversion of simple scriptures; 4. Denial of Dr. Vayle's teachings on the three major heresies injected into the C.A.B. 5. Employment of the love gospel of Satan.

MARRIAGE AND DIVORCE - C.A.B. - PAGE 104 -ERROR NUMBER ONE:

On your defense you further confirmed that you believe these heresies that say:

A- That a woman is no longer married to her husband if she commits adultery.

- B- Romans 7:3 do not mean what it says.
- C- A divorced woman can be remarried; Deuteronomy 24:1-4 does not mean what it says.

It is very obvious that on this subject, you did not replace Dr. Vayle's name with Brother Branham's, as I stated in my discourse. You did that for a special reason. Something is troubling your conscience. You don't want to attach Brother Branham's name to these heresies. You are afraid. It goes to show that you know better than what your lips are saying. You know that Dr. Vayle is wrong on his teaching on this subject, and you are hypocritically trying to defend them.

Heretical Article No. 706: Language is stinging; without love.

Pastor Kocourek: I must say your language is quite stinging, and very strong and accusatorial for one who says that he wishes to communicate with Christian love and respect. You might want to study up on what love and respect are before you commit to doing this discourse with love and respect. (Page 4, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: It's alarming that you don't know that such is scriptural. Jesus' language was stinging (Matthew 23); read it all. John the Baptist's language was strong. How readest thou? I guess the Pharisees wanted to give him a lesson on divine love. Do you reckon that he would have accepted it and Jesus likewise? —You stiffnecked and uncircumcised in heart and ears; ye do always resist the Holy Ghost; as your fathers did, so do ye.l Did that fit your love gospel heresy? Did it sound like the good old priest? That was the message preacher-Stephen the martyr, who died for Christ's love gospel. Does it compare with yours?

Heretical Article No. 707: Denial of Adam's sin.

Pastor Kocourek: You assume this says that the sin of Adam was committing adultery with his own wife, but that is not what was said at all. (Page 5, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: You have perverted the scriptures, you have told lies, and now you are changing the meaning of what Dr. Vayle indicated. That is very dishonest of you. If he did not, why did he go through all the pains to indicate the law of Deuteronomy 24? Then tell me what is the sin of Adam that Dr. Vayle indicated? God never commanded him to leave his wife. You cannot prove that in the scriptures. Dr. Vayle was unable to do so, thus he applied the Law of Moses, which was not yet written. Now you are denying it.

Heretical Article No. 708: Adam's sin - disobedience to God.

Pastor Kocourek: Adam wilfully disobeyed God. He was told not to do a certain thing and he did it anyway, therefore his sin was not adultery, but his sin was **disobedience** to God. (Page 5, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: You are cunningly dodging the issue. Yes, **it's disobedience but on what?** He also partook of the fruit. What is the fruit? You purposely left this fact out or it will confirm this heresy. Dr. Vayle never mentioned one time in his teaching that it was disobedience. He was trying to prove, what is the fruit Adam partook off. Are you more qualified than Dr. Vayle, or are you hoping to get a God-given PhD? God has none for distribution. Then tell me, your 183 nations and your congregation, what is that fruit that Adam ate.

Heretical Article No. 709: Adulterous woman is no longer married.

Pastor Kocourek: ... you are in error with scripture when you say... "It is unscriptural and erroneous to say that a woman is no longer married to her husband if she commits adultery. Based upon Romans 7: 2 and I Corinthians 7: 39, she is bound to her husband as long as her **husband liveth; not until she commits adultery.**" (Page 6, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: I demanded a scripture from you. Where is it? You brought a quotation of Brother Branham which you are perverting. A woman is **not automatically divorced**. She broke her vows, which is pardonable. You behave like the Pharisees with stones in their hands, ready to kill the adulterous woman. Jesus said, —Go and sin no more. I You are saying, —Stone her. If you are without sin, cast the first stone. The Pharisees consciences were condemned. What about yours? You need the lessons on love, not me. You are preaching to put away the woman because your heart is hard and unforgiving. How many homes, families and lives of children you are destroying? Only God knows. None should obey your heresy, inclusive of your 183 countries and 3000 ministers that you lead and so proudly boasted about your popularity. *"I visited every continent that man inhabits."* If you preached heresies to those people like the ones I am challenging, you had better correct them.

Heretical Article No. 710: Romans 7:3 does not mean married.

Pastor Kocourek: Romans 7:3 KJV So then if, while her husband liveth, she be married to (ginomai) another man, she shall be called an adulteress...

Now, the Greek word that was translated as "married to" is the word ginomai and does not mean **married** to in the sense of an actual ceremony, but means "united to" or "to be found with" or "be fulfilled with" in reference to another man, other than her own husband. (Page 8, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: It does mean exactly what it says. That is what Satan told Eve in the Garden, that God does not mean what He says. He added a word and produced death. Marriage is also two people united.

If your interpretation is right, then when Paul said though she is married to another man, it will mean not marriage. Now, where is your heresy standing? It's the very same word used, both places in the same scripture and the prophet employed them in his teachings.

Ephesians 5:31 <For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and shall be joined unto his wife, and they two shall be one flesh.>

Romans 7:2-4 <For the woman which hath an husband is bound by the law to her husband so long as he liveth; but if the husband be dead, she is loosed from the law of her husband.

So then if, while her husband liveth, she is married to another man, she shall be called an adulteress: but if her husband be dead, she is free from that law; so that she is no adulteress, though she is married to another man.

Wherefore, my brethren, ye also are become dead to the law by the body of Christ; that ye should be married to another, even to him who is raised from the dead, that we should bring forth fruit unto God.>

Quote W.M.B.: 12-1 Now, she, as a woman, if she is married to Christ the Word, she cannot be married to a church denomination at the same time, for she's bound by it. She cannot live with both husbands at the same time. They're contrary one to the other...

Just as much contrary to one another as law was to grace, as Paul speaking of here. One must be dead to have the other. And if she tries to mix them, she shall be called an adulterous... God said if she's tried to be **married** to two at the same time, she shall be called an adulterous. (Invisible Union Of The Bride 65-1125).

Quote: 127 And to think that someday in a physical form, with a body like His own glorious body, we will set down at the table at the wedding supper and there be **united and wed in marriage** to Him, to live as Bride and Bridegroom... through a ceaseless eternity. (Uniting Time And Sign 63-0818).

Quote: 235 "In that day the Son of man will be revealed." What? To join the Church to the Head, unite, the marriage of the Bride. (Proving His Word 64-0816).

Quote: E-19 But remember, the Bride...The Word and her are the same as a **man and his wife becomes one in union.** So does the real genuine Church of God. When it becomes in Christ, the Bible is punctuated with an "Amen," every promise. (Scriptural Signs Of The Time 64-0410).

Heretical Article No. 711: Demanding a scripture is not a spirit of love.

Pastor Kocourek: Foreign Pastor, your **demanding** does not show a spirit of love, nor respect as you have agreed to do. (Page 6, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: I demand one scripture to prove that statement. You cannot find it. I can see a drowning man trying to catch on to a straw. So you are saying when Brother Branham demanded scriptures from his opposers, that he did not show **a spirit of love?** He did so on multiple occasions.

Quote W.M.B.: 125 ... And, oh, where'd you ever find putting a handkerchief on your head to enter church? I want you to **show me the Scripture**. It's false prophecy. (Why we are not a Denomination? 58-0927).

Quote: 173-147 I challenge anyone to **show me one Scripture** where the Devil can heal. If you'll show me where the Devil can heal, I'll prove **the Devil's your father** (and that's right) if you'll do that. It's not in the Words of God. It cannot be proven. (QA. Image of the beast 54-0515).

Heretical Article No. 712: Adultery is good as divorcement.

Pastor Kocourek: Branham said... "A man that's married to his wife, they're not no longer two; they're one. And a man that'll run out after another woman, he automatically separates himself from his wife... a woman that runs out with another man...she's cut away from him..."

... So if she is cut away from him, or separates herself from him then she is no longer married to him. It does not take a writ of divorcement to do this, her own actions are what caused it, and the writ of divorcement is just the piece of paper acknowledging what is already obvious. (Page 7-8, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Brother Branham is exactly right; the woman is cut away from him. You are perverting his words to make it say what he is not saying. **It does not mean that she was divorced.** You cannot prove that in scripture. Give me one scripture, give me one quotation. I forgot; —to ask this is not a spirit of love. If you twist and pervert the prophet's words without fear and respect, I know what you would do with mines and have already done.

Heretical Article No. 713: Jesus did not tell the adulterous woman to go back to her husband.

Pastor Kocourek: Foreign Pastor states:... "Jesus told the adulterous woman: —…Neither do I condemn thee: go, and sin no more.∥ (John 8: 11). Not that you are no longer married and your husband cannot take you back. …But show me where Jesus told her to go back to her husband which she was living with in adultery. (Page 8, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: What foolishness! Neither did He tell her that she is divorced and she cannot go back to her husband. No where did I say or indicate that this woman was to go back to the adulterous man. So here is a drowning man again catching on to a straw. You are yet based on the law sir.

Pastor Kocourek: Foreign Pastor, would you allow a sex pervert who asked you for forgiveness for raping your daughter to come stay in your home? (Page 8, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This example is a million miles off the topic under discussion. It cannot be compared to the adulteress. Thus you don't have the license to stone her. You are trying to get me to reason with God's Word. You have no scripture for your heresy.

Pastor Kocourek: Now, the following quote is the one people use to show that a man can remarry, but if you read it carefully, it doesn't say for adultery... he says it is only for the cause of fornication. (Page 10, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: That is not our subject under discussion. Don't jump like a rabbit. Are you trying to dodge the issue?

Heretical Article No. 714: Women allowed to commit adultery once.

Pastor Kocourek: ... Jesus told the woman go and sin no more less a worse thing come upon her. Her adultery was caused by her unbelief. So now that she believes is it ok for her to go back and live in sin? My goodness Foreign Pastor, what kind of Message are you teaching?

...your erroneous and loose teaching that allows women to commit adultery if they only do it just once as you seem to say it no big deal. (Page 9, 12, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: You are telling a filthy lie. Such accusation can only proceed out of a carnal mind. Shame on you! What proof do you have of me condoning immorality in the House of God? I am on the **subject of forgiveness** for a woman who makes a mistake and commits adultery. When Brother Branham said that he will forgive his wife if she makes a mistake and she will forgive him; or when he told the man that he can take back his wife, was he preaching a doctrine that women can commit adultery once or twice? This resembles the nasty letter you wrote to me, asking about me and my wife; and I shut you up, letting you know that I found her a virtuous woman and she was never an adulteress, and if she was ever guilty, I would not apply your and Dr. Vayle's heresy of C.A.B. 104 which makes it impossible for a man to take back his wife.

Pastor Kocourek: ...the quote from the CAB does not say what Scripture it is referring to. (Page 12, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, he was indicating Deuteronomy 24: 1-4, and you know it, but you think you have a point because he did not specify it. To prove your hypocrisy, I demand from you to show me what scripture he could have possibly indicated. I would not await an answer because you cannot find any. I forgot; —That is not love to demand a scripture. Excuse me sir!

Heretical Article No. 715: E.O.D.H. makes it easy for sisters to commit adultery.

Pastor Kocourek: I am shocked that you would take so lightly the fact that this woman committed adultery against her husband. Only one act? You say?... How many sisters who sit under your ministry have gone out and committed adultery knowing that you make it easy for them to do so? (Page 12, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is foolishness. I can see a drowning man grabbing to a straw again, trying to bring up an accusation against a man who stands for righteousness. All my preaching and publications bear **witness against your lies.** You ran short of carnal weapons of war, thus you invented this dirty bomb; which is exploding in your face. Your mind is so set on winning a debate until it is only on carnal things, indicating that I take one act of adultery as a light thing. Shame on you! Repent Brother and Pastor Kocourek. All liars shall have their part in the lake of fire!

Pastor Kocourek: The sin that Adam fell was not taking back his wife, it was doing what he was commanded not to do. (Page 12, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is proof that you have a different doctrine than Dr. Vayle. He plainly stated that was the sin of Adam, with indications that he committed adultery when he took back the adulteress. Your heresy is based upon that heresy.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle C.A.B 104-1 ...people ask me, "If Eve fell that way, what did Adam do, for God lays the blame on Adam?"... Now the Word teaches us that if a woman leaves her husband and goes with another man she is an adultress and is no longer married and the husband is not to take her back. That Word was true in Eden as it was true when Moses wrote it in the law. The Word can't change. Adam took her back. He knew exactly what he was doing, but he did it any way. (Ephesian Church Age - C.A.B. Cpt.3).

Heretical Article No. 716: Uncleanness means she was not a virgin.

Pastor Kocourek: Deuteronomy 24:1-4 When a man hath taken a wife, and married her, and it come to pass that she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found some **uncleanness** in her

... first of all we must ask ourselves what is this **uncleanness**, that bothers this man enough to put his wife away... This Scripture speaks of a man who marries a woman and then finds after they are married that she is **not a virgin**, he has a right to put her away, and it says then she is free to marry another. (Page 13, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: You have no proof of your assumption. You have perverted the scripture to justify Dr. Vayle's heresy. You are such a perverter that you even **perverted Dr. Vayle's heresy.**

Heretical Article No. 717: Divorced woman is free to remarry.

Pastor Kocourek: ... this first man found out his wife was not a virgin so that nullifies the marriage altogether as brother Branham explains... So if they were not married to begin with then she would be free to marry for the first time legitimately, but not as a virgin. (Page 14, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: By this heresy, you are **propagating remarriage for a divorced woman.** That's contrary to the prophet's teaching and the Word of God; Romans 7, which you have denied and perverted. Deuteronomy 24 has no connection with what the prophet taught on that particular subject. Give me one quotation. He indicated that scripture to show that a man cannot take back his wife if she goes out and marries another. His interpretation is right and you are wrong.

Heretical Article No. 718: Defiled woman's second marriage is legitimate.

Pastor Kocourek: Deuteronomy 24 say it is ok for the first man to put her away and a second man could marry her and that is not adultery?... this first man found out his wife was not a virgin ... as brother Branham explains... So if they were not married to begin with then she would be free to marry for the first time legitimately, but not as a virgin. (Page 14, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a heresy plus a heresy. You have no scripture or quotation to support it. You perverted Deuteronomy 24: 1-4 to make it say so. You perverted W.M.B.'s quotation to make him say so. Nowhere in the prophet's message can you show where he said that the woman is not married and can be remarried under those circumstances. I challenge you to give me a single quotation.

Heretical Article No. 719: Deuteronomy 24:1-4 doesn't mean what it say.

Pastor Kocourek: Foreign Pastor Statement: "The Bible is specifically making reference to a woman that was divorced and became the wife of another man by marriage." End of Foreign Pastor Statement:

It doesn't say that she became the wife a second time, as you are reading this. (Page 14, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: How readest thou, Rev. Kocourek? Are you telling me that the Bible does not mean what it says? She was put away and given a bill of divorcement. She did become the wife of another man. Should I believe you or God? I believe God and believe that you are telling a lie. Your statement expresses gross infidelity, total denial of God's own words: God said —She may go and be another man's wife. He spoke about her latter husband and former husband, Deuteronomy 24: 3-4. If you have the **nerve to pervert** the very law of God, written in plain language, there is no wonder about you perverting the words of the prophet and even Dr. Vayle's.

The prophet said she was married again, called her the first wife and she was the property of another man.

Quote: 678-Q-159 159. Brother Branham, I was married to a woman that had been married before.

We divorced, and she has been married twice since. The Bible states that if we desire to marry... to turn to... first wife. Now, could I turn to her who has been married before or could I be free?

Well now, my brother, here's the only way that you could do it... Jesus said in Matthew 5, —...whosoever marries her that is put away liveth in adultery." So don't do that. No, you cannot go back to your first wife if she's been married again.

...No, sir. Get over in the Levitical laws. You go back to that woman, she's somebody else's property. You've defiled and made yourself worse off than ever. No, you should not take a wife back who's been married to somebody else...you don't go back again. No, sir. She's married to somebody else; stay away from her...Don't you go back and take that woman when she's been married two or three times since she married you. That's wrong. (Questions And Answers COD 61-1015M).

Away with your Greek and Hebrew translations to pervert the Word. God's prophet has spoken by the Word. Let your words be a lie and God's be true.

Heretical Article No. 720: Exposing C.A.B. errors is an attack on W.M.B.

Pastor Kocourek: ...your attack was not even against brother Vayle, but you are guilty of attacking brother Branham... My brother it is one thing to preach against sin, but when you cross the line by trying to destroy individuals, you are going to find yourself fighting against God. (Page 14, 15, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: You know better than that. I have already proved you to be a liar right in the answers to your website response.

Pastor Kocourek: Leviticus 20: 10... So if the adulterer and adulteress are to be stoned, then how is it possible for her to come back to him. (Page 14, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: You are living under the Old Testament law Sir. Joseph was a man of love and grace under the law and did not make his wife a public example, and others did likewise. You are saying by your heresy a man cannot take back his wife. **God told Joseph to take back his wife.** Was he wrong? The Grace Covenant

stopped the stoning. You are saying to stone her. The Pharisees ended their debate in shame, but you rageth and are confident.

Heretical Article No. 721: E.O.D.H. book 12 is a personal attack on Dr. Vayle.

Pastor Kocourek: I have enjoyed many of your writings, but the errors I saw on your website and the personal attack on Rev. Vayle. (Page 15, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: If you found errors on my website, why did you not take up my public challenge to prove them wrong? I guess —Lovel; love is corrective and not deceitful. No, it was a personal attack on Dr. Vayle's heresies in a gentle manner, suitable to your love gospel. You are doing the same by perverting his heresies on the subject of marriage and divorce. You are personally attacking the man. I have showed him all respect up to this point. By your perversion of his statements, you are showing him total disrespect. You have brought him to the public with his heresies and your unscriptural defense. You are a poor defender of Dr. Vayle.

Pastor Kocourek: ...the errors I saw on your website and the personal attack on Rev. Vayle because your man did not thoroughly explain himself when calling, and you forget Br. Vayle is a man that will soon be 94 years old, and in showing no respect for this man of God, I contacted you and this forum has begun. (Page 15, Spoken Word no 42, Q & A 44 Discourse on CAB marriage and Divorce).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Dr. Vayle being 94 years old is riding a motor cycle. He spoke to Editor Ronald Jack eight years ago. By his telephone conversation with him, Dr. Vayle admitted that Brother Branham said **differently to what he documented** on the C.A.B. about the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost being one and the same. Your lame excuse that the editor did not explain himself cannot stand. Were you present, how do you know?

He was not senile but in his right mind. He spoke fluently, humble and honestly. He was unlike you. Not because you think that the man is 94 years, you should twist his teachings. You should respect him if you are trying to defend them.

If you consider exposition of heresies injected into the C.A.B as disrespect, you can **expect more of it** since your love gospel would not intimidate me from exposing all heresies: Dr. Vayle's, Reverend Kocourek's or any heretic internationally.

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER FOUR

Introduction to Chapter four, preached at Bethel the House of God, 21/10/2008, after close of discourse.

St. John 3: 3-7 <Jesus answered and said unto him, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God.

Nicodemus saith unto him, How can a man be born when he is old? can he enter the second time into his mother's womb, and be born?

Jesus answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.>

That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit.

Marvel not that I said unto thee, Ye must be born again.>

Now, without further delay, I am going to get into error number two. All right! Now, I will have to help you to understand what error number two is because this is very, very important, and one of the most **important heresies** to expose. Error number two, found in the church age book is on several pages: C.A.B. page 141-1, 143-1, 144-1&2, 164-3, 165-2. Identify them in the C.A.B. as Dr. Vayle's teachings. Those are not the prophet's teachings, and I am about to prove that. So, error number two is based upon salvation. We either have it right and are saved, or we have it wrong and are lost. Now, this is a doctrine of salvation. It has to do with the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Spirit.

Sometimes people do not know what you are talking about: —new birthl and —baptism. | All that we are saying is that there is a doctrine in the Bible called the new birth and it is found in St. John 3. Jesus said, —Ye must be born again. | You came into this world with an evil nature and you loved to do everything worldly and even wrong. Now, that was a spirit that you were born with here on earth. That is why you loved the things of the world and had done the wrong things. Now, the **new birth means that God changed that nature by His power**.

Example: If you are a fellow who likes to smoke marijuana, drink rum, curse, fight, get into violence and live in adultery, then you could get into a place where you cannot help yourself. That is the evil nature. You are conquered by your evil nature as a sinner. Now, if God would come and by a miracle He could change that nature where you have lose those desires and all you want is to hear God's Word, live a Christian life, be a gentleman and you know who God is by a divine revelation, then you are born again. Now, that new birth changes your nature.

The baptism of the Holy Ghost, like on the day of Pentecost, is Almighty God coming down to live in your soul, to indwell you. That is why you were born here on the earth, because you are the temple of the living God. And you ought to cleanse that temple and make it a place ready for Almighty God to come and dwell.

So now, the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Spirit are doctrines of the New Testament. Jesus preached that in St. John 3: 3-7 —Ye must be born again. The scripture for the baptism of the Holy Ghost is 1 Corinthians 12: 13. It says, —By one spirit we are all baptized into one body, as well as various scriptures of the Bible. And of course Acts 2, when the great power of God came down upon one hundred and twenty and God indwelt those people. And when God indwelt them, they did the very works of our Lord Jesus Christ (St. John 14:12.)

Now, the church age book-C.A.B. is saying, number one: that both the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost are one and the same experience. That is what was documented in the C.A.B. That is Dr. Vayle's doctrine. He said that both experiences are one and the same.

Now, Brother Branham's teaching, the **Bible's teaching** is saying that they are two, separate experiences. And further to this fact, Brother Branham **condemned** the teaching that was injected into the C.A.B., in the years that it was being documented by Dr. Vayle. You are about to see that tonight. You are about to understand that, if you never understood before.

In relation to this, there is a doctrine attached to that heresy which says that an **ear to hear** means that you already have the indwelling Spirit in you. And if you did not have the indwelling Spirit like on the day of Pentecost, you would not hear. It's a heresy and we differ with that.

And I have documented all the facts of the message and the Bible, and I presented it both to Dr. Vayle and Kocourek, and we entered into a debate. I presented that and they could not do anything with it, and this is his response. So now, I am going to get into my presentation first, of how I detailed that in this discourse, then I will give you his answers of response, because I want this lesson pinned down so that you must not forget it all the days of your life.

—Now, why is it so important? **Couldn't we just let that go**? Couldn't we just let Brother Vayle go and respect Brother Vayle? Now, respect is one thing and correcting error is another thing. I could respect a man. Now, look at Grandpa sitting before me here at ninety-two years of age. We love Grandpa: Brother Rogers Richardson. He yet preaches, but if he comes up here and preaches, at ninety-two and the fellow's marbles get a little scattered and he says something wrong, then with all love I will come and say, —Okay, Brother Rogers was a little wrong on that. And I would not rebuke him. And then that is settled. Brother Rogers is such a man that he comes and says, —Brother Bruce, man is a failure; man is a failure. All right! So that is the truth of it.

That is what I am doing here tonight. I must **separate Dr. Vayle** from the error he injected. I cannot stand up here as a preacher and tell you that everything in the C.A.B. is correct when it is not correct. I will answer before God. All right! Could we **let this thing go**? I say, **no**! Could I say it is right when it is wrong? I would be a deceiver. All right! —Why is it so important, Brother Bruce? You said this is most important.

Now brethren, there is a doctrine in here that speaks of the evidence of the Holy Ghost, and the evidence of the Holy Ghost that was stated is that as long as you hear the message of Malachi 4, Brother Branham, the end time

message, by revelation, and believe it, it is proof that you had the Holy Ghost, like on the day of Pentecost, dwelling in you before.

Now, my refutation on that is: when the sinner came in here, did he have the Holy Ghost? When you came in here from wherever you came; from organization, Jehovah's Witness, Baptist, Pentecostal, Hindu or Muslim, did you have the Holy Ghost in order to hear the Word of God? *[Congregation says, "No!"]* No! That is simple.

Now, this doctrine here that was inserted into the C.A.B., which I will deal with first, people around the world have accepted that, and that is a gross deception that they are resting upon. They think that as long as they accept the prophet, accept his message and think that they have a divine revelation, it is proof that they have what they had on the day of Pentecost. That is what they are thinking. —So, Brother Bruce, you said this is a detrimental doctrine? How do you mean it is a detrimental doctrine?

Here, every human being that will be translated and go in the rapture, to escape the judgments of God that will come on the earth for three and a half years, must have the **true article of Pentecost** to go in the rapture, otherwise they are lost. Isn't it important then? [Congregation says, —Amen!]

Then if something comes and makes me believe that I have what they had on the Day of Pentecost and then hope in that until the rapture comes, then I cannot go in the rapture. That is what this **teaching has done to the people around the message worldwide**. It made them believe that as long as they accept the prophet, as long as they accept the message, as long as they could say, —amen^{||} to what Brother Branham said, they already had the indwelling Spirit before that time, and they are ready for the rapture.

Do you realize the detriment in that? The detriment in that is that if I could convince you as this congregation, that you have already returned to Pentecost, and tell you the only thing is that the power is not working like it used to work, and that the evidence is just to believe the message, I have deceived you.

Now, if I could **deceive you long enough** and cause you to believe that, you will miss the rapture, because the requirement to go in the rapture is the outpouring of the Holy Spirit, the true baptism of the Holy Spirit. The prophet confirmed that by saying, —You will receive the token or you will perish.^I That is what he was talking about. Let that digest, and you will get the point and you will see the **importance of my contention**.

So now, people who will and have accepted this erroneous teaching of Dr. Vayle from the C.A.B. and rest on that as having the article of Pentecost are deceived. They are deceived worldwide. And that is the reason why they are not doing the things as recorded in the book of Acts, that Peter, James, John and all the apostles did, even because they think they have what they had on the day of Pentecost, but it is just not working like Brother Branham's and the early apostolic church's. That is a proof that they are deceived of the devil. And they are sitting, waiting and hoping to go in the rapture with that anointing. It cannot take them in the rapture.

They might be sincere and honest, but they will go through the tribulation and it could put them in the foolish virgins bunch. And that was the problem of the wise and the foolish virgins. The wise virgins went after the true article of Pentecost and they received the genuine thing. And the foolish virgins were deceived. And that is where the people that follow this message stand today. The majority of them stand today, thinking that they have the article of Pentecost and do not have it.

Let me show you the detriment. If you convince a man that he already has what they had on the day of Pentecost, beloved, there is no need for him to look for another outpouring of the Holy Spirit. So, those very same people will be **fighting another outpouring** of the Holy Spirit. So, the very thing to take them in the rapture, is the thing that they will be fighting. Now, we are preaching an outpouring of the Holy Spirit and we are saying that we must go back to Pentecost, and that is the wise virgins' teachings. The foolish virgins became foolish because she believed this doctrine from the C.A.B. This is Pentecostalism.

Right today, there are people sitting and waiting for the rapture by having the evidence that they believe the message. They **cannot go in the rapture**. That is my contention. That is a serious thing.

Another thing that this doctrine did is that if a man is convinced that he has already returned to Pentecost and he has that baptism of the Holy Spirit, then there is no reason for pressing. There is no reason for desperation. So then, that has **affected the people** in such a way that they have stopped pressing into the kingdom of God. And because they have stopped pressing into the kingdom of God, it plunged them into sin. It plunged them into Sodom. It sent them back to the sports field. It sent them back to wear short dresses and high-heeled shoes. It brought the television back in the house. And you could hardly recognize these followers of the message from other organizational people, even because that doctrine helped to push them into Laodicea. When the message of William Branham ought to pull you out of Laodicea, this is an article from Laodicea, that you just believe Jesus Christ and you are going in the rapture. So this is an article from Pentecost 1906 and from the Baptist, to keep you earthbound.

Dr. Vayle could not put into the C.A.B. more than what understanding he had, more than what revelation he had on the Holy Ghost. The man did a good job and he put whatever he thought was right. And he slipped that inside of there, but I want to announce to you that this doctrine from the C.A.B., pages 139-148, is a Pentecostal

seed. I am declaring that **Pentecostalism has entered into the message through the C.A.B.** by Dr. Vayle. Now, do not tell me what they teach because I was down there too. All that you have to do is to accept Jesus Christ as your personal Saviour and Lord. Right there and then you are ready for the rapture. And right in this C.A.B., page 144, Brother Vayle is telling you exactly about that doctrine: It's Pentecostalism

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: 144-2 ... I want to make myself very clear on what the Baptism with the Holy Ghost is according to the Word. It is not according to me, and it is not according to you. It has to be according to "Thus saith the Lord," or we are falsely led... I want to tell you exactly what I mean. I mean for the sinner to come forward and be born again, which is to be baptized into the body of Christ by the Holy Ghost which is exactly what took place at Pentecost when the church was launched. In other words, to be born of the Spirit is to be truly baptized with the Holy Ghost. It is one and the same. (Smyrnaean Ch. Age – Ch. Age Book Cpt.4).

That is wrong. Now, do you see the damage this doctrine has done? [Congregation says, —Amen!I] This is one of the reasons that the ministers who came from Pentecost and also the people who came from Pentecost could have swallowed that, because that was the original teaching of Pentecostalism. So Pentecostalism followed right into the message, and got its way into the C.A.B., and the prophet's name is placed there as the author. So, it is coming in the **name of the prophet**, and that is Nicolaitanism. Nicolaitan means to conquer the laity. So there was a spirit that started to move, and at this time; it is a terrible thing to say, I know it is very controversial, but I am about to prove that here tonight, and I have already proved that to Dr. Vayle and have already proved that to Brother Kocourek and he cannot move. We are right; they are wrong.

I fully declare to the message world, when the Bible said, —He that hath an ear to hear let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the churches, I it was not the baptism of the Holy Ghost and it was not the evidence showing that you have what they had on the day of Pentecost. And that is what was recorded in the C.A.B. I quote Dr. Vayle to prove my point. Dr. Vayle taught back there that if you did not have the baptism of the Holy Ghost like the day of Pentecost, you could not hear this message. Now, Pastor Kocourek is denying it. That is his defense. He is denying that. He said Dr. Vayle never said that. Hear it for yourself then. I will quote Dr. Vayle from C.A.B. pages 141, 143, 144, 164, 165, on the manuscript that now follows, and you can hear for yourself. Most of us went to school.

THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST-NEW BIRTH

AN EAR TO HEAR – C.A.B. PAGES 139-148 ERROR NUMBER TWO HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 722 TO 723

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book (C.A.B.): 141-1 And to every age we hear the same truth. "He that hath an ear let him hear what the Spirit saith to the churches." But only a Spirit-filled man can hear the revelation for that age. None other can. No sir. They cannot because that is exactly what Paul said in I Corinthians 2:6-16. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Quote Church Age Book: 143-1 If the Spirit wasn't inside, you wouldn't hear the truth and receive it by revelation if you heard it every moment of the day. That was the sign of the indwelling Spirit in the days of Paul. Those who were filled with the Holy Ghost heard the Word, received it and lived by it. Those who did not have the Spirit heard it only as carnal men, put a wrong interpretation on it and went into sin.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 144-1... then we know that the Spirit has to be abiding in the person or he cannot receive the truth for that time. Amen. That is exactly correct.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 164-3 ... The Word always comes to the truly Spirit-filled. That is the evidence of being filled with the Holy Ghost.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 165-2 In every church age we hear these words, "He that hath an ear, let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the churches." The Spirit gives the Word. If you have the Spirit you will hear the Word for your age, as those true Christians took the Word for their age.

Heretical Article No. 722: "You must first have the Baptism of the Holy Ghost to hear the message for your age. That is an ear to hear and the evidence of the Baptism of the Holy Ghost."

A -- HE THAT HATH AN EAR TO HEAR-EVIDENCE OF H.G. BAPTISM

E.O.D.H. Answer: Dr. Vayle, on the subject of the Holy Ghost in every age, employed two important scriptures to prove that a believer must have the indwelling Spirit, the article of Pentecost before he can hear the Spirit by divine revelation and receive the message and Messenger for the age.

I positively state that a man does **not have to be spirit filled to hear** the Spirit and truth for the age. With due respect, I challenge this error on the basis of the Word and message of Brother Branham. Apostle Paul declared that a man is sealed or Spirit filled, baptized with the Holy Ghost, and receives the article of Pentecost, **after he hears** the Word of truth, the gospel message of the age.

A sinner is not filled with the Holy Ghost as a prerequisite to hear the Spirit of truth. There are many who came from religions and organizations who were not Spirit-filled and heard the truth **by the Spirit** and received it by revelation.

Ephesians 1:13 <In whom ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise...>

John 5:24 <Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life, and shall not come into condemnation; but is passed from death unto life.>

The apostles and disciples of Jesus heard, recognized and accepted the message and Messenger of their age and passed from death unto life, and they later went up to Pentecost. The prophet confirmed the same as follows:

Quote W.M.B.: 37 ...you have the new birth by believing on the Lord Jesus Christ. See? By having faith and accepting Him as your Saviour, that's birth ...because you've passed from death unto Life. Now, if you want to back that up, take St. John 5:24... ...he's got Life because he believes. And that **same group had to go to Pentecost** to be baptized with the Holy Ghost. (Countdown 62-0909M).

Quote W.M.B.: 269-Q-60 ... "He that heareth My Words and believeth on Him that sent Me, hath Eternal Life, has Everlasting Life"; that's the **new birth**. You're converted; it means you're turned around.

But the baptism of the Holy Spirit puts you into the body of Christ, subject to the gifts for service.

...Now, watch. Eternal Life... Jesus said, "He that heareth My Word and believeth on Him that sent Me,

hath Eternal Life, and shall not come into the judgment, but's passed from death unto Life." You're a new creature right then.(Q&A Hebrews Part 2 COD 57-1002).

ETERNAL LIFE THEN BAPTISM

Quote W.M.B.: 111 You say, "What about the Holy Ghost, Brother Branham?" The Holy Ghost baptizes you into the Body, for service, but you believe unto Eternal Life. "He that heareth My Words and believeth on Him that sent Me, has Eternal Life." **Then you're baptized** by the Holy Spirit into the Body for manifestations of the gifts, and so forth, but you believe to Eternal Life. (You Must Be Born Again 61-123).

John 10:27 < My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me.>

The apostles and disciples heard the voice of the Lord/the Word, message and messenger of the age and followed Him and they later went up to Pentecost.

Matthew 16:13-17 <...he asked his disciples, saying, Whom do men say that I the Son of man am? ...And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God.

And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou, Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven.>

Peter the apostle heard and recognized the son of man, Christ the Messenger of the age and yet did not have the Pentecostal article until a later date.

B – I CORINTHIANS 2: 6-16 MISINTERPRETED

Dr. Vayle used 1 Corinthians 2:6-16: —We have received the spirit of God...that we may know the things of God...which the Holy Ghost teacheth...The natural man cannot receive the things of the spirit...because they are spiritually discerned.

Dr. Vayle assumed that the above phrases of scripture are speaking of the Pentecostal experience. The emphasis of apostle Paul is not the Pentecostal experience, the indwelling spirit, but he is emphasizing a work of grace that takes place before the Baptism of the Holy Ghost.

Dr. Vayle's error is his emphasis on the baptism of the Holy Ghost and not the work of Grace by the Spirit.

This assumption is contrary to the Word of God and the message of the hour. It is unscriptural and erroneous. It is misleading and detrimental to message believers and has brought about much confusion and heresies amongst them.

1 Corinthians 2: 6-16 is not speaking of being Spirit-filled with the baptism of the Holy Ghost like the church received, on the day of Pentecost before they discerned spiritual things. It refers to a work of Grace by the Holy Spirit through God's election and predestination of the believer.

—No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last dayl. (John 6:44) —All that the Father giveth me shall come to me; and him that cometh to me I will in no wise cast outl. (John 6:37).

SAINTS SPIRITUALLY DISCERNED WITHOUT THE PENTECOSTAL EXPERIENCE

Many saints of both the O.T. and N.T. spiritually discerned, heard the truth and recognized the messenger and his message, identified and confessed Christ of the age without the experience of Pentecost. Examples: Elizabeth, Simeon, Anna, John the Baptist, Andrew, Phillip, woman of Samaria, Peter, the apostles and Paul: They all did not yet receive the Pentecostal blessing, for the Holy Ghost was not yet given out. (John 7:38-39). All happened by a work of grace through the Spirit.

Matthew 16:13-16— Peter – —Thou art the Christ the son of the Living God. Luke 1:41 Elizabeth – —Mother of my Lord... Blessed is the fruit of thy womb. Luke 2:25-32—Simeon – —Mine eyes have seen thy salvation. Luke 2:36-38 – Anna Prophesied of Christ. - She gave thanks unto the Lord. John 1:29-34 – John the Baptist – —Behold the lamb of God. John 1:40:42 – Andrew & Simon Peter – —We have found the Messiah-Christ. John 1:43:51 – Phillip & Nathaniel – —Thou art the son of God; thou art the king of Israel. John 4:19-25 – Woman of Samaria. —Sir, I perceive that thou art a prophet. John 6:67-69 – —We believe and are sure that thou art that Christ the son of the living God. Acts 9:3-5 -- —Who art thou Lord. Acts 9:17-18 – —Be filled with the Holy Ghost.

Heretical Article No. 723: New birth & baptism; one and the same.

C - THE NEW BIRTH & THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST ARE ONE AND THE SAME

DR. VAYLE'S TEACHING VERSUS WILLIAM BRANHAM'S

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 144-2 Now before we leave this subject I want to make myself very clear on what the Baptism with the Holy Ghost is according to the Word. It is not according to me, and it is not according to you. It has to be according to "Thus saith the Lord," or we are falsely led. Amen.

... I want to tell you exactly what I mean. I mean for the sinner to come forward and be born again, which is to be baptized into the body of Christ by the Holy Ghost which is exactly what took place at Pentecost when the church was launched. In other words, to be born of the Spirit is to be truly baptized with the Holy Ghost. It is one and the same. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Church Age Book: 154-1 What is the **Baptism** with the Holy Ghost? It is the Spirit Baptizing you into the body of Christ. It is the new birth.

...we are NOT born again by the Spirit of Life of Jesus coming in, and then subsequent to that the Holy Spirit coming in to give us power. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

E.O.DH. Answer: Dr. Vayle was very clear in expressing that the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost are one and the same and it's exactly what they received on the day of Pentecost. It was spoken as "Thus saith the Lord" in imitation of the prophet Brother Branham.

It is dangerous enough to preach contrary to the Word and the message, but when a man speaks in the name of the Lord: —Thus saith the Lordl, and places the prophet's name under it, **it is spiritual death** to sincere people and himself. In this case, people are so deceived by this error that they would lay down their lives for what they assume that the prophet taught, not knowing that it is **"Thus saith Dr. Vayle."**

Nowhere on tape did the prophet use that statement of —Thus saith the Lordl in reference to that error that the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost are the same. He denied and condemned that doctrine as wrong.

He could not speak in the name of the Lord and then deny his teaching. It will make him a confused prophet. Dr. Vayle's erroneous teaching on this subject is in total disregard, direct opposition and conflict to that of the prophet, Brother Branham. It contradicts the Word from Genesis to Revelation.

This is erroneous, unscriptural, off the message and diabolically deceptive. It serves to keep people lukewarm in Laodicea. They would not press to receive the true article of Pentecost, and will miss the rapture.

Most of the message world rest their faith upon this error of Dr. Vayle, insomuch that if Dr. Vayle would acknowledge this error by saying, —I was mistaken^I, he would bring deliverance to multitudes of souls. If he is convicted enough to acknowledge that he is wrong and the prophet is right, he would win more souls than he did in his life time. Would you and Dr. Vayle deny examples as follows?:

Jesus was first born of the Word of God, and thirty years later received the baptism of the holy Ghost at the Banks of Jordan.

JESUS AND THE APOSTLES - BORN AGAIN - THEN HOLY GHOST BAPTISM

John 1:13 <... Which were born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God.>

The apostles were born again (St. John 4: 24) by hearing the Word of God. They received eternal life and they afterward received the baptism of the Holy Ghost on the day of Pentecost.

Peter the apostle was born again by a confession of the son of man, Jesus Christ, given the Keys to the Kingdom of God and waited for the day of Pentecost to receive the baptism of the Holy Ghost.

I John 5:1 < Whosoever believeth that Jesus is the Christ is born of God: and every one that loveth him that begat loveth him also that is begotten of him.>

The prophet of God confirmed the same by many outstanding statements which are in opposition to the teachings of Dr. Vayle. His teachings were based on the scriptures above but Dr. Vayle's error was strictly based upon Pentecostalism and Baptist doctrine. No wonder why he had to force-fit such erroneous doctrine into the message.

The prophet emphatically stated that he did not believe nor teach that doctrine, that it is wrong and that he can prove that the new birth and baptism of the holy Ghost are two, separate, different experiences. I believe him. Dr. Vayle's teaching is contrary to the truth as preached by Brother Branham, and can be clearly seen from the following quotations.

THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST IS DIFFERENT FROM THE NEW BIRTH

Quote W.M.B.: 37 ... people talks that the baptism of the Holy Ghost is the new birth. Now, that's wrong. The baptism of the Holy Ghost is different from the new birth. The new birth is when you're born again. But the Holy Ghost is when power comes into that birth for service. That's exact. See? The Holy Ghost is-baptized into the Holy Ghost.

...you have the new birth by believing on the Lord Jesus Christ. See? By having faith and accepting Him as your Saviour, that's birth ...because you've passed from death unto Life. Now, if you want to back that up, take St. John 5:24... ...he's got Life because he believes. And that same group had to go to Pentecost to be baptized with the Holy Ghost...

Holy Ghost is power for service. So when talk like you got to be born again, and applying that to the Holy Ghost, many of the Methodists and so forth **are wrong** there. ...It just **won't cope to the Scripture** here. You get the thing sideways. It's got to take it the way the Scripture's got it placed out here. ..."You shall receive the new birth after this"? What? No. "You shall receive power (Acts 1:8) after this the Holy Ghost is come upon you." And they'd already believed to Eternal Life, and so forth, but they had to have the Holy Ghost for power. "You shall be witnesses unto Me after the Holy Ghost is come upon you," because the Holy Ghost is a witness of the resurrection, showing that you have become an adult in Christ. (Countdown 62-0909M).

YOU'RE BORNED OF THE SPIRIT AND THEN BAPTIZED BY THE HOLY SPIRIT

Quote W.M.B.: 35 ...I teach and believe, and believe can sufficiently prove by the Scripture, that you're borned of the Spirit and then baptized into the Body by the Holy Spirit. (You Must Be Born Again 61-123).

I DON'T BELIEVE THAT BEING BORN AGAIN IS THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST

Quote W.M.B.: 117 ... I don't believe in being borned again is the baptism of the Holy Ghost. It is not the baptism of the Holy Ghost; it's being reborn again. We're reborn by the Blood. Blood cell comes from the...life cell comes from the Blood. You're baptized by the Holy Ghost into the body, but you're born by the Blood. (Influence Of Another 62-1013).

THE NEW BIRTH IS NOT THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST

Quote W.M.B.: 114 ... And borned again does not necessarily mean now that you got the Holy Ghost. Now, many teach that. "I don't know anybody that teaches it like this," ... But new birth is not the baptism of the Holy Spirit. The Scripture doesn't support it, I don't think (See?), to my way of seeing it. (Key To The Door 62-1007).

Quote: 129 Remember, I believe that Martin Luther had the Holy Spirit. Absolutely. Maybe not in the portion It is today, 'cause It wasn't given out... I never thought there was a person believed that like me till this morning, I heard Charles Fuller as I was going down. He believes too, that **the new birth is not the baptism** of the Holy Ghost. The new birth is being born. The Holy Ghost is the baptism. See? Uh-huh. All right. (Why Against Organized Religion 62-1111E).

THE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST IS A DIFFERENT ACT FROM THE NEW BIRTH

Quote W.M.B.: 269-Q-60 ...At the time we receive the new birth, this takes place... Is this the baptism of the Holy Spirit, or is there a later baptism, or is it a filling?

Now, there is quite a question... No, when you believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, you then have the new birth. When you believe on the Lord, you receive a new thought, a new life, but **it isn't the baptism of the Holy Ghost.** See? You've got the new birth when you believe; you've got Eternal Life. It's a gift of God that's give to you through sovereign grace by accepting the gift that God is giving to you. See? "He that heareth My Words and believeth on Him that sent Me, hath Eternal Life, has Everlasting Life"; that's the **new birth**. You're converted; it means you're turned around.

But the baptism of the Holy Spirit puts you into the body of Christ, subject to the gifts for service. It doesn't make you any more of a Christian; it just puts you into the body of gifts. See? "Now, by one Spirit (I Corinthians 12) we're all baptized into one body. Now," says Paul, "there are different gifts, and in this body is nine spiritual gifts." And in this body... You have to be baptized into the body to possess one of these gifts. They come with the body.

But now, as far as having Eternal Life and being a Christian, you are a Christian the moment you believe. Now, that's not make-believe; that's truly believe on the Lord Jesus and accept Him as your personal Saviour, you're borned again right there, and have Eternal Life. God comes into you.

...See? The baptism of the Holy Ghost is a different act from the new birth. **One is a birth; one is a baptism.** One brings you Eternal Life; the other one gives you power. It gives power into Eternal Life (See?) to operate. Now, you got it? Okay, all right. (Q&A Hebrews Part 2 COD 57-1002).

ETERNAL LIFE, THEN BAPTISM

Quote W.M.B.: 111 You say, "What about the Holy Ghost, Brother Branham?"

The Holy Ghost baptizes you into the Body, for service, but you believe unto Eternal Life. "He that heareth My Words and believeth on Him that sent Me, has Eternal Life." Then you're baptized by the Holy Spirit into the Body for manifestations of the gifts, and so forth, but you believe to Eternal Life. (You Must Be Born Again 61-123).

PYRAMID TEACHING - BORN AGAIN SEPARATED FROM BAPTISM

The prophet's teaching on the pyramid should dissolve every doubt on the subject of the new birth in relation to the baptism of the Holy Ghost. A picture is worth a thousand words. He taught his revelation and depicted it in the form of the pyramid, placing the **born again** faith at the bottom of the pyramid, and the baptism of the Holy Ghost at the top of the pyramid. **The virtues divide** both experiences. If a man is ignorant after knowing the truth of that message, then he is willingly ignorant.

BORN AGAIN BY FAITH

Quote: 18-2 Notice: you must be borned again. And when you're born again, you **can't be born again** without having faith... Faith is the foundation of all of it. (Stature Of A Perfect Man 62-1014M).

Quote: 22-1 First you must be borned again. And then when you're borned again, you have faith; you believe the Word... borned again, it produces faith... Then after you get faith, this right here: f-a-i-t-h faith, then you're just in position of growing. (Stature Of A Perfect Man 62-1014M).

THEN THE HOLY GHOST COMES UPON YOU IN THE TRUE BAPTISM OF THE HOLY GHOST

Quote: 48-1 Then Peter here says first (seven things) faith, virtue (See, coming up), knowledge, temperance, patience, godliness, brotherly love, and brotherly kindness and then the love of God, the Holy Ghost. Christ in the Person of the Holy Ghost comes upon you in the true baptism of the Holy Ghost, and you got all these virtues sealed in you, then God lives in a tabernacle called the building, the living Tabernacle of the living God's dwelling place. (Stature Of A Perfect Man 62-1014M).

Quote: 48-2 When a man possesses these kind of things, then the Holy Ghost comes upon him. (Stature Of A Perfect Man 62-1014M).

MUST BE BORN AGAIN THEN FILLED WITH THE HOLY GHOST

Quote: E-71 You must be borned again, and when you're borned again, **then you must be filled** with the Holy Ghost. (Door In A Door 63-0223).

BORN AGAIN; THEN A CANDIDATE TO BE BAPTIZED WITH HOLY GHOST

Quote: E-70 You come because you have been warned of God; you've accepted it. And you when you come here, and bow your heads, and surrender to God, and repent of your sins, you are **borned again.** You're a candidate then to be baptized into the Church by the Holy Ghost, into the Body of Christ. There's only one baptism; that's Holy Ghost baptism. "By one Spirit we are all baptized into one body."

You become a believer, are borned again when you believe. "He heareth My words, believeth on Him that sent Me, hath Everlasting Life," right now. But God baptizes you into the Body and puts you into the service by the Holy Ghost. This is what you do for God; that's what God does for you. There's the difference. You repent and accept what God has done; then God gives you the **next, which is the baptism** of the Holy Ghost.

These people are borned again. They believed on the Lord Jesus Christ and accepted Him as personal Saviour... Now, they want the baptism of the Holy Ghost to be co-workers with us together, out here in this great field. (Then Jesus Came 57-0302).

Quote: E-38 Now, Father receive them into Thy Kingdom, believing that each one raised their hand is now **borned again**. And I pray God for them that You will **give them the baptism** of the Holy Spirit, and on this new heart and new spirit that they have just received, place that teeny little Holy Spirit in there to setting that body in order. Give to them the great gift and let them see the signs of the time. Grant it, Lord. (What Think Ye Of Christ 57-0303E).

Pastor Kocourek, I trust that in your response you would be guided by your published policy and —stay with the Word and message of the prophet.

I will appreciate that you answer me in a scriptural manner and an orderly fashion. Dr. Vayle's writings and presentation are very unique. I expect that you would imitate this pattern, since you are deputed to act on his behalf: answering questions, visiting all the Continents of the world and addressing large groups of ministers. May I admonish you, as a brother and fellow minister, to carefully and prayerfully consider these objections and respond only according to your established policy, —the Word and the message. You would be honoured by your multitude of ministers if you chose to let them read this seven church age discourse in the future.

If you keep your promise of your established policy, you cannot deny such exposition of errors as set forth in this discourse.

Please do not quote to me a bunch of quotations about the prophet's commendation of Dr. Vayle. I heard them already, and appreciate them, in your first reply letter to me, on the subject of the seventh seal/seven thunders, which makes up the continuation of this discourse. Such quotations would not defend my refutations of errors injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle.

Luke 14:11 <For whosoever exalteth himself shall be abased; and he that humbleth himself shall be exalted.> Amen.

Please be advised that the third part of this seven church age discourse will be completed in due course of time. I would appreciate a reply as soon as possible. I know that you are a busy servant of God with an international ministry, but I think that this is most important to message believers internationally.

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S RESPONSE

ERROR NUMBER TWO

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 724 TO 737

CHAPTER FIVE

Heretical Article No. 724: Strategy to show E.O.D.H. is anti-message.

Pastor Kocourek: Therefore, for the rest of this discourse where he uses the terminology "Quote Lee Vayle, Church Age Book" and then proceeds to give the page number, we shall drop the term "Quote Lee Vayle"... Therefore the quotes shall read as "Wm Branham, Church Age Book"... Therefore, I shall rewrite the name William Branham where Dr. lee Vayle has been listed in this entire discourse from here out.

E.O.D.H. Answer: On this stage of my discourse, it is not hard for anyone to see that you are determined to employ your treacherous plot to victimize me as, anti-message and anti-Branham by perverting my writings to say —Brother Branham where I stated —Dr. Vayle, since he was editor of the C.A.B. Since you are defeated by the

Word and message by my discourse, you have employed carnal weapons of war to direct the attention of your followers away from the real issue.

You don't want them to know that the Prophet **condemned** this heresy that says that the new birth and Baptism of the Holy Ghost are the same experience.

The Prophet based his defense against that heresy upon the written Word and revelation of the Holy Ghost. I stand firmly upon his teaching. None of your lies, perversion of the Word and quotations of the Prophet are able to change that truth or remove me off that foundation.

On the subject —An Ear to Hear, you have twisted the meaning of Dr. Vayle's heresy in a shameless, hypocritical manner, insomuch that even your disciples would know that you are lying. You said I cannot **read**, so I don't understand what Dr. Vayle is teaching: They will also see from our discourse that you **cannot spell**, thus you cannot read.

You have twisted this heresy to say it is the evidence of the Holy Ghost, when in fact Dr. Vayle is saying that the Spirit must be first dwelling in a person to hear and receive the message and revelation of the age. That is very cunning and diabolic, even like the tongue of the serpent speaking to Eve.

AN EAR TO HEAR

Heretical Article No. 725: The C.A.B. is not saying that a person can be filled with the spirit of God before receiving the Word for the Age.

Pastor Kocourek: ...he is misunderstanding the wording in the Church Age Book in reference to the evidence of being spirit filled and he thinks there is a difference between being spirit filled and receiving the New Birth. Where I think this Foreign Pastor might be having his problem is that he is looking at the following quotes from Wm. Branham in the Church Age Book and he is thinking that they are saying that a person can be filled with the spirit of God before receiving the Word for the Age.

Church Age Book (C.A.B.): 141-1 And to every age we hear the same truth. "He that hath an ear let him hear what the Spirit saith to the churches." But only a Spirit-filled man can "hear" the revelation for that age. None other can. No sir. They cannot because that is exactly what Paul said in I Corinthians 2:6-16. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Now, to the unlearned this may look to appear hear that Wm. Branham is saying that only a Spirit filled person can actually listen with their ears and believe, but that is not what this statement from the Church Age Book is saying at all.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a lie. You, Pastor Kocourek, or I are not having problems to understand Dr. Vayle's statement; he said:

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 143-1 If the Spirit wasn't inside, you wouldn't hear the truth and receive it by revelation if you heard it every moment of the day. That was the sign of the indwelling Spirit in the days of Paul. Those who were filled with the Holy Ghost heard the Word, received it and lived by it. Those who did not have the Spirit heard it only as carnal men, put a wrong interpretation on it and went into sin. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 144-1... then we know that the Spirit has to be abiding in the person or he cannot receive the truth for that time. Amen. That is exactly correct. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 164-3 ... The Word always comes to the truly Spirit-filled. That is the evidence of being filled with the Holy Ghost. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle Church Age Book: 165-2 In every church age we hear these words, "He that hath an ear, let him hear what the Spirit saith unto the churches." The Spirit gives the Word. If you have the Spirit you

will hear the Word for your age, as those true Christians took the Word for their age. (Smyrnaean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.4).

This does not require your Greek or Hebrew to understand; neither your cunning love Gospel and hypocrisy: —Where I think he might be having his problem.

You are purposely trying to change the meaning of Dr. Vayle's statement to hide this heresy. Other statements verify the same.

Not, do I only —thinkl, but believe and can sufficiently prove by the scripture that they are two separate experiences, even like the Prophet said. Many saints of both the O.T. and N.T. spiritually discerned, heard the truth and recognized the messenger and his message, identified and confessed Christ of the age without the experience of Pentecost.

Matthew 16:13-16—Thou art the Christ the son of the Living God.

Luke 1:41 Elizabeth - Mother of my Lord's blessed is the fruit of the womb.

Luke 2:25-32—Simeon - Mine eyes have seen thy salvation

Luke 2:36-38 – Anna Prophesied of Christ. - She gave thanks unto the

Lord John 1:29-34 - John Baptist - Behold the Lamb of God

John 1:40:42 – Andrew & Simon Peter. - We have found the Messiah-Christ

John 1:43:51 – Phillip & Nathaniel - Thou art the son of God, thou art the king of

Israel John 4:19-25 – Woman of Samaria. Sir I perceive that thou art a prophet

John 6:67-69 – We believe and are sure that thou art that Christ the son of the living God.

Acts 9:3-5 -- Who art thou Lord Acts 9:17-18 - Be filled with the Holy Ghost.

They were all filled with the Holy Ghost and were not born again. It was not even preached nor understood (John 3:1-5). Thus, this truth reflects your gross ignorance on the subject of the Holy Ghost and the new birth.

Heretical Article No. 726: The C.A.B. is not saying that the person has to have the spirit first or he can not receive something that comes his way.

Pastor Kocourek: Church Ages Book: 144-1... then we know that **the Spirit has to be abiding in the person or he cannot receive the truth for that time**. Amen. That is exactly correct.

I want you to notice that here it appears that the person has to have the spirit first or he can not receive something that comes his way. But that is not what this says at all.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Dr. Vayle expressed himself in no uncertain terms. It's dishonest to put a different meaning to it. A heresy cannot justify a heresy.

Heretical Article No. 727: E.O.D.H. is challenging the statements of the prophet in the C.A.B. because of not understanding what it means "to hear."

Pastor Kocourek: *That Foreign pastor states:* —I positively state that a man does **not have to be spirit filled to "hear"** the Spirit and truth for the age... Apostle Paul declared that a man is sealed or Spirit filled, baptized with the Holy Ghost, and receives the article of Pentecost, **after he hears** the Word of truth, the gospel message of the age. *End of Foreign Pastor statement:*

Now, first of all I think if our brother Foreign Pastor does not understand what the word "hear" means from brother Branham, and so he thinks it simply means "to audibly hear the sound of". But if he understood what the word "hear" means and as defined by brother Branham himself, he would not be challenging these statements of brother Branham.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am challenging the statements of Dr. Vayle. They are not the prophet's statements. To hear is to understand by revelation (St. John 5:24). This can happen before the Baptism of the Holy Ghost. Dr. Vayle's heresy is contrary to this truth of the Word and message.

Quote W.M.B.: 37 ... The baptism of the Holy Ghost is different from the new birth.

...you have the new birth by believing on the Lord Jesus Christ...Now, if you want to back that up, take St. John 5:24... ...he's got Life because he believes. And that same group had to go to Pentecost to be baptized with the Holy Ghost...

...So when talk like you got to be born again, and applying that to the Holy Ghost, many of the Methodists and so forth **are wrong** there. ...It just **won't cope to the Scripture** here. You get the thing sideways. It's got to take it the way the Scripture's got it placed out here. (Countdown 62-0909M).

Heretical Article No. 728: The Baptism of the Holy Ghost referred to in the C.A.B. is not the article of Pentecost."

Pastor Kocourek: ...our brother is referring to the Baptism of the Holy Spirit as the article of Pentecost instead of referring it to what it is, and that is the infilling of the very Spirit Life of God. There is a difference between being baptized or anointed with power for service and then being baptized or given new birth in your soul.

E.O.D.H. Answer: The baptism of the Holy Ghost Dr. Vayle referred to was the article of Pentecost, St. John 14: 26.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle; Church Age Book: 144-2 Now before we leave this subject I want to make myself very clear on what the Baptism with the Holy Ghost is according to the Word. It is not according to me, and it is not according to you. It has to be according to "Thus saith the Lord," or we are falsely led. Amen.

...I want to tell you exactly what I mean. I mean for the sinner to come forward and be born again, which is to be **baptized** into the body of Christ **by the Holy Ghost** which is exactly what **took place at Pentecost** when the church was launched. In other words, to be born of the Spirit is to be truly baptized with the Holy Ghost. It is one and the same. (Smyrnaean Church Age – Church Age Book Cpt.4).

You are a pretty confused successor of Dr. Vayle. How can you defend his heresies if you do not even know, and deny what he has written in the C.A.B. It shows that you were not faithfully taught, or you would know the quotation above is in the C.A.B. Now, who could read and who can't read? This has disarmed you and your interpretation, and all your excuses and denial of what Dr. Vayle taught.

Heretical Article No. 729: The Baptism of the Holy Ghost that Helps you to understand the Word is the new birth."

Pastor Kocourek: "hear" means to "understand".

... From his sermon Key to the door 62-1007 P: 68 Brother Branham said, No man can understand the Word outside the baptism of the Holy Ghost. And when a man says he's got the baptism of the Holy Ghost, and disputes the Word being right, there's something wrong.

...Ands the Apostle Paul told us the only way you will understand the thoughts of God is to have the Spirit of God in you. And that is the new birth.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Pastor Kocourek, you took only part of the quotation. In that very quotation the prophet said that the Baptism of the Holy Ghost that helps you understand the Word is different from the New Birth. Your perversion of the prophet's words cannot justify Dr. Vayle's heresy that says —that the spirit must be inside the believer before he can hear the Word. The prophet's statement divided Birth from Baptism. It is speaking of people who claim the Baptism of the Holy Ghost and then deny the Word. This is what you are doing Pastor Kocourek. Examine your heresy by the whole quotation.

Quote W.M.B.: 136 Now, when I was born into the family of God, I came by the Blood; the Blood give me Life. And then after I become alive in Christ, He baptized me with the Holy Ghost and power to be a son of God...Now, when I receive the Holy Ghost, I receive power to cast out devils, to speak with new tongues, preach the Gospel, heal the sick. I am baptized, not born; but baptized. They were gathered in the upper room...And power for service came. (Amen.)

You believe unto Eternal Life, and are born again by your faith. Jesus said in St. John 5:24, "He that heareth My Words and believeth on Him that sent Me, has Everlasting life," not the Holy Ghost, just has Everlasting Life. **He's born into the family of God, and then baptized into the Holy Ghost,** with power of the intelligence of faith to believe the Gospel and to put it to work and make It act right.

...He said, "It's expedient for Me that I go away. For, if I go not away, the Holy Ghost will not come." See? Then when He comes, He will reprove the world of sin, and teach righteousness, and show you things to come; that's visions. "He'll take the things that I've taught to you, and reveal them to you." The very Words that He's come... No man can understand the Word outside the baptism of the Holy Ghost. And when a man says he's got the baptism of the Holy Ghost, and **disputes the Word** being right, there's something wrong. (Key To The Door 62-1007).

Heretical Article No. 730: The author of E.O.D.H. seems to have a problem with reading or he would understand that the quotes of Dr. Vayle in the C.A.B. do not say that the person is filled with the spirit prior to hearing the Word of God.

Pastor Kocourek: ... our brother seems to have a problem being **able to read.** The quotes that William Branham spoke in the church age book do not say that the person is filled with the spirit prior to hearing the Word of God. It says that his "**Hearing or understanding**" is evidence that he is Spirit filled, and is also evidence that he understands what is being taught.

E.O.D.H. Answer: A man who cannot spell cannot read. Let your readers judge between both of us. Dr. Vayle from the quotations below is saying exactly what you denied: —The true evidence of the Baptism is to receive the Word for the age. He also re-emphasized the same fact in other statements that you alone conveniently refused to understand. This is so very well understood by most people in the message worldwide, that many are resting their faith on it for the rapture. They are —packed up, sealed up and ready to go. This heresy has deceived them. Now that you understand how wrong it is, you are denying its true meaning. You blamed it upon my lack of understanding.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle from Church Ages Book: 155-1 Now we have been constantly saying that the true evidence of being baptized with the Holy Ghost is for the believer to **receive the Word for the age** in which he lives. (Smyrnaean Church Age – Church Age Book Cpt.4).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle from Church Ages Book: *143-1 (Smyrnaean Church Age – Church Age Book Cpt.4).*

1. If the Spirit wasn't inside, you wouldn't hear the truth and receive it by revelation if you heard it every moment of the day.

- 2. That was the sign of the indwelling Spirit in the days of Paul.
- 3. Those who were filled with the Holy Ghost heard the Word, received it and lived by it.
- 4. Those who did not have the Spirit heard it only as carnal men, put a wrong interpretation on it and went into sin.

5. ...in every age the evidence was the same. Those who had the Spirit, the Teacher, heard the Word, and that Spirit in them took the Word and taught it (revealed it) to them; and they were of the group that heard the messenger and his message and took it and lived it.

Heretical Article No. 731: Before you get the Baptism of the Holy Ghost, you can only have a mental faith and not spiritual revelation.

Pastor Kocourek: I have always believed what brother Branham taught concerning our faith which begins in the mind first as a **mental faith**. And that is the faith that this brother is referring to which is **prior to the baptism** of the spirit. But brother Branham also taught us that when the Spirit of God moves upon that Word that we receive in our mind first, then He anoints it and once it is anointed, it drops into our soul and becomes a spiritual revelation to us. And that spiritual revelation is the new birth, and it is the baptism of the Holy Spirit. From his sermon, Blasphemous names 62-1104M P:53 brother Branham said, ... That faith recognizes only the Word... And when the word Itself is pouring into our faith, our mental faith becomes a spiritual revelation. "And upon this foundation I'll build My Church," (See?), not upon a mental conception of church.

Again in the same sermon Blasphemous names 62-1104M P:56 He continues, ... Number one, coming to you, your mental faith, right here, comes the Holy Spirit, coming down into your mental faith making it a spiritual faith. Then the spiritual faith only recognizes the Word. Now, and number two: Then you'll have the Holy Spirit, and will seal all these things into you, as that Holy Spirit covers this. From your faith up to the Holy Spirit seals you in with Christ; then you become one.

E.O.D.H. Answer: In his quote above the prophet separated the new birth from the baptism of the Holy Ghost. The prophet is saying that Peter had this spiritual revelation to build the church upon in Matthew 16, prior to receiving the baptism of the Holy Ghost.

Heretical Article No. 732: The spiritual revelation of the new birth is the baptism of the Holy Ghost.

Pastor Kocourek: ... that spiritual revelation is the new birth, and it is the baptism of the Holy Spirit. Earnestly contending for the faith 53-0614A P:82 Why brother, it's by faith you're saved. Is that right? But listen. In accepting of your faith, God confirms your faith by the baptism of the Holy Ghost. If you say you believe, and you don't get the baptism of the Holy Ghost, then you do not, it, your faith isn't accepted in the sight of God. You've got a mental faith and in the stead of a heart faith. That's right. When you truly believe God, God's under obligation to pour the Holy Ghost on you.

E.O.D.H. Answer: The prophet is absolutely correct; God confirms your faith by the Baptism of the Holy Ghost. He is not saying it's the spiritual faith. This is gross spiritual ignorance on your part. Now, who can't read? You have perverted the quotation of the prophet to try to support your heresy that a spiritual revelation of the new birth is the baptism of the Holy Ghost.

Pastor Kocourek: From his sermon Token the 63-1128E P:74 brother Branham said, ...When God has give you the true baptism of the Holy Spirit, then the Life of Jesus Christ is within you. Now, that's true; every theologian will have to admit that to be the truth; it's the new birth. You're borned anew, of the Holy Ghost... Br Branham clearly states here when God gives you the True baptism of the Holy Spirit, then (When? at the time of the true Baptism of the Holy Spirit) the very Life of Jesus Christ is within you, and he calls it "the new birth". These are the same words that he uses in the Church Age Book, so these are not inconsistent with his own teaching. So let's say all that the prphet says, my brother. Let's not pick and choose what best fits your own theology.

Again Br. Branham says in his sermon, Hear recognize act on word 60-0221 P:61 ...Now, anyone knows that the Seal of God is the birthright, the baptism of the Holy Ghost. Ephesians 4:30, says, "Grieve not the Holy Spirit of God, whereby you're sealed till the day of your redemption." When they were born again, they were filled with the Holy Spirit. The Holy Spirit is the new birth, we know that. You're begotten of the Spirit; I'll admit that. But until you're born...

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am not one to twist the message to win a debate. I come back to the Word on the above statements. The prophet did not tie it to the Word. His other teachings that say they are not the same were supported by the Word (St. John 5:24, Acts 2). I leave this to God and His prophet and hold to the written Word. These statements would not fit the pyramid teaching or his many quotations on the same subject. Jesus was first born right by the Word and was baptized by the Holy Ghost, thirty years after. This example stands as proof that all sons must come that way. The apostles were first born again (St. John 5:24), then went up to Pentecost for the true Baptism of the Spirit. The prophet emphasized this truth on many of his statements.

Heretical Article No. 733: The filling of the Holy Ghost that Simeon and the Old Testament saints had was no different to what the 120 received on the day of Pentecost.

Pastor Kocourek: ... I think our brother is confusing being filled with the spirit with what took place on the day of Pentecost where the people were anointed for service by the infilling of the spirit. To say that there was no

baptism of the Spirit until the day of Pentecost is to deny that Jesus Himself had received the baptism of the Spirit himself. Now, I know that the Spirit that came into Jesus had not yet been given out as it says in St. John 7:39, but what spirit then was it that filled John the Baptist in His mothers womb. What Spirit was Jesus Christ baptized with himself as we see in several places of Scripture. In the Message.

... So we see that while Jesus was on earth after his baptism there was not a release of that spirit that was bottled up in him until after it left him at Gethsemane. But before Jesus was in-filled with the entire fullness of the Godhead bodily, there are numerous examples of people in Scripture who were filled with the holy Ghost.

... Elisabeth was "FILLED with what? With the Holy Ghost... Simeon; and the same man was just and devout, waiting for the consolation of Israel: and **the Holy Ghost was upon him**.

... Now, I ask you brother, what do you see here with this brother Simeon which was any different than what was shown in Scripture of those who had received the Holy Ghost on the day of Pentecost. Don't you see, that all of God's kids, old testament and new testament are going to have God-Life in them if they are in fact God's Kids. God Life is God Life whether before or after the day of Pentecost.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I can see, from your long drawn out explanation of the Baptism of the Holy Ghost given to Simeon, Elizabeth, John the Baptist and others; also on the day of Pentecost that you have invented another heresy to say that there is no difference between what they received and what the 120 received on the day of Pentecost.

This is total ignorance on the Word of God and the message of the hour. This is where most of your heresies are based on the New Birth and Baptism of the Holy Spirit. This contradicts the Bible from Genesis to Revelation and denies the New Covenant that was prophesied to come to God's people. I firmly declare that there is a difference of both articles of the Holy Ghost or fillings as the scriptures termed them. Because of this fact, Jesus said in St. John 7:39 the Holy Ghost was not yet given out. Your misunderstanding of what He said caused you to accuse me of teaching that there was no Holy Ghost until the day of Pentecost. That's a lie! I quoted in my discourse where many were filled with the Holy Ghost before the day of Pentecost. If you blame me, you will also blame the Lord Jesus, since he is the one that preached that doctrine that the Holy Ghost was not yet given out. Now, who is confused?

This proves that the filling that the people received before the covenant was changed was without the New Birth or born again experience. That is why they did wrong things and were punished of God. The Baptism on the day of Pentecost came upon the 120 to confirm that they were previously born again by spiritual faith (St. John 5:24).

Heretical Article No. 734: You cannot have eternal life prior to receiving the baptism of the Holy Ghost.

Pastor kocourek: But the real problem I see here is that Pastor just does not understand what Eternal life is. I wish he did, but this whole discourse he has been fighting the prophets words unknowingly with the prophets words knowingly.

Brother Branham said in Things that are to be 65-1205 P:23 Now, the only way that you can be a son or daughter of God... Because you have to be the--have to have Eternal Life... And there's only one form of Eternal Life, and that's God's Life... to be a son of God, you had to be in Him always. The gene of your life, spiritual life tonight, was in God the Father before there was even a molecule. See? And you are nothing but the manifestation of the gene of Life that was in God as a son of God. Now, you're expressed, after His Word has come in you to light up this age. You are the, expressing God's Life in you, because you are a son or a daughter of God. Therefore... God knew that you would be here, because you have to be one of His genes or His attributes. You had to be. If you've got Eternal Life, then it always was Eternal Life.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I see your diabolic lie employed in your statement here, that I am fighting the prophet's words. According to the prophet's teaching St. John 5:24 is eternal life, which they received by a revelation of Jesus Christ and the gospel. The baptism of the Holy Ghost followed that experience on the day of Pentecost. This is the prophet's teaching. Don't try to twist it out of context

Quote W.M.B.: 38 ...you have the new birth by being--believing on the Lord Jesus Christ. See? By having faith and accepting Him as your Saviour, that's birth ...because you've passed from death unto Life. Now, if you

want to back that up, take St. John 5:24... ...he's got Life because he believes. And that same group had to go to Pentecost to be baptized with the Holy Ghost...

Holy Ghost is power for service. So when talk like you got to be born again, and applying that to the Holy Ghost, many of the Methodists and so forth are wrong there. ...It just won't cope to the Scripture here. You get the thing sideways. It's got to take it the way the Scripture's got it placed out here. ..."You shall receive the new birth after this"? What? No. "You shall receive power (Acts 1:8) after this the Holy Ghost is come upon you." And they'd already believed to Eternal Life, and so forth, but they had to have the Holy Ghost for power. "You shall be witnesses unto Me after the Holy Ghost is come upon you," because the Holy Ghost is a witness of the resurrection, showing that you have become an adult in Christ. (Countdown 62-0909M).

Quote: 269-363 ... when you believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, you then have the new birth. When you believe on the Lord, you receive a new thought, a new life, but it isn't the baptism of the Holy Ghost. You've got the new birth when you believe; you've got Eternal Life. It's a gift of God that's give to you through sovereign grace by accepting the gift that God is giving to you. "He that heareth My Words and believeth on Him that sent Me, hath Eternal Life, has Everlasting Life"; that's the new birth. You're converted; it means you're turned around.

But the baptism of the Holy Spirit puts you into the body of Christ, subject to the gifts for service. It doesn't make you any more of a Christian; it just puts you into the body of gifts. "Now, by one Spirit...we're all baptized into one body. Now," says Paul, "there are different gifts, and in this body is nine spiritual gifts." And in this body... You have to be baptized into the body to possess one of these gifts. They come with the body. (Q&A Hebrews Part 2 COD 57-1002).

Quote: 270-367 Now, when you believe, Jesus said, "You have Eternal Life." That's the new birth. That's your conversion, changing. But the baptism of the Holy Spirit is the power of God that you're baptized into and are subject to these nine spiritual gifts to work through you.

...The baptism of the Holy Ghost is a different act from the new birth. One is a birth; one is a baptism. One brings you Eternal Life; the other one gives you power. It gives power into Eternal Life to operate. Now, you got it? Okay, all right. (Q&A Hebrews Part 2 COD 57-1002).

Heretical Article No. 735: Peter was not born again by his confession in Matthew 16.

Pastor Kocourek: I hate to have to differ with my precious brother again but his example that he is using here of Peter doing something to be born again, isn't Scriptural... Peter was not born again by his confession. He was not born again until he received the baptism of the Holy Spirit. Jesus told him at the last supper, "Peter, when you are converted strengthen thy brethren." Luke 22: 32 That means his conversion was yet to take place.

Why it had to be shepherds 64-1221 P:115 ""You've got to be born again." And when you're born again, it just isn't because you believe. They say, "You're borned when you believe." But the Bible said, "The Devil believes also." Now, notice, it's not that; it's an experience. You say, "Well, I've lived a good life." So did the apostles, but they wasn't borned again until they received the Holy Spirit. They wasn't even converted until they had received the Holy Spirit.

... Now, Foreign Pastor You said, Peter the apostle was born again by a confession...Brother Branham said in Hear His voice 58-1005M P:102 Have you received the Holy Ghost since you believed? It's a birth, not a confession....

E.O.D.H. Answer: The prophet said that Peter was born again by his confession in Matthew 16. You are saying he was not. You are wrong and the prophet is right.

Quote W.M.B.: 36-4 What is the new birth then? You say, "Well, Brother Branham, what is the new birth?" It is the revelation of Jesus Christ personally to you. Amen. See?...

... He is the Word that was revealed to you. And no matter what anybody says, what takes place, it's Christ. Pastor, priest, whatever it might be... It's Christ in you. That is a--the revelation that the Church was built upon. (Christ Is The Mystery 63-0728).

Heretical Article No. 736: Two baptisms of the Spirit. The first is with the birth. The second on the day of Pentecost is different.

Pastor Kocourek: Quote W.M.B.: 117 ... I don't believe in being born again is the baptism of the Holy Ghost. It is not the baptism of the Holy Ghost; it's being reborn again. We're reborn by the Blood. Blood cell comes from the...life cell comes from the Blood. You're baptized by the Holy Ghost into the body, but you're born by the Blood. (Influence Of Another 62-1013). *End of Foreign Pastor statement:*

... Now, I will admit here that I was having trouble with what brother Branham is saying here, because it seemed a bit confusing... So I believe what he is saying here is the baptism for power is not the new birth and I think we all agree on that.

E.O.D.H. Answer: You sound like a confused little boy! You disagree with the prophet on the same subject and tried to pervert his statements to fit your ideas, and now you are saying, we do all agree. Now, you are perverting the quotation of the prophet. It does not mean that they had the baptism with a birth before the day of Pentecost. He said on the above quotation, and all the other quotations that I have used in this discourse, they were born again by the Word (St. John 5: 24), then got the baptism at Pentecost.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Influence of another 62-1013 P:75... I don't believe in being born again is the baptism of the Holy Ghost. It is not the baptism of the Holy Ghost...

Now, here we find brother Branham telling us that the new birth is not the baptism of the spirit, and yet in the Church Age book we find him saying,... What is the Baptism with the Holy Ghost? It is the Spirit Baptizing you into the body of Christ. It is the new birth.

Now, in reading these two different statements, it would appear that brother Branham was saying the opposite things about the baptism of the spirit and the new birth. In one record we find him saying they are not the same, and then in this it appears to be saying it is the same. But that is not what he is saying here. (Masterpiece 40 - 2005 What is the New Birth and the Baptism of the Holy Ghost.

E.O.D.H.: In 2005, here in your own words, you denied what Dr. Vayle wrote in the C.A.B. saying that he did **not mean that the new birth and baptism are the same.** And now, in 2008, in this discourse, you are defending Dr. Vayle, and justifying that heresy, and saying that they are one and the same; creating a baptism to line up with a birth, and another without it. There is only one baptism - —One Lord, one faith, one baptism.ll -Ephesians 4, like the day of Pentecost, that puts you into the body of Christ -1 Corinthians 12: 13-: There are several others that the prophet described, which a man can have and go to hell.

Quote Pastor Kocourek From C.A.B. Discourse: *E-71 You must be borned again, and when you're borned again, then you must be filled with the Holy Ghost. (Door In A Door 63-0223).*

Position in Christ 60-0522M P:40 And then God gave His children new birth by the baptism of the Holy Spirit.

Now, is this a confused prophet speaking about two different baptisms of the Holy Ghost or is he speaking on one hand the true baptism which is the New birth and then a baptism for power and service?

E.O.D.H. In your above statement, you are desperately trying to prove that the birth and the baptism are two separate, different articles and that the prophet was not confused about his teachings. Now, in this discourse, you are —breaking your neck to prove they are one. This is very hypocritical. You are not confused, but you are a shameless perverter of the Word.

Heretical Article No. 737: When Brother Branham said, "born again does not necessarily mean now that you got the Holy Ghost", he was speaking of being born again by a corruptible seed.

Pastor Kocourek: Quote W.M.B.: 114 ... And born again does not necessarily mean now that you got the Holy Ghost. Now, many teach that. "I don't know anybody that teaches it like this," ... But new birth is not the baptism of the Holy Spirit. The Scripture doesn't support it, I don't think (See?), to my way of seeing it. (Key To The Door 62-1007). *End of Foreign Pastor statement:*

And of course this is a very true statement, because you can be born again of a corruptible seed, and that means by man's doctrine and not the Doctrine of Christ

E.O.D.H. Answer: You have invented a notorious lie against the teachings of the prophet to explain away that the new birth and the baptism of the Holy Ghost are not the same. You have twisted the prophet's words to mean that he is speaking about being born again by a corruptible seed and man's doctrine. You are a shameless fellow. You know better than what your tongue is saying, but to justify your heresy, you have perverted the words of the prophet. Nowhere did he mention or indicate that it was birth by a corruptible seed. He was referring to Jesus' teaching based on St. John 3:3-5. Out of the same mouth, you said above, that you agree that the baptism of the Holy Ghost and new birth are the same. Now, you disagree.

The prophet said in that very quotation, that communion is for people that are born again. You interpreted that quotation to say that it is for people who are born by man's doctrine. You are lying on the prophet. The full quotation reads:

Quote W.M.B.: 114 So communion is for Christians that's borned again of the Spirit of God. And borned again does not necessarily mean now that you got the Holy Ghost. Now, many teach that. "I don't know anybody that teaches it like this," ... But new birth is not the baptism of the Holy Spirit. The Scripture doesn't support it, I don't think (See?), to my way of seeing it. (Key To The Door 62-1007).

Pastor Kocourek: Finally I would like to say that all the quotes our precious brother gave us to qualify his arguments came from pre-Seals sermons. Now, I am not one that says you don't have to believe anything before the seals... However, that does not do away with the fact that if brother Branham taught a certain thing a certain way after the seals which was different than before the seals, then we should take note and teach the same as he taught. Therefore, I do believe that we must qualify pre-Seals quote with Post Seals quotes where there seems to be conflict. If brother Branham taught something and changed his teaching then we had best change ours as well.

E.O.D.H. Answer: You cannot show me! I challenge you, Dr. Vayle and any heretic internationally to show me where the prophet made a difference with pre-seals sermons and the post-seals sermons. The revelation of his message from the beginning of his ministry to the end is a perfect revelation. He preached it and grew in the Word of God. Nothing is to be refused from that perfect revelation. So this is a dumb excuse for all the heresies that you have invented to protect Dr. Vayle's heresies injected into the C.A.B.

I close my discourse with much disappointment in your general attitude, arrogant behavior, lies, accusations, perversion of scriptures, perversion of the prophet's message, denying Dr. Vayle's heresies and many other negative elements which you have manifested without shame, decency, respect, regard for the Word and message and the truth itself.

I will continue to expose all your heresies as they come to hand and I am in no way obligated to you or Dr. Vayle to have any discourse on those subjects. You do not have a humble spirit, or an approachable attitude. Therefore, I end this discourse with you. Amen!

Finally, I allow you this liberty to respond to this my exposition of all that you have written. Please be informed that our entire discourse will be posted on our website <u>www.bethelthehouseofgod.net</u>, since you refused to be admonished as a brother against the three major heresies that were injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle. He has **proven to be a gentleman** thus far, and if at 94 years of age he cannot comprehend the exposition of his errors, he is excusable. But you are without excuse because you are not an old man, but you are defending the errors of an old man, whom you indicated is not very alert. This reflects your self-will, pride and stubbornness to repent. The greater part of your discourse was based upon the idea of defending the personality of Dr. Vayle and not the Word of God.

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER SIX

Introduction to chapter six, preached at Bethel the House of God, 21/10/2008, after close of discourse.

Revelation 10:1-4 <And I saw another mighty angel come down from heaven, clothed with a cloud: and a rainbow was upon his head, and his face was as it were the sun, and his feet as pillars of fire:

And he had in his hand a little book open: and he set his right foot upon the sea, and his left foot on the

earth,

And cried with a loud voice, as when a lion roareth: and when he had cried, seven thunders uttered their

voices.

And when the seven thunders had uttered their voices, I was about to write: and I heard a voice from heaven saying unto me, Seal up those things which the seven thunders uttered, and write them not.>

The only way that I could efficiently expose the errors that are in the Church Age Book is to do it by the words of the prophet and the scriptures, and that is exactly what we did. And I humbly challenged Dr. Vayle indirectly, and representing him was his successor called Brian Kocourek. So I went through a discourse concerning the three errors. I presented it to them on manuscript. I am now preaching from the manuscript exactly how I presented it to them. So we have so far four messages. There might be one or two again and they are lined up with the book, but I cannot preach as a book. Now, these messages will be made public and why they must be made public, is because I entered into a private discourse with Pastor Kocourek concerning the C.A.B. as he was representing Dr. Lee Vayle, and he took the discourse before it was completed and went to the internet and published that to the world. I am saying that that's a wicked deed of this successor who was supposed to be protecting Dr. Vayle. And we have protected the character of Dr. Vayle since 1972 and although we exposed certain things, we exposed them indirectly and there was one article in which we mentioned his name and we gave him full respect and all that goes with it. So this man thinks that he is the successor of Dr. Vayle and he is running third in line as a man who is well taught and has the message of the hour and that no man knows the message like him. So that's the false seed of the devil that makes him think that after Brother Branham was Dr. Vayle and after Dr. Vayle is him; Brian Kocourek. So for a little fellow like me to challenge a man like that, he asked me, ---Who are you? I said, --It doesn't matter who I am; it's the Word of the Lord!! I say now, --Yes, it's the Word of the Lord and the sword of Gideon! So I let him know I don't have to be anybody. If he had any sense he would realize that over in the book of Revelation it is written, -Thou hast tried them which say they are apostles, and are not, and have found them liars. You don't have to be a prophet, all that you have to be is a believer and have a revelation on the Word and you could find out who are the false prophets. -Thou hast tried them which say they are apostles, and are not, and have found them liars. And this congregation has found a lot of heretics liars! Paul commanded the church at Galatia to let angels or any man be accursed that speak contrary to the revelation that he established – Galatians 1: 8-9.

So this topic here on error number three, seven thunders, started like this. Brian Kocourek was in contact with me for several years, four or five years or maybe more. Being an international preacher travelling to the five continents, of which he boasted, and different ones were in contact with him, when heresies arose around the world he used to contact me and detail these heresies. Then I used to write him and he, being a proud, big man, would not ask me in plain words, how to answer such heresies, but he would say, —How do you handle that, have you ever come in contact with this heresy? Now being a free hearted man, not looking to make any name, I would document certain items, like on the —Two Souls Heresy, and send it for him, with scriptures and quotations, and then I will refer him to my books.

So this debate came about in this manner. There is a false prophet, a real fanatic called Parnell; we exposed his heresies; they are the most radical. All kinds of things: —The resurrection took place already; the rapture has already taken placel; real madness. So Kocourek referred me to some new heresies that arose and asked me if I heard of them. So I said, we exposed that fellow some time ago and you better check book 12. So he went to book 12 but he found something there that was not too nice for him. After four years that the watch dog was asleep, he found in there where I spoke about Brother Vayle, concerning the third error which is the seven thunders to be revealed by Malachi 4:5-6; C.A.B. page 327. It stirred him up at once. I could see his anger in writing. So he wrote to me defending Brother Vayle and asked why I should call Dr. Vayle's name there and then I had to answer him. This manuscript that I am preaching from is my answers to him and his debate to me.

This is one of the most serious heresies. C.A.B. error number two is one of the most dangerous. But error number three is equally dangerous. The article of his contention can be found in E.O.D.H. book 12, page 89. Since our debate started on the thunders, I challenged him at once. So the Lord led this to be this way. So when we started the debate I said, I want to engage you on two more errors in the C.A.B, would you accept that? He said, —Sure.I The debate started.

Now, the seven thunders that are recorded in Revelation 10:1-4, were not written in the Bible. That is one revelation that was not written in the Bible; John was forbidden to write it. Now, the prophet of God who was used of God to open the seals in 1963, when he came to the seventh seal and the seven thunders he said, —God has not revealed that revelation to me, it will be revealed about the time of the rapture. He warned the people and said,

—Don't go and make any isms concerning these thunders, they were not revealed. They obeyed that for ten years, from 1963-1973, they obeyed it to the letter and I was identified with them. All ministers wondered about the thunders and nobody ever tried to guess at it. Thus there were **ten years of silence** concerning the thunders that nobody had an interpretation for it. Trace back the false prophets and thunders prophets and you will see that they all started about 1973 or 1974; no earlier than that.

-So why did you say that this doctrine is dangerous? We heard enough from the prophet to know that the seven thunders has to do with translation faith and it has to do with the rapture. The revelation is supposed to come to the end-time bride and give her translation faith for the rapture. To interfere with that and put a false interpretation to that revelation you are trying to keep the bride earthbound. You are running ahead and trying to impersonate that revelation and the prophet warned and warned and warned, he said, if Satan gets a hold of this he would try to impersonate it. Now that is exactly what the people did. Now, that went throughout the world, it started in 1974 and as far as I remember, Pastor Coleman is that one who started that doctrine going around the world and he messed up a lot of people from America to Africa. And there are many that are seated here who were at one time attached to those false thunders. Those false thunders destroyed the holiness message. The holiness message was first to come and be established that they might be able to see the second coming of the Lord. -Without holiness no man shall see the Lord. Now, that's the detrimental thing even because they interfered with a great secret that is supposed to translate the bride in the end-time. Now, when God used Brother Vayle, to document the church age tapes, God's prophet never told him to go and say anything about the seals. The church ages were preached in 1960. In 1960 seven thunders was not the topic. So in the documenting of the C.A.B. Brother Vayle slipped into the C.A.B. this gross error. It is on page 327. Identify the same in your C.A.B. as error number three; Dr. Vayle's interpretation! And I'll read it right out of the book here.

Quote Church Age Book: 327 Now this messenger of Malachi 4 and Revelation 10:7 is going to do two things. One: According to Malachi 4 he will turn the hearts of the children to the fathers. Two: He will reveal the mysteries of the seven thunders in Revelation 10 which are the revelations contained in the seven seals. It will be these Divinely revealed 'mystery-truths' that literally turn the hearts of the children to the Pentecostal fathers. (Laodicean Church Age - Church Age Book Cpt.9.)

I stand boldly here tonight, and I have done that for the past years proclaiming that this insertion into the C.A.B. concerning the thunders is false! It is **not the teaching of Malachi 4: 5-6!** It is wrong! It is deceptive! It is inconsistent with the message of Malachi 4:5-6.

Now, I can very well understand how Dr. Vayle could make such a mistake. All the mysteries were revealed and he knew that. There was only one mystery that was not revealed and that's the seven thunders. So Dr. Vayle used his head and knowing that Brother Branham was the last church age messenger on earth, —there couldn't be anybody who could get that revelation but Brother Branham. So he took that for granted and he said that the seven thunders will be revealed to the prophet, in the above statement. Now, anybody could make that mistake, not knowing the detrimental thing that he was doing. So this remained in the C.A.B. and I am here to tell you; that error he inserted into the C.A.B. became a **foundational doctrine** for all the heretics that arose since 1974, and they are now here thinking that they are preaching the seven thunders. That became the foundation of their false thunders, along with a few isolated statements; and they proclaim that Brother Branham revealed the seven thunders.

Now I want to point out something to you right here. On page 327 Dr. Vayle **never said that Brother Branham revealed the thunders**. He said, the thunders are supposed to be revealed by Malachi 4:5-6. And he went along to tell you that the revelation is contained in the seven seals and it will turn the hearts of the children right back to the fathers. In other words, it will take them back to Pentecost. No man could show you where Dr. Vayle says in the C.A.B. that the seven thunders were revealed. On account of that now, and because of his beliefs, he was looking for the revelation of the thunders by Brother Branham, who **expected the same**. He concluded that it was not revealed to him, but Dr. Vayle did not. And do you know what happened? This may sound very strange to you. Dr. Vayle waited in 1963 and 1964 and never saw the thunders revealed. It was getting late for him in his imagination. Brother Branham preached a message called —The Rapturel on 4th Dec 1965. Dr. Vayle later proclaimed that the message entitled —The Rapturel, is the revelation of the seven thunders. This heresy will be later exposed in this work.

Now, that is proof to show you that he never claimed that Brother Branham revealed the thunders, but he was looking for it. He expressed himself in many doubts, many questions and many suggestions. Thus the people who preach the —thunders went off on an imaginary doctrine, quoting Dr. Vayle as saying that Brother Branham revealed the thunders. Dr. Vayle **never said that.** Brother Branham never said that but Dr. Vayle presently believes it is revealed and it was the —Rapture message of Brother Branham. So now, with that little foundation I turn my

attention to the way that I answered Pastor Kocourek. He was ready to bitterly oppose me, but he started in a very cunning and enquiring way. So he wrote me a sharp letter. So I was handling him with gloves also so you will notice. Now this is really the beginning of the debate but I have placed it last because it comes last in the C.A.B. The following is my response. Amen.

7™ SEAL/SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES

ERROR NUMBER THREE

> E.O.D.H. ANSWERS <

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 738 TO 745

10th August 2008

Dear Brother Brian Kocourek,

Greetings in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, our soon coming King. I write in **response to** your letter dated 4th August 2008 in which you stated your objections to my article of E.O.D.H. **Book 12**: Heretical Article No. 284, concerning Dr. Vayle and his statement in the seven **church age book, page 327**, in relation to Don Parnell and company's heresies. My defense is stated under your statement as —Fact No. 1-6| and also unidentified statements.

Our communications for the past years have been very enjoyable and christianlike. I endeavor to keep this same spirit of love and respect in this our first discourse and others to follow concerning Dr. Vayle's statements on the seven church age book, which are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet. We were unsuccessful in starting this discourse by written work with Dr. Vayle. He communicated by telephone, which was insufficient. I am so happy that you can represent him and your teachings. The Lord bless you.

Brother Kocourek: *Br. Dalton, I do not know who wrote the following article on Parnell but there are some assumptions that were made in the article that are not based on truth.*

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am the author of E.O.D.H. book 12. I am not aware of any assumptions not based on truth.

Brother Kocourek's Fact Number One: Brother Vaye was not a Doctor of Divinty from any school. He had only 6 weeks of post highschool education and was kicked out for teaching the young men to speak in tongues at a Bible college.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Thanks for the information. I am sorry he had to be kicked out of school. Maybe those responsible knew that there is **no scripture to support** teaching anybody to speak in tongues nor prophesy.

Brother Kocourek's Fact Number Two: Brother Branham gave him his title because he sid that all the other ministries at that time had there doctors of divinity so he made br. Vayle his "Doctor Lee Vayle".

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, I respect that. I believe he had a good reason for doing so and I have adopted the same title for him.

Brother Kocourek's Fact Number Three: Br. Branham said that If any minister or laity had any questions concerning the Message to ask Lee Vayle. He never said that about any other person.

E.O.D.H. Answer: That was very complimentary on behalf of Dr. Vayle.

Heretical Article No. 738: "Sister Meda Branham said that W.M.B. said there is none who understands the message as Dr. Vayle."

Brother Kocourek's Fact Number Four: I talked personally to sister Meda Branham a few weeks before she passed away and she said that Br. Branham said, "there is **noone** who understands the Message like Lee Vayle"

E.O.D.H. Answer: That was very nice of Sister Branham to say so. However, I heard of this statement in a different light.

Brother Kocourek's Fact Number Five: Although brother Branham said there was only one thing he differed with br. Vayle on and that was cleared up when brother Vayle realized that tribulation comes from the root word retribution and he then instantly understood that God would never send His elect through a retributive judgment for she has already been saved from the judgment.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Thanks for the information. I wondered about it myself. I still wonder, **how long after** he came to that knowledge.

Heretical Article No. 739: "Everything in the C.A.B. is "Thus saith the Lord.""

Brother Kocourek's Fact number Six: *Brother Vayle told two people, br. Sydney Jackson and Br. Wilard Collins that everything in that Church Age book is "THus Saith the Lord."*

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, I do believe, support and contend that the revelation of the seven church ages as preached by Brother Branham and documented in the church ages book is —Thus saith the Lord! However, there are a few major doctrines which are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet.

Heretical Article No. 740: "The fourth seal has already taken place."

Brother Kocourek: *The problem* as *I* see it is that people do not **undertstand thr** difference **bwteen** the revealing of the seals and the actual manifestation of those seals.

As example The first 4 seals took place when satan through the Catholic church went forth riding on the white, red, balck and brindle horse or power. But the revelation of what that was did not occur until 1963 when the seals were broken.

E.O.D.H. Answer: On your statement above you differed with the prophet's teaching. He did not say that they all took place through the Catholic church, but that the **fourth seal** is not yet manifested and we are living at the beginning of that revelation when those horse powers are being combined together to be fully manifested.

PALE HORSE: THAT'S NOT IN OUR DAY; IT'S THE SEAL FORETOLD

Quote W.M.B.: 306-6 {193} Watch... Rides a pale horse as he appears on his ride, this last ride. He's on his last now. Now, that is not in our day. That will be on down. It's the Seal foretold. 'Cause, see, the Church has done gone up when this happens. (The Fourth Seal 63-0321).

Thus this example to prove that the seventh seal was revealed but not yet manifested is not right.

Heretical Article No. 741: "The seventh seal was revealed but has not yet taken place."

Brother Kocourek: So there is a difference between the revealing of them and the happening of them. **The** seventh seal although revealed as to what it is, that is the coming of the Lord," although revealed has not yet taken place. neither has revelation 10:7 fully finished yet because the part "time shall be no more" takes place at the second coming.

So I hope you see that there is a difference between the revealing (opening) and the fulfillment of those seals. anyway, Parnell doesn't understand this so he tries to marry tot two together to make them one and the same event. Ie: the opening of the seals was the fulfillment of them, and this is his error.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am sorry but I do not see that there is a difference between the revealing and the fulfillment of all the seals, especially the seventh seal. On the above declaration the prophet differed with you on doctrine, as it is contrary to his message. Itemized A, B, C, D as follows:

A. REVEALED OR OPENED, BUT NOT MANIFESTED

E.O.D.H. Answer: Your statement, along with Dr. Vayle's, is contrary, inconsistent and is in direct opposition to what the prophet stated. He said that the seventh seal/seven thunders were not revealed, and you are saying that they were revealed or opened but not manifested. Who is speaking the **truth** and who is lying? We believe the prophet. He spoke the truth in the name of the Lord.

Quote W.M.B.: 567 (1) So help me, by God I tell the truth... Now, what this great secret is that lays beneath this Seal, I do not know. I don't know it. I couldn't make it out. I couldn't tell it, just what it said. But I know that it was them seven thunders uttering themselves... and it unfolded into something else that I seen.

... I looked for the interpretation that flew across there, and I couldn't make it out. That's exactly right, friend. See? **The hour isn't quite** yet for it...remember that I speak to you in the **Name of the Lord...** (The seventh seal 24/03/63; New Ed. Page 510 (323-324)).

Quote: 564 (3) —The Seventh Seal, the reason it was not opened (See?), the reason He did not reveal it. $\|$ (The seventh seal 24/03/63).

Quote: 576 (1) ...we don't know it, and it won't be known _til that time, but it will be revealed in that day, in the hour that it's supposed to be revealed in.. (The seventh seal 24/03/63, New Ed. Page 520(393).

Quote: 577 (2) And now, if this tape would happen to fall into the hands of some persons somewhere, don't try to make any kind of an "ism" out of it... to this time, **it isn't opened**. (The seventh seal 24/03/63; New Ed. Page 521 (400)).

Quote: 568 (2) "One of the mysteries of that Seal, the reason **it wasn't revealed**, it was seven thunders that uttered their voices. (The seventh seal 24/03/63; New Ed. Page 513 (335-336)).

Quote W.M.B.: You think that little noise out here in Tucson was something, when He opened the six seals. (Future Home 2/8/64).

Quote W.M.B.: 564 (4)... —He's revealed all the six Seals, but it don't say nothing about the Seventh. \parallel (The seventh seal 24/03/63).

Quote: —The seventh angel was to open the six-seal mystery. | (Proving His Word 16/8/64).

Quote: That Seventh Seal hasn't opened yet, you know; that's His coming. (Feast of the Trumpets 19/7/64).

Heretical Article No. 742: "The second coming of the Lord was revealed."

B. THE SECOND COMING OF THE LORD REVEALED

E.O.D.H. Answer: On this statement you also differed with the teaching of the prophet. You are saying that the second coming of the Lord is revealed. The prophet is saying **it is not but will be revealed** by the seven thunders. How and when, we do not know as yet. The seventh seal holds the secret of the coming of the Lord.

Quote: 17-3 057 — And Seven Thunders...the coming of the Lord. That's **one thing He hasn't revealed** yet, of how He will come, and when He will come. It's a good thing that He doesn't. No....l (Christ is the mystery 28/7/63).

Quote: 575 (6) —Nobody knows when He's coming. But there'll be...seven voices of these thunders that will reveal the great revelation at that time. $\|$ (The seventh seal 24/03/63).

Heretical Article No. 743: Revelation 10:7 not fully finished.

C. REVELATION 10:7 IS NOT FULLY FINISHED AS YET

E.O.D.H. Answer: Your statement about Revelation 10: 7 is alarmingly inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet and even Dr. Vayle. You are saying it is not finished as yet. He is saying **it was fulfilled** by the revelation of the seven seals mysteries.

Where are you applying Revelation 10: 7 to continue? Dr. Vayle said it was isolated to one man; Elijah, Malachi 4: 5-6.

Quote: 80 ...in the age of the seventh angel ... all the mysteries has been along the ages, should be revealed, made manifest... And **He did it**. His Words don't fail. (Shalom 64-0112).

Quote: 22-6 There's men setting right here in this building tonight was standing right there when it happened, and said the Seven Seals of the hidden mysteries of the entire Bible would be open and fulfill Revelations 10, that in the seventh angel's Message these things should come to pass. This day **this Scripture is fulfilled** before our eyes. (This Day This Scripture 65-0219).

Quote: 99-3 {212} Now, break the Seals and **release all the mysteries to the seventh angel** whose message is to reveal all the mysteries of God... All the mysteries lays in these Seven Seals. ...the seventh angel has to be a prophet for the Word of God to come to. No priests, popes, or anything else can get it. The Word don't come to such. The Word of God comes only to a prophet: always. Malachi 4 promised such. (The Breach 63-0317E)

Dr. Lee Vayle said that the prophet's revelation is infallible and that Revelation 10: 7 is the only authority and William Branham was that man. If the prophet says that the seventh seal was not revealed, Dr. Lee Vayle has no voice. Based upon Church Ages page 328 from paragraph three onwards, Dr. Vayle said that there is only **one prophet** messenger to reveal the mysteries. He has come and gone. Where is the continuation of that? Dr. Lee Vayle can correct you on that. (Reference; page 156, paragraph 2, Smyrnaen Church Age – Church Age Book Cpt. 4).

Heretical Article No. 744: Time no more at Christ's second coming.

D. "TIME SHALL BE NO MORE" TAKES PLACE AT CHRIST'S SECOND COMING

E.O.D.H. Answer: This statement is opposite, contradictory and grossly inconsistent with what the Bible teaches and the interpretation of the prophet. He said it's before the Book is revealed. Time does not run out at Christ's second coming. **Time continues** to complete Daniel's seventieth week. Time also continues to fulfill the **one thousand year** reign of Christ and His Bride. He said it takes place at the event of the seals. **I believe him.** You placed this scripture at Christ's second coming. That is contrary to the message. Revelation 10: 1 had an open Book in his hand.

Quote W.M.B.: 76-2 {54} ...this Book is not revealed until the church ages and denominational ages has run out, and **there's time no more**. See it? It's only revealed after church ages and denominational ages has run out. (The Breach 63-0317E).

Quote: 138-1 {142} What, that **the hour has come** that time shall be no more? The Angel is ready to set one foot on land and the other on the sea with a rainbow over Him, with feet, and say, "Time's run out." And besides that, He raised up His hand and swore that time would be no more when this happened. (The First Seal 63-0318).

Quote: 75-1 {47} And here He returns back in the 10th chapter after the coming time, that all the mysteries is to be finished, and the Seals are to be broke, and proclaiming that its time is no more. And he said, "When the seventh angel has begin to sound, then the mysteries should be finished and time for the Angel to appear. We're close, somewhere. That's right. (The Breach 63-0317E).

Quote: 74-2 (40) And when the Seals are broke and the mystery is revealed, down comes the Angel the Messenger, Christ, setting His foot upon the land and upon the sea with a rainbow over His head. Now remember, this seventh angel is on earth at the time of this coming. (The Breach 63-0317E).

Brother Kocourek: Therefore, br. Dalton, I am asking that you have these negative attacks on brother Vayle stricken from this narrative on Don Parnell's false teaching, because they are not based on the Church age book, but rather his misunderstanding of the Church age book.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Therefore brother, in the light of all that you and Dr. Vayle teach in opposition to the prophet and his message on Church Age page 327, I feel that my statement below is justified. If you or Dr. Vayle can **scripturally justify** that statement and **back it up** by the teachings of Brother Branham, I will repent and fulfill your request to alter my statement on Heretical Article No. 284 E.O.D.H. Book 12. If not, I request that Dr. Vayle admit that such statement is his teachings and not the prophet's. This humble act will bring deliverance to thousands of ministers of the message, and by extension hundreds of thousands of believers, since they sincerely but erroneously believe that to be the teaching of Brother Branham, Elijah the prophet.

KOCOUREK'S OBJECTION - E.O.D.H. STATEMENT -BOOK 12; PAGE 89: 2004

Our precious **Brother Vayle**, we can see from the following quotations that **he was not too clear**, and in full agreement with scriptural doctrines taught by Brother Branham, even at the time of the editing of the Church Ages Book. It's possible that Brother Vayle has changed **his misconception** since that time, being now more matured in the Word. His rebaptism only took place in 1963, after the Church Ages were preached, and also the seals. Any person that loves Brother Vayle as we do, could very well understand that he did his best as a theologian, trying to edit a new message of Elijah the prophet, and some of his theology would have unintentionally been injected into the great and elaborate messages of the Church Ages. That does not give anybody the authority to establish other vital doctrines based upon such misconceptions, since they are inconsistent with the teachings and revelation of the prophet.

Quote: W.M.B.: 194 "Brother Lee Vayle, if you get ahold of this, here it is. That's the only question we **disagree on**; he believes the church will go through the judgment. I don't see it. I don't believe it." (Oneness 11/2/62).

Quote: "Brother Lee Vayle was just here today. I baptized him today in the baptismal service here today." (Paul a prisoner 17/7/63).

Quote: "Brother Lee Vayle, of course, is a scholar and a Doctor of Divinity. He really earned his degree." (Look away to Jesus 29/12/63).

Heretical Article No. 745: "Don Parnell's teaching that the thunders were revealed and contained in the seals was not based on Dr. Vayle's statement of church age page 327."

Brother Kocourek: Don Parnell hates brother Vayle and **in no way bases** his delinquent theology on some thing from the Church Age book.

E.O.D.H. Answer: From my reading and understanding of Parnell's heresies, the main doctrinal idea for many of his heresies are based upon the error that the seventh seal/seven thunders was revealed and is contained in the seals (Church Ages page 327). If he hates Dr. Vayle, he certainly **did not hate his interpretation** of the seventh seal/seven thunders. Further to this fact, most of the followers of the message and ministers believe and teach that the seventh seal was revealed by the prophet, based upon that very quotation. All the major heretics from New York to the Far East proclaim that the seven thunders was revealed. So it is not strange that Parnell and his associates have also done the same. Now compare Heretical Article No. 286, Book 12, page 89, with the statement of Dr. Vayle.

Heretical Article No. 286:

Parnell, Clyde & Moore: 2) "1963 was a new ministry and the fulfilling of Revelation 10:7... To show the two ministries in the prophet's life... the first part... the literal turning of the heart by Revelation; first 6^{th} seal, second 7^{th} seal."

Quote: 327-1 "Now this messenger of Malachi 4 and Revelation 10:7 is going to do two things. One: According to Malachi 4 he will turn the hearts of the children to the fathers. Two: He will reveal the mysteries of the seven thunders in Revelation 10 which are the revelations contained in the seven seals. It will be these Divinely revealed 'mystery-truths' that literally turn the hearts of the children to the Pentecostal fathers." (Laodicean Church Age).

Brother Kocourek: Now, contrast your statements with what Brother Branham said,

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes my precious Brother Koucoureck, I have honestly and faithfully compared my statement concerning Dr. Vayle. Particular attention was given to the "Us" or "We" quotation of 1958 and the rest of quotations where the prophet commended Dr. Vayle. Though they are very outstanding, yet I cannot justify the statements of Dr. Vayle of church ages page 327 merely by what the prophet stated about him in way of commendation. You and others may choose to rely upon such statements, but I refuse to do so knowing and can sufficiently prove that there are other teachings of Dr. Vayle in the church age book which are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet. This subject will **engage us both in a friendly discourse**, as we both have agreed.

I can very well understand your disappointment in me. I am sorry, and if you can justify the statement of Dr. Vayle (page 327), I will certainly not wait for the white throne judgment to repent. I love eternal life enough, and I trust to spend eternity with you and Dr. Vayle, with the rest of the Body of Christ. With due respect to you and Dr. Vayle, I embrace the opportunity to start this discourse on doctrines included in the seven church ages book, as soon as possible (ASAP); they are inconsistent with the teachings of Malachi 4: 5-6, William Branham. [Editor: The above refers to errors numbers one and two, documented earlier in this booklet.]

QUOTATIONS OF W.M.B. BY BROTHER KOCOUREK IN DEFENCE OF DR. LEE VAYLE

Identified Christ of all ages 64-0409 P:13

So if there's any questions, or anything, why, ask Brother Vayle. He'll be able to help you in these questions. All right.

Anointed ones at end time 65-0725M P:139

Notice. Let me give you a little example. Look at those people who come out under Moses' prophecy, come out of that organization, and come out of everything under the prophecy, saw the great works, and wonders, and things like that, and come up to the borderline of going in. Now, Lee, there comes your name on the Book. See? You've got it fixed out. You got it. And you that's not here and out in the--on the hook-ups. Doctor Lee Vayle setting here, he's grammarizing this book of the Seven Church Ages, and the problem come up, the question about your name taken off the Lamb's Book of Life. See, it's puzzled a lot of ministers, but wait till you get the book; you'll understand it <u>if</u>

<u>you just got any Light in you</u>.Notice. Now, you'll turn your head and won't even look at it if you don't want to see it. Like my mother used to say, "You can't get blood from a turnip, 'cause there's no blood in it."

JESUS CHRIST THE SAME 58-0323 P:0022 And if there's anything you lack of understanding, something that you do not understand, <u>my associate</u> Brother Vayle here, is available at all times <u>to explain anything</u> that seems mysterious to you ministering brethren, or wherever you are, or any lay member, anything. If there's a question, if we ever preach anything, or do anything, that's not a promise of God in this Bible, <u>you got a right to come to us and ask us like brethren</u>. And we ask you to do that. We ask you as our brothers and sisters to come to us. We want to be servants of Christ. That's what we represent the world around.

Now, show me one minister that brother Branham referred to as US, or we and told the ministers and laity to come to brother Vayle for the answers. But you did not even bother to ask brother Vayle about this but wrote opinions that degrade the man in the light of those who would follow your ministry. All I can say my brother is that I am very disappointed. I expected better than this from you, and don't wait for the white throne to make this right.

Audio letter to Lee Vayle 64-0500 P:18

Now, there was... Now--now look, Lee. Any of these things that I have injected here... We think the book is wonderful. So if--if it doesn't fit in, you think it would be unfitting for a man to read it in this way I've got it fixed out here, then omit it. It's your book, and I want you to write it. It's your opinion of being in the meetings and what you've seen, and by tapes what you've heard, and so forth. And I think it's a going to make a grand masterpiece for the meetings. I think it will be one of the greatest assets that we've had since I've been in the field, this book will be. And I'd like for it to be just as dandy as could be.Now, these things that I'm saying, my brother, is not to try to let you think that I know more about something than you. But it's just in the spirit of better understanding, and fellowship, and... You know what I mean?

E.O.D.H. Answer: The above audio letter from the prophet pertains to the book, —Twentieth Century prophet and not the C.A.B. Pastor Kocourek falsely employed this statement to mean the church age book. Again we can see his fraudulent attitude displayed.

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S RESPONSE – SEVEN THUNDERS

> E.O.D.H. ANSWERS <

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 746 TO 752

CHAPTER SEVEN

I withheld this, my reply to your angry response until our discourse on the church age book - C.A.B. reached to this stage, as I did not want to **further infuriate** you by the Word of God and quotations of the prophet. I was hoping that your mind would be more open to look at the scriptures and teachings of the prophet on other doctrinal issues under discussion, but my expectation was short-lived. Your second letter was of the same nature: arrogant, judgmental, argumentative, unscriptural, unsupported by the message and full of lies etc.

Pastor Kocourek 1: *I think we are just going to have to agree to disagree, because you do not believe all seven selas were opened when brother Branham said in many paces the seven seals was opeened.* [Ed. Reference of Quotations used by Pastor Kocourek to prove that all seven seals were opened can be found at the end of this chapter.]

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, we agree to disagree. Yes, I believe that the seventh seal was not revealed. I quoted the prophet to you but you behaved like such quotes don't exist and you kicked them like a football. I challenge you and Dr. Vayle to address them.

Pastor Kocourek 2: Are you then preaching that we have a six sealed mysteries?

E.O.D.H. Answer: I preach exactly what the **prophet taught**. Are you going to kick this quote out of existence? He said.

Quote W.M.B.: 270 The seventh angel was to open the six-seal mystery. (Proving His Word 64-0816)

Pastor Kocourek 3: Are you saying brother Branham ws wrong when he said seven seals was opened?

E.O.D.H. Answer: No, he was right. He said that in reference to the **event of the seven seals** in 1963 and not specifically about the loosing of the seven individual seals. If that is not true, that he meant the seventh seal is not opened, loosed or revealed (Seals pages 567-578), I challenge you to make both set of quotes **harmonize**. But I am sure that you prefer to —**boots**I them out to save your face and Dr. Vayle's. Remember, you are committed to answer only according to the Word and quotes of Brother Branham, unless your published policy **is a lie**.

Pastor Kocourek 4: Are you saying he did not say that all seven were opened when there are literally dozens and dozens of quotes where he said **all** seven were opened?

E.O.D.H. Answer: The phrase he used was in reference to the event of the seals in 1963. He could not say it's opened, with reference to each seal, and that it is not opened at the same time. I recognize all quotes in their rightful place, but you don't.

Pastor Kocourek 5: What does the Words seven seals were opened man? Six?

E.O.D.H. Answer: Let the prophet answer you on that:

Quote W.M.B.: You think that little noise out here in Tucson was something, when He opened the six seals. (Future Home 2/8/64).

Quote: 23-4 076 —Now we see these things. Remember the Seven Seals is finished. And when those seven revealed truths... One of them He wouldn't permit us to know. How many was here at the Seven Seals? Just about all of you, I guess. See? The Seventh Seal, He wouldn't permit it." (Souls in prison now 11/11/63).

Quote: —This Book's already opened (That's right) just **waiting** for the Seventh Seal to be identified with the coming of Christ. (What shall I do with Jesus 24/11/63).

Heretical Article No. 746: "Brother Branham revealed seven seals and not six."

Pastor Kocourek 6: *He did not reveal only six seals, he revealed seven seals.*

E.O.D.H. Answer: You and Dr. Vayle are telling lies. Brother Branham is speaking the truth.

Quote W.M.B.: 564 (4)... —He's revealed all the **six Seals**, but it don't say nothing about the Seventh. \parallel (The seventh seal 24/03/63).

Should I believe you or him? I believe him, and you don't.

Heretical Article No. 747: "The seventh seal was revealed by W.M.B. but it is not completed."

Pastor Kocourek 7: But that does not mean all seven arecompleted yet.

E.O.D.H. Answer: All the seals are not revealed. The seventh seal is yet to be revealed. Your interpretation about it's opened but not completed, **is a heresy.** I challenge you to produce one quotation to support that heresy if you have not also —boots|| out your published policy.

Pastor Kocourek 8: Just like the first four seals which was the antichrist spirit riding through the ages, four stages of the same rider on different colored horses because each color had **significiance**. Yes they began nearly 2,000 years ago but have **not completed the ride yet**. **But theyare** all opened.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Here you have a twist of the **tongue** and confusion of doctrine. You emphatically stated that seals 1 to 4 were completed. I corrected you but you are too ashamed to admit you are wrong. Humble your heart brother. You are not an elite of the message, neither am I. You are just **irresponsible** with your tongue and are perverting the Word.

Heretical Article No. 748: "One seal cannot be opened without the rest being opened."

Pastor Kocourek 9: Apparently you do not **undrstand** that br. Branham **sid** although the seals were in a scroll and as you unraveled the scroll it led from one mystery to the next, but he also said that the **seals were on the back** side and you can not open the first **until all seven seals were pulled back** and removed from the scroll.

E.O.D.H. Answer: He said no such nonsense; that's your fabrication. **Yes, one seal could** have been opened without opening the rest. It's a heresy to say that one cannot be opened without the rest of them. Should I believe you or the prophet?

Quote W.M.B.: 90-4 {144} ... It was in a roll... called a scroll. Well a sealed scroll you could break loose one here (what the mystery of this was) and tear it loose, and you could see what that claim was. And then break the other one loose, and you see what that claim was. (The Breach 63-0317E).

Pastor Kocourek 10: Also, he said that the seals were on the back side of the book, and to open the book all seven had to be opened?

E.O.D.H. Answer: He corrected himself later about seals on the back of the book.

Quote: 83 I always thought it was sealed on the back of the Book and it'd be something wasn't wrote in the Book; but it turned out that it was made known that He cannot do that. It isn't something that's written in the Book... It's something that's been hid in the Book. (Spiritual food 65-0718E).

Pastor Kocourek 11: How can the book be opened without removing all seven seals.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Because the seventh seal/seven thunders issued out of Revelation 10: 1's mouth and not the seventh angel's – Revelation 10: 7. The mighty angel held the opened book in his hand, not the seventh angel.

Heretical Article No. 749: "Dr. Vayle did not write error in the C.A.B. It is you that does not understand what he wrote."

Pastor Kocourek 12: The probem *i* see is not that br. Vayle wrote error but that you do not understand what he wrote.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, Dr. Vayle wrote error. I proved it in my discourse but you have willfully closed your eyes, because it destroys your reputation as the **man replacing him.** Help him to correct it and you will have true honor of God and men.

Pastor Kocourek 13: How can you **agree that every** thing in that book is thus saith the Lord " and it was brother **Branham who told br. Collins** and Br. Sydney **Jacksn** that, not br. Vayle who said that.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Because it's the message of Brother Branham documented on the C.A.B. I exempt the three major heresies of Dr. Vayle. Those are — **Thus saith Dr. Vayle**, in the name of William Branham.

Are you too proud to say that the mistake was on your part, saying Dr. Vayle instead of Brother Branham (on fact 6 of your e-mail dated 4/8/2008) instead of speaking in a way to correct me?

Heretical Article No. 750: "The author of E.O.D.H. mind has become blinded by his lack of understanding of the message."

Pastor Kocourek 14: The problem I see brother Bruce is that **your mind has become blinded** by your lack of **understnding** the Message itself.

E.O.D.H. Answer: If you did not **fit such description**, you would understand the errors Dr. Vayle documented in the C.A.B.

Pastor Kocourek 15: Let me ask you and plase tell me "what is the Message to you?"

E.O.D.H. Answer: The message to me is Christ from the loosing of the seals. Unto you it's Dr. Vayle.

Heretical Article No. 751: "When the prophet said the seven seals were opened, he was referring to all seven seals."

Pastor Kocourek 16: You do not believe the seven seals were opened when the prophet of God said in dozens of places the *the* seven seals were opened.

E.O.D.H. Answer: You are sure right! Because he said that the seventh seal was not opened, and when he said, —When he opened the seven seals, he only referred to the event, **not the individual seven seals**.

Heretical Article No. 752: "The prophet did not say six seals were opened."

Pastor Kocourek 17: He did not say 4, or 5, or 6 of them were opened, he said seven seals were opened.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, he said six seals were opened. How readest thou? First learn to spell. I quote him. Are you humble enough to say, —I am wrong; forgive me? I doubt it.

Quote W.M.B.: — You think that little noise out here in Tucson was

something, when He **opened the six seals**, that shook the country around about... wait till this earth receives her baptism! (Future Home 2/8/64).

Quote: 564 (3) Now, I'd better stop right here. See? I just feel checked not to say no more about it. See? So just remember, the Seventh Seal, the reason it was not opened (See?), the reason He did not reveal it, no one should know about it. (Seals Book, New Ed. Page 509 (301-302)).

Quote: 564 (4)...Notice now, for the end of time message (this Seal), after all He's revealed all the six Seals, but it don't say nothing about the Seventh. (Seals Book, New Ed. Page 510 (304)).

Quote: 576 (2) Here now, we find that the Sixth Seal has been opened to us; we see it, and we know that this **Seventh Seal cannot be broke** to the public until that hour arrives. (Seals Book, New Ed. Page 521 (393).

Pastor Kocourek 18: Now *i fyou* say, well this was before the seals then you *aresaying* brother Branham lied. I believe all that the prophet taught. He also said it in the seals themselves.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am not sure what you are getting at by your statement. I believe the entire message: before and after the seals.

Finally, wishing you Pastor Kocourek and Dr. Lee Vayle, **all the best**. Many thanks for your time to accommodate me in this most necessary discourse. I trust that it will be of edification to message believers internationally. Please be advised that I will be also exposing other heresies which you have published on your website. I feel at liberty not to bring these subjects to your attention because of your unfavorable response to our simple discourse on the C.A.B. book and errors that were injected into it by the honorable Dr. Vayle. Peace and goodbye for now.

[Ed. Reference of Quotations used by Pastor Kocourek to prove that all seven seals were opened, but they speak of the event of the seals and not each individual seal: Evening messenger 63-0116 P:34.; Breach the 63-0317E P:81; P:77; P:76; First seal the 63-0318 P:3; P:23; Souls in prison now 63-1110M P:79; Spiritual food in due season 65-0718E P:36; World again falling apart 63-1127 P:7; Invisible union of the bride 65-1125 P:64; Rapture the 65-1204 P:90; P:44; I have heard but now I see 65-1127E P:89; Trying to do God a service 65-1127B P:133; P:130; P:93; Works is faith expressed 65-1126 P:107; Invisible union of the bride 65-1125 P:124; P:123; P:118, P:105, P:81, P:68, P:64; What house will you build me 65-1121 P:9, P:3 ; Power of transformation 65-1031M P:54; God's power to transform 65-0911 P:37; Christ revealed in His own Word 65-0822M P:117; Christ revealed in His own Word 65-0822M P:115; God of this evil age 65-0801M P:9; Anointed ones at end time 65-0725M P:189; P:178, P:177; Spiritual food in due season 65-0718E P:36.]

SEVEN THUNDERS HERESY AND ITS GROWTH

ERROR NUMBER THREE

CHAPTER EIGHT

INTRODUCTION

Introduction to chapter eight, preached at Bethel the House of God, 26/10/2008, after close of discourse.

1 Thessalonians 4:15-18 <For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not prevent them which are asleep.

For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first:

Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord.

Wherefore comfort one another with these words.>

May the Lord add the blessing to the reading of his holy words, you may be seated. What great words of comfort. To think that we will ever be with the Lord; and the joy of his presence that you feel from day to day will be magnified by millions of times. No wonder why we will never sleep, we will never grow tired, never grow hungry, there are no beds in heaven. You may say, —How can you say that there wouldn't be any beds in heaven preacher? Because there will be no sleeping. The Bible says, —God doesn't slumber nor sleep!, and how could you be sleeping when God doesn't sleep? He neither slumbers nor sleep; God is not sleeping. And those words used to be coined often by the old timers and they were true and correct that God doesn't sleep. If you did evil to them they used to say that God doesn't sleep; He will avenge them.

So by now you would understand that this discourse on the C.A.B. with pastor Kocourek and indirectly Dr. Vayle, **closed** on our last message. But I gave him a chance to respond to whatever I had to say and he did respond

very swiftly. I was hoping that he would bring out some secret scriptures and quotations and disarm the Caribbean alligator. But again I didn't see that and **I don't expect that.** Nobody could defeat this Word of God that will take down the cedars of Lebanon and calm the strong bulls of Bashan. So I am jumping ahead a little bit tonight to let you know how he responded to my discourse with him. The Chapters in the book will have to be reset according to the inspiration of the Holy Ghost. The contents of the C.A.B. discourse will remain in its original form.

GROWTH OF 7 THUNDERS HERESY

I am going to show you how heresies grow. This heresy on C.A.B. page 327 that Dr. Vayle injected concerning the seven thunders, saying that —Malachi 4 will reveal the thunders, it is his ministry to do it and it cannot be revealed without a prophetl; probably he did not understand what he was doing and what he was getting into. But when you make certain statements on vital doctrines and you claim that it is fulfilled, there are several other things that are **pertinent to that one doctrine** and as you go along you will have to tell the people about the doctrines that pertain to that major doctrine that you misinterpreted. And if you would understand, the seven thunders connects the rapture, it connects the translation, and it connects the resurrection. The prophet said that the seventh seal is a resurrection seal; it has to do with the capstone because the seven thunders is in the capstone. So now, all these branches of doctrines you will have to tell the people about some time or the other. Even if it is five or ten years later, they will ask you questions and beside that you will be conscious that you have to give an explanation for that. So when you say the seven thunders will be revealed by Malachi 4 you will have to show where he revealed it. When you say it concerns the resurrection you will have to tell them about the resurrection and the rapture. Now I am here to announce to you, that is what happened to Dr. Vayle. Through the course of time from 1965 when the book was published he had to give certain answers and then he was going down in age. So all these heresies that will be dealt with tonight, a lot of them is connected to that one error which I call the third error.

Now that one error has grown throughout the message world. Ninety five or ninety nine percent might be a better guess to say that everybody is preaching a heresy on the thunders through that one quotation and **other isolated quotations** of Brother Branham. You are talking about fanatics, you are talking about heresies, you are talking about men who arose to claim that they are eight messengers and the one with the thunders, and the rapture is going on. Great men I am telling you about; a man like C.W. Woods said that the thunders are revealed and it was revealed in secret; Pastor Coleman. Another fellow believes that there are fourteen seals. Then Mr. Santiago believes that he is Christ on earth, the covenant angel, and on and on we can go. E.O.D.H. book twelve exposed many of them.

Everybody who gained that concept that the seven thunders was revealed by Brother Branham or otherwise, they got into some kind of an ism, which is exactly what the prophet told them not to do. So now we have a mass of confusion around the message world on the thunders and if you are not preaching seven thunders you are not spiritual. So they look at us as the most unspiritual people because we are saying that the thunders were not revealed in 1963 by Brother Branham. Now by our doctrine we are living better lives, we are upholding the holiness standard of the message, our doctrine is irreproachable, our doctrine is **undefeatable** and it can challenge all their thunders and all their cults, and we have challenged them to expose us. There was not a man that ever wrote a book to expose the exposition, and those who tried to exposed themselves. We have not followed cunningly devised fables.

DR. VAYLE'S SUGGESTIVE TERMS

A fter awhile when I am finished dealing with Pastor Kocourek, I wish to change my voice a little bit and get to Dr. Lee Vayle and show you how differently he spoke than his successor. He spoke in **suggestive terms.** And I know a lot of people who hate Dr. Vayle and have tried to expose him for many years will be mad with me, because they will say that I am giving Dr. Vayle **special favour**, and I am not calling him a heretic, and I am not exposing him as a heretic to the world. God has not led me to do that, even because of the **man's attitude.** In many of his doctrines he spoke like this and said, —I don't know, I could be wrong, somebody could correct me, I don't know if I have the right to compare itl etc. So then, I cannot come down upon a man unless he hears the truth and then starts fighting the truth. At this present time he is 94 years of age, I also consider that. And I don't know if he has even heard what I had to say, not that I am something but the fact is that the Word is exposing his heresies; that

is how it stands. Now other men, like pastor Kocourek who is 55 years of age, he knows better and is fighting a nasty battle; then he has to get the sharp edge of the sword.

I want you to understand the growth of sin. Apply that to yourself or anybody whom you think it fits, and then you will understand the growth of a false conception, of how far that can carry you, and the growth of a single heresy, of how far and wide it can stretch out. Do you know that one false conception has the world in the condition that it is in today? And the conception is that the fruit is pleasant to the eyes and one to be desired, and if you eat it you shall not die. That is the reason for the condition that the world is in today, all the death, all the sickness, all the violence and all the sin. Everything that goes on in the world traces back to one conception. Should we play with a heresy? No, we cannot play with a heresy.

Now, this letter is the aftermath of our discourse. I wanted to be fair with this man, to give him a chance that if he forgot the Word; or forgot the message; or got carried away; or was nervous, that he might have a chance to explain himself. So I allowed him to respond.

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S ANGRY RESPONSES >E.O.D.H. ANSWERS<

Date: 5/10/08

Dear Brother, Reverend Kocourek,

Greetings in the name of Jesus Christ, our soon coming King and Judge of all the earth. Thank you for your most welcomed and speedy reply. However, you have failed once more to address my statements of response to your many heresies. Now that you are exposed, you have again put on your **sheepskin** to fool the public with a seemingly humble spirit and propagate me as the renegade, anti-message and anti-Branham. You were **unable** in your final discourse **to address my answers to you**, and have given an oration that is totally contrary to the Word and the message of the prophet. This you have done to save your face. I will now address your final letter to me.

Pastor Kocourek: Dear pastor Bruce, It is not I that **uses** name calling as my weapon, but you my brother. I use the Word of God and the Prophets quotes, so I must have hit a nerve with you? Why are you so incensed that you call me names? Did I call you names?

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is not a matter of calling or not calling names, but exposing damnable heresies. You are not greater than Paul the apostle. You don't have more brotherly love than him. He called the names of Hymaneus, Alexander and Philitus. You are saying these things to dodge the issue of your exposition. You have expressed your weakness in not answering to the things that I stated.

Pastor Kocourek: Are you upset because I put my discourse on the internet without your **namef or** all to read? Or are you **made** because I did it without your permission? Or is it because I chose not to give you any credit by leaving your name off of it? Truly my brother, I just did it because you like so many are in error and think the Church Age book was br. Vayle's book. And I did not place your name on it hoping you would see the overwhelming evidence of your error, and repent. But seeing your response was filled with angry nasty name calling, I see that you are incapable of repenting. For that I am truly sorry.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am not afraid one bit. I challenge you. Put my name right under it. I am not upset in the least. I am **ashamed of your principle**, and here you are making a dumb excuse. All these things I have already explained in my answers to you. You are just making up time

Pastor Kocourek: I suspected when I received your reply in the dorm of a discourse that you were trying to set me up, so you could add my name to your website, and so I was right about your motive. It never was in the spirit of a Christian brotherly effort as all these 38 slanderous statements you made in your discourse make it

overwhelmingly apparent. Your motive never was to have a Christian discourse, but to gather facts to repute my request to you to take your slander off your website. I knew you planned all along to send me your discourse and that you from the begining intended to place it on your website seeing that you had no intention of removing your slurs against Br. Vayle from your website. I knew you could not repent of what you did to undermine the name of Lee Vayle and William Branham which you have **impuned** on your website, because your discourse to me showed no room for repentance. You are a very sick person my friend.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Here again you made yourself a carnal judge. Such lies never entered my thoughts. Your suspicions are evil and carnal to the core. From the beginning, I allowed you space to repent. But you are unrepentant, swellheaded, and pretended that you had nothing to repent about. Now you are asking for a space for repentance to save your face in public. You went to the public, not me, and your excuse for doing so is to say that I would have placed it on my website.

Pastor Kocourek: You blasted the Church Age Book (brother Branham's book) as full of heresies written by lee Vayle. You say that you did not say the church age book was full of errors, but you did. 38 **times times** you said it was erroneous, false, unscriptural, not supported by Scripture, etc. 38 times you accused the book and Brother Vayle in your discourse my brother. To me, that is saying it is full of error. But choke on a gnat in swallowing your camel, for that is what you have done.

E.O.DH. Answer: You intend to **stick with this big lie**, after all my exposition of your lying tongue. Give me the statement. You cannot produce the statement where I said that the C.A.B. is full of heresies. I said that the three errors in the C.A.B. are erroneous, and I numbered them 1, 2 and 3 major errors, but you are bent on telling a lie to make me anti-message and anti-Branham. All will read our discourse and find that you are lying.

Pastor Kocourek: You have shown me by your **rep[ly** that you hate God and the Truth. I know now, who is on whose side. all around the world will also have access to this email **respnse**, God said, **Revelations 21** And I gave her space to repent of her fornication; and she repented not. **22** Behold, I will cast her into a bed, and them that commit adultery with her into great tribulation, except they repent of their deeds.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This better fits you reverend, because you have proven yourself to be a total unbeliever in the Word and message. Those who read our discourse will determine a little better.

Pastor Kocourek: These are your fighting words brother dalton, and look how arrogant they are..."you sought to defend Dr. Vayle by my correction to his doctrine" Brother Bruce, who do you think you are to correct the church age book which is brother Branham's book? And **whop** do you think you are to rebuke an elder. That is **totaslly** unscriptural for you to do so, especially when you choose to resist the truth as it has been put forth to you.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Again your hideous **lie is your defense**. I am correcting three major heresies injected into the C.A.B. **Who are you**, by the way? And who is Dr. Vayle, by the way? I guess your **idol**. I don't have to be anything. The Word is sufficient to expose a heresy. Respect is due unto true elders who uphold all the truth. Are you saying that when the prophet exposed the heresies of the Catholic Church, he disrespected an elder? Or when he exposed Oral Roberts and Billy Graham as the two blinding angels to Sodom, he disrespected his elders? You do err not knowing the scriptures nor the power of God. **Repent or shut up**.

Pastor Kocourek: Now, here are **yor** attacks on Br. Vayle and myself. and tell me your language was not arrogant and full of vile accusations?

E.O.D.H. Answer: My language is perfectly scriptural. If you want to correct me correct Jesus (Matthew 23), Stephen (Acts 7); Peter (2 Peter Chapter 2) and John the Baptist.

1. certain major doctrinal issues are inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet,

2. unscriptural and

3. <u>erroneous</u>. This is the basis of our discourse.

4. My discourse is solemnly based upon the <u>errors injected</u> into the grammarized version of the C.A.B. by Dr. Lee Vayle.

Pastor Kocourek: Br. Dalton, I have a copy in my home right now of the Church Age Book with William Branham's signature on the front cover of that book. The book has Brother Branham's own signature my brother. Brother Branham signed this copy himself. Do you have such evidence to show it was not Br. Branham's book? I suppose you will resort to say I have a forged copy of br. Branham's signature like you lied about sister Branham's testimony to me which you never heard.

Do you think brother Branham would sign his name to something that was in error?

E.O.D.H. Answer: There is no question about the C.A.B. being Brother Branham's book. The discussion is about Dr. Vayle injecting three major errors into Brother Branham's book. You are trying to dodge the issue and implicate me. **I explained that everywhere** in my discourse that I have accepted the book as Brother Branham's. Your signature, false or true, does not make a difference, as it is not important.

All our tapes and E.O.D.H. books are witnesses against your lies, which say that I am anti-C.A.B. and anti-Branham. I made use of quotations of the C.A.B. throughout my writings (Books 1 to 17), and thousands of tape recorded sermons.

Pastor Kocourek: You also slandered br. Vayle with the following indictments.

E.O.D.H. Answer: It is amazing that Dr. Vayle's mighty successor cannot divide **slander from exposition of errors**. Now, who is sick, who cannot read and who cannot spell? You have cunningly selected parts of sentences out of my discourse to make me look like anti-C.A.B. and anti-Branham. Every indication that you made below, I have already answered. I am right, you are wrong. Repent or perish.

- 5. all the errors that were injected into the grammerized version
- 6. three major, unscriptural, doctrines which were injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle
- 7. brother Vayle indicated that Adam also committed adultery with his own wife

8. the teaching and explanation that he gave on the subject, <u>cannot be supported</u> by the Word of God

9. The scripture that he indicated to support his case does not support it

10. He dislocated,

- 11. misplaced and
- 12. misinterpreted the word of God
- 13. It is **unscriptural** and
- 14. erroneous

15. I <u>demand</u> that you quote one scripture to support this <u>erroneous</u>, **16.** False teaching

17. show one quotation of Brother Branham to support this error.

18. It is **<u>unscriptural</u>** and

19. <u>erroneous</u> to say

20. taught us <u>falsely</u>

- 21. Dr. Vayle's teaching is legalistic,
 22. <u>unscriptural</u> and
 23. contrary to the teaching of W.M. Branham
- **24.** It is unscriptural and
- **25.** erroneous
- 26. this error,

27. The C.A.B. has already circled the globe and established that error since its publication in 1965

28. that erroneous doctrine

29. This is not a small matter but a <u>destructive heresy</u>.

- 30. Dr. Vayle's Erroneous Answer
- 31. The messenger said <u>differently</u> and <u>opposite</u> to Dr. Vayle and the church age book
- 32. Dr. Vayle misinterpreted
- **33.** It is in <u>opposition to the message</u> and the prophet.
- 34. the exposition of this gross error that was injected into the C.A.B.
- 35. your erroneous teaching
- **36.** the <u>ignorance</u> of Dr. Vayle
- **37.** he <u>perverted</u> Deuteronomy 24: 1-4 and **38.** formulated several <u>heresies</u>

Pastor Kocourek: Now, brother Dalton, You have called me an **unmannerly pig**, you have called me a carnal liar, you have called me arrogant, you have called me the poorest example, you have accused me of selfish pride and ambition, you have accused me of having a **lying spirit** upon me,

Mt brother all I asked you to do was to repent for your attacks on Brother Branham's book which he wrote and edited. i showed you many scriptures and quotations and yet you lie and say I did not. jesus said, "You are of your father the devil and you can not receive the truth because there is no place for the truth in you".

E.O.D.H. Answer: Amen. Brother Kocourek; I want to **further confirm** those things, and magnify the word —**pig**" to "hog." Anybody could see your hoggish ways, after reading just a little of your response to my courteous way of discussing the subject matter with you. So don't cry. Those words were employed to get you to repent. I have not come even near the descriptive words of Jesus in Matthew 23. If I had told you that your throat is an **open sepulcher**, and that you are **a grave** that appear not, you might have sued me at the law. They called Jesus —Beelzebubl and He never complained. Why weep? Because you don't have the truth and are defending heresies. You are afraid of exposition. I have nothing to repent about and refuse to do so concerning the truth. You perverted the scriptures, lied and formulated heresies to defend heresies. The Pharisees did the same. They abode not in the truth like you. Place the words of Jesus to whom it fits.

Pastor Kocourek: You speak from ignorance, I speak from the voice of experience. I have checked my sourced, you have not checked yours. You have spoken to no one but your own inner self.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Who made you a **carnal judge of me?** This is where so-called Pentecost made their mistake. They leaned off on their experiences and left the Word behind. You still have that spirit. With all your knowledge, you are unable to address one of my scriptural answers. So you resorted to **boasting and crying** about names that I called you and your hideous lie that I am attacking the C.A.B.

Pastor Kocourek: Why can not you repent my brother. Is it because of **hebrews 6?** So speak against the Holy Ghost you will never be forgiven. I hope not. I have tried to love you and befriend you but when you spoke 38 times in denouncing brother Vayle you chose to do battle with the wrong person. And since you did not **meerly** e-mail me but placed your answer to my request for you to remove your disrespectful **diatribe** against brother Vayle on your document which you use for your website, I knew what motivated you. You see, instead of saying, "brother, I realize the language I used is a bit harsh, forgive me and I will use more proper language. But no, you chose to slander his name and mine 38 times in your **supposedely** Christian letter. If that is Christian attitude to you, then brother your brand of Christianity is in need of a change.

E.O.D.H. Answer: When I spoke 38 times, it was against heresies and those who formulate heresies, not the truth. You are a carnal interpreter of Hebrews 6. Who do you think **you are scaring?** You cannot answer the things that I have stated, so you are **dumping me in hell** and beyond repentance. Shame on you. A man blasphemes when he rejects truth and the Holy Ghost, not heresies.

Pastor Kocourek: You attacked me for making your arguments known to the world. what is the matter, Are you afraid of what you declare to be God leading you? It was not God leading you but your own imagination and pride. And when you were called on it, you blew up like and showed your anger. Shame on you my brother.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I told you, what scares a hero of the faith, kills **a thousand cowards like you.** So don't be repetitious.

Pastor Kocourek: I won't call you names, that is not a proper spirit for **Christsians** to do, but I do say you need to repent for your name calling, and judgmental spirit that is upon you.

E.O.D.H. Answer: You better talk to Apostle Paul and Brother Branham, because you are saying they are not Christianlike.

Pastor Kocourek: You see, I knew for a long time that you have attacked brothers for very little, And at first I stood with you on what you called your "defense" of the Message, Or so it seemed. But as your list grew and grew it became very apparent to me that **you just like to fight**. That spirit of contention is not of God my brother, and I tried in a nice way to ask you to stop but you became very boastful, and arrogant and in name calling of **brothetr** Vayle and myself you showed me what spirit is in you.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Why bring up this now? You are a hypocrite. You should have corrected your brother **long before now,** if the love that you are projecting is genuine. But it is hypocritical, and only a covering to save your face from this exposition.

Pastor Kocourek: Now, I will see you one day at the white throne judgment, and let me tell you, you will be without excuse at that day. For you called my testimony with sister Branham **a lie**, and I was there and I know **what she said**, and she will testify against you as well. You who have never contacted anyone to find out the truth took your vile attitude to the world with your indictment of brother Vayle on your website.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Your own words and double **statements proved you to be a liar**. I did not have to be there. You lied on the prophet's wife to elevate your idol. If you want, we could meet at the judgment. You would be at the judgment bar of Christ. I will be sitting upon a throne. So repent now.

Pastor Kocourek: But you are the one who exposed himself as an imposter for you had not one fact to verify your false accusations, and your slander of the man of God br. Vayle shows what spirit is upon you.

Call me all the names you wish, but those who read your words like "hoggish spirit", etc. will know a nerve was touched and you are exposed and can not escape it.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes sir; heresies touch all my nerves and wake up all my heroic fibers from the deepest recesses of my soul.

Pastor Kocourek: *Put you refutation on your website and see what people wish to believe, and I will tell you one thing, they will not believe you. Your attitude can not be hid for your rhetoric is vile. Br. Brian.*

E.O.D.H. Answer: Let's prove it sir. Let the public judge who has the nasty attitude. I can tell you right here; it's you.

Pastor Kocourek: Hear ye Him 58-0126 P:20 if I fail to tell you, then I'd rather have you a little angry with me now, then to point your finger in my face at that day and say, "If you'd have told me, I would've corrected it." But it'd be too late then.I know,

E.O.D.H. Answer: Amen. That is what I have done: corrected you, and you are angry with me. Amen.

Reverend Pastor Kocourek, this, my response to your hypocritical letter, **closes our communication**. Based upon Christian and manly principles, I will now make our discourse public. See www.bethelthehouseofgod.net. I will also go to my next assignment to further expose your heresies and others of Dr. Vayle. I trust that you can then reconsider your soul's salvation with those whom you have influenced by all your heresies internationally. Amen. Editor of Exposition of Damnable Heresies

Dalton Bruce.

SUMMARY

In our discourse there was a motivating factor that was unspoken by Pastor Kocourek. It is his biggest heresy and false conception. He believes that he has the revelation of the message after Dr. Vayle, who —succeeded Malachi 4: 5-6l, W. M. Branham. Also that he is ordained to teach ministers of the message. His other heresies prove this most important fact.

It can evidently be seen from this entire discourse that Pastor Kocourek **endeavors**, as successor and defender of Dr. Vayle and his ministry, were to **defend his own ministry** against a little nobody who pointed out errors included in the C.A.B. He questioned, "*Who are you?*" I appeared to him like a mouse challenging a lion. He became jealous for Dr. Vayle, and his own ministry, and failed to employ the Word and message. With such underestimation, he lost his temper, and **compounded** it with his arrogance to defend the man that he loves dearly and idolizes, because of his own profit.

He is fully conscious that protecting Dr. Vayle's ministry amounts to protecting his own office as successor of the international fame, respect and adoration of Dr. Vayle, especially, for the unique work that was done in editing the C.A.B. Thus he used statements of the prophet that spoke of Dr. Vayle; this with the great hope that he may scare the little —mousel of his vain imagination, to recant, withdraw his expositions, hold his peace and swallow Dr. Vayle's heresies, like he did. When he did not, he became **furious**.

To give additional credibility to his mentor, he quoted a **lie which he published about** the prophet's wife. He was exposed by his own words, which he spoke **in an opposite manner**. He employed that same method **in our discourse**. Thus, he resorted to lies, arrogance, hypocrisy and deceit. He never made mention of me having a bad attitude until I pointed out his arrogant behavior. He tried to employ that tool to dodge the real issues of my discourse.

His hideous lies of me as —ANTI-CAB, anti-message and anti-Branham^I were exposed. He tried to defend his exposition by saying that **I cannot read**, thus, I do not understand what the C.A.B. is saying. I highlighted some of his misspellings, with the hope that he will understand that, he **who cannot spell, cannot** read. Seemingly, he was

not embarrassed. I thought, being a **university graduate**, he would surely recognize his words as being incorrectly spelt; but he **lacked** that knowledge as he continued to do the same. I then realized that he was **in a stupor** by the vast exposition of his heresies which he employed to defend the heresies injected into the C.A.B. and more so by his own. May our subscribers, along with his 3,000 ministers, 183 nations and supporters, excuse him.

However, I am totally **embarrassed** for the writer of the C.A.B. to leave behind such an incompetent successor. He demonstrated to all men his knowledge of writing, formatting and correcting his work grammatically before publication, only with the exception of three major heresies which he injected into the C.A.B. because of his lack of revelation of the message of Malachi 4: 5-6.

When Pastor Kocourek was defeated by the Word, he applied Hebrews 6:4-6 to me: —Blasphemy of the Holy Ghost. I He condemned me to the white throne judgment. All his attempts failed. I did not deny the Word. However, I love Pastor Kocourek. I forgive him for all his evil inventions against me. May the Lord open his eyes to the truth. I do not condemn him to Hell. May he never fulfill Hebrews 6:4-6. However, he has spoken many Blasphemies. May our heavenly Father help Brother Vayle at 94 years of age and forgive him for his misinterpretation of the Word and message. I believe he did so in his ignorance. Perhaps, he is not even mentally capable to understand my opposition of his heresies at this time.

Though we love him and Pastor Kocourek, they are wrong in their teachings, and for the love of God and his elect, we have exposed their heresies and must continue to do so, since love is corrective. After our discourse was closed, Pastor Kocourek, like a troubled, restless and haunted man, continued to write letters, though he warned me not to write him. Amen.

SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES

EXPOSED 2004 HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 509 TO 514

CHAPTER NINE

Introduction to chapter nine, preached at Bethel the House of God, 26/10/2008, after close of discourse.

Now, these heresies here relate to the title of our message. It shows you how one error leads to the next one and to the next, and how it is a dangerous thing. You can sit in the congregation here with just **one little concept** against the Word of God and that could grow, and before you know it you are a **prophet**. You could have one little thing in your life of which you say, —I just can't give up that, I know the Word said so and they preached about it ten times but I just can't give up that andl, you are not willing to give it up, that sin is going to continue to grow. A little leaven will leaven the whole lump, it will swell up the bread, it will destroy you. You must obey the Word of God.

I am saying that these things which follow was **not in the discourse** but I am bringing these things to show you how heresies grow and how far Dr. Vayle went; a wonderful man, with good intentions, who loved the prophet; how far a man could go in formulating heresies because he made one error on a vital subject like the seven thunders will be revealed by Malachi 4. Then he has to answer questions and he is still answering them at 94 yrs of age. Thousands of people are mixed up around the world.

So a man's sins live after him, a man's heresies live after him, **a man's interpretation lives after him;** and that is well proven. When the serpent which was a two legged creature, next to man, went with Eve, God passed by and cursed him and turned him into a reptile. He lost his hands, his feet and his features in order that he could never go back with another woman for her conception, but his sins was left behind. The woman got pregnant and she made a child just like the original serpent; his name was called Cain and he was a beast man. That is the man that caused trouble to the human race and his doctrine right down the line. So the serpent was cursed and he became a reptile, but when she made this child, the sin of Eve lived after her. The child became closer to the human race; he even became better than the serpent because of the co-mingling of the seed. And while the serpent was living among the trees, he was living in Adam's house and calling Adam, —Daddy. Do you know how much —grind Adam went through with this creature, animal child that his wife made in the house who was calling him —daddyl? That's a serious case! It's like if a woman went out and lived with a dog and brings a puppy into your house and this puppy is barking, —Ruff, ruff, daddy, ruff, ruff and you have to stay quiet because you don't want to expose your wife and

the other child must not hear. Of course that cannot happen with a dog, but it's just to show the reality of the thing. Now, these things here are more heresies on the thunders.

Highest compliments to Dr. Vayle for presenting his interpretations in such humble and **suggestive terms.** If he read this article someday, I trust that he will understand that this exposition of his doctrine is for the edification of the elected Bride. It is not meant for any other purpose, since he has expressed himself in a manner totally unlike his successor, Pastor Brian Kocourek, who acted as an elite and as that one with the Doctorate behind his name. I believe he is the poorest representative of Dr. Vayle's ministry. In my recent discourse with him, of which he claimed that Dr. Vayle was aware, concerning items from the church age book-C.A.B., he miserably failed to defend his work. He formulated heresies, perverted the Word and the message and denied his teachings under discussion, namely: marriage and divorce (C.A.B. page 104); the new birth and baptism of the Holy Ghost (C.A.B. pages 139-146); and 7 thunders (C.A.B. page 327). Above all, he took it to the world by his website. I was precautious not to do so since 1972. Now that he has started this public battle, it must continue in that manner. Pastor Kocourek, his successor, should **repent to Dr. Vayle** for any inconvenience this may have caused him.

Our readers can see from the following statement and exposition that I tried not to bring Dr. Vayle into illrepute, though Pastor Kocourek **employed that lie** as one of his defense. In the year 2004 certain questions were directed to our publication E.O.D.H. They were answered and published in an indirect manner on book 13 as heretical articles # 509-514. They were pertinent to Dr. Vayle and his teachings. I am now obliged to identify him with his heresies because of his successor's **publicity of his errors** which I exposed.

DR. VAYLE'S MISCONCEPTION GREW

It is clearly noticeable in the teachings of Dr. Vayle, that the misconceptions on the seventh seal/seven thunders, which he started and injected into the C.A.B. grew from **one stage of deception to the next**. It went completely off the Word and the message, and has become fanatical, insomuch as to misconstrue the simple teachings of the prophet on the subjects of —The **rapture, Melchisedec**, the **capstone, Revelation 10: 1** and Revelation **10:7**.

Since my discourse with pastor Kocourek was centralized on the three major errors of the C.A.B., this was **not included in my discourse**. Besides, his attitude was one of the great reasons why I did not discuss with him the fantastic growth of the seven thunders heresy of the C.A.B. page 327. Nevertheless, I placed the additional heresies of Dr. Vayle under this subject of the seventh seal/seven thunders, that all may be able to understand the growth of the seed of the Nicolaitan that has become one of the most popular doctrines amongst message believers, internationally, known as —the revelation of the seven **thunders**. Upon that foundation, most heretics formulated their heresies, beginning with Pastor Coleman unto Pastor Kocourek.

Please note that these errors were exposed in 2004, therefore they do not carry a new and current heresy number.

E.O.D.H. BOOK 13: HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 509 TO 514

Question # 451: —I ask this question with great fear because of the greatness of this man, a Doctor of divinity, therefore I will not mention his name. He teaches certain doctrines that do not compare with the message. Are they heresies?

Heretical Articles No. 509: The seventh seal is a part of the thunders or a thunder.

E.O.D.H Answer: I appreciate your respect for this great person that you mentioned in your question. However, if a man preaches heresies, we should be no respecter of persons, since we are not coming against the man, his ability, his association with the prophet, the miracles that he has done, or whatever he has done to promote the message or honor the prophet. We expose the heresies that others **be not deceived**; also to bring deliverance to those who are already deceived.

After a casual examination of the statements of this great person, I must be truthful in answering your question. Yes, they are **heresies.** They contradict the Word and message of the prophet. The above heresy has no

scriptural or message support. It is full of uncertainty, in its very context. This great person is guessing; that proves that he himself does not have a revelation of this subject. He should be silent where the prophet is silent and speak only what the prophet has spoken. People cannot hang their souls upon such a presumptuous statement. A true revelation does not say that the seventh seal is a part of the seven thunders or **a thunder**. God does not bring a revelation in such a presumptuous manner. It is either one or the other. If God had spoken to him, it would not be this or that, and that will settle the issue. This statement has discredited all the following statements of this mighty one. Therefore it is a heresy.

Quote W.M.B.: 575-4 {390} —... this **Seventh Seal**... it **is** the mystery of the **seven thunders**. The seven thunders in heaven will unfold this mystery. (The seventh seal 63-0324E).

Quote: 557-1 {241} —...this **Seventh Seal** mystery in the Book of Redemption was broke open... those seven thunders that he heard thunder and was forbidden to write, that's what the mystery is laying behind those **seven** consecutive **thunders** rolling out.| (The Seventh Seal 63-0324e).

Heretical Articles No. 510: The seventh seal/seven thunders are the last part of the shout, all finished at Brother Branham's message entitled the rapture.

E.O.D.H Answer: Here is another unscriptural statement, which cannot be supported by the message of the prophet. How can this great brother speak so presumptuously, when the prophet has not spoken such things in any part of his message?

The seventh seal/seven thunders is **not the last part of the shout**, according to the message of the prophet entitled _the rapture'. The seventh seal holds the coming of the Lord, and the prophet said that three things happen before the Lord takes away his bride: a shout, voice, and trumpet. The voice is the gathering of the bride.

Quote W.M.B.: 129 —II Thessalonians... 13th to the 16th verse there's three things that has to happen **before** the Lord Himself **appears**...The first thing happened, notice: a shout, a voice, a trumpet. (The Rapture 65-1204).

Quote: 152 —The first thing is... a shout, and then a voice, and then a trumpet. Shout, a messenger getting the people ready... The second is **a voice** of the resurrection. The same voice that, a loud voice in St. John 11:38 and 44 that called Lazarus from the grave. **Getting the Bride together**, and then the **resurrection** of the dead (See?), to be **caught up** with it.! (The Rapture 65-1204).

The doctrine of the rapture message preached by the prophet is **not** the seventh seal/seven thunders.

Heretical Articles No. 511: The last part of the seventh seal was broken to the public in 1965 by the rapture sermon.

E.O.D.H Answer: The above statement is a heresy; a most irresponsible statement. The last part of the seventh seal is the seven thunders, and the thunders were **not broken to the public in 1965**. The prophet said that it will be revealed about the time that Jesus appears on earth for the rapture of the bride. If it was broken in 1965, the rapture would have taken place then.

The rapture sermon is not the revelation of the seventh seal/seven thunders.

Quote W.M.B.: 576-7 {398} —He omitted the revelation of this Seventh Seal. And here when the Seventh Seal, when He opened it, He also omitted it again. See? So we see that it is a complete mystery, therefore, the hour is not yet for these mystery to be known, therefore, we're this far and the rest of it will be **known** right around about the **time that Jesus appears** on earth again for His Bride. (The seventh seal 63-0324E).

Heretical Articles No. 512: Revelation 10: 1-7 is the seventh seal, the complete message from 1946-1965.

E.O.D.H Answer: This great person just doesn't know what he is talking about. He is highly intellectual, confused, and mixed up in his theology. In his first statement he is guessing about the seventh seal/seven thunders, saying it is the seven seals. Now, he is saying that it is the complete message of the prophet from 1946 to 1965. **It is not.** The seals are completely **different** from the evangelical ministry and divine healing, which started in 1946 and continued for 15 years, after which that revival was over.

Therefore Revelation 10:1-7 is not the seventh seal. Revelation 10:7 revealed all the mysteries that were **written in the book.** The seventh seal was not written in the book, which ties in with Revelation 10:1-4, the seven thunders, which was not written in the book, and holds the coming of the Lord. That is a completely different mystery, which the prophet said was not revealed.

Quote W.M.B.: 17-3 —God has this all to Himself. It's a secret. And that's the reason there was silence in heaven for a space of a half hour. And Seven Thunders uttered their voices, and John was even forbidden to write it (See?)--the **coming** of the Lord. That's one thing He **hasn't revealed yet**, of how He will come, and when He will come. I (Christ Is The Mystery 63-0728).

The ministry of divine healing for 15 years was **not the coming** of the Lord. It was an evangelical ministry, carrying a gift of divine healing world-wide.

WHEN HE BEGINS TO SOUND - NOT THE YEARS OF PREPARATION

Quote W.M.B.: 165 —...Them mysteries will be revealed in the last days when the seventh angel's Message, when he, not when he starts out doing this, but when he begins to sound his Message. See? Not the years in preparation, but the--when he begins to sound the Message, these mysteries then will be revealed. And here they are, not knowing them, and you people are a witness of that. (Trying To Do God A Service 65-0718m).

Heretical Articles No. 513: The rapture is the seventh seal.

E.O.D.H Answer: The sermon of the rapture preached by Brother Branham is not the seventh seal. No where on that message did Brother Branham specify that; seeing that the prophet closed his subject on the seventh seal with much uncertainty and concluded that it was not revealed in 1963 (Seals page 567-578). If the above statement had any credibility, **the prophet would have clarified the subject** by specifying that the rapture sermon is the revelation of the seventh seal/seven thunders, but he did not even hint about the seventh seal. Thus the above statement is heretical, presumptuous and irresponsible. On the message of the rapture W.M.B. spoke about the rapture of the Bride church. Knowing that the 7 thunders are for rapturing faith, he said that the rapture is a revelation and the Bride will be **waiting on it**. We are to believe a prophet and not a theologian.

BRIDE OF CHRIST WILL BE WAITING FOR THAT REVELATION OF THE RAPTURE

Quote W.M.B.: 65 But to the church, the Bride, the rapture is a revelation to her. It's revealed to her, that the revelation, the true Bride of Christ will be waiting for that revelation of the rapture. Now, it is a revelation, for the **revelation is faith**. You cannot have a revelation without it being faith. (The Rapture 65-1204).

Quote: 142-1 $\{166\}$ —... seven thunders...We don't know what they are yet; but my opinion they'll be revealed right away. And when it do, it'll give faith for that rapturing grace for that Church to move out. (The First Seal 63-0318).

Heretical Articles No. 514: The seals are the message and this is the **capstone**, or else I don't know what he talked about.

E.O.D.H Answer: Certainly this great man that you referred to as a doctor of divinity doesn't know what he is talking about. The seven seals is not the capstone. **The capstone is an outpouring** of the Holy Spirit. The prophet clarified this subject of the capstone or headstone on the message, _Stature of a Perfect Man.'

Quote W.M.B.: 51-5 You're sealed. A seal shows on both sides. Whether you're going or coming, they see the seal just the same. There you are. See? When a man or woman possess this, then the **Capstone** comes down and **seals** them into the Kingdom of God which is the **Holy Ghost**. (Stature Of A Perfect Man 62-1014m).

Quote: 22 —And now, as in the individuals, these virtues and things are (knowledge and temperance)--is added to our faith, then when the **Capstone** comes, the **Holy Spirit** cements it together; There's the **baptism** of the Holy Spirit. That's why it's so short today. (Blasphemous Names 62-1104m).

SEVEN THUNDERS HERESIES

EXPOSED 2008 HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 753 TO 762

CHAPTER TEN

The suggestions of Dr. Vayle on the 7th seal/7 thunders are classified as heresies. They are linked to the major error on the same subject which he injected into the C.A.B., page 327. They are more erroneous and they must be exposed since Pastor Kocourek has done him this great dishonour in bringing my personal discourse with him to the public.

If God will grant Dr. Vayle grace to acknowledge that his suggestions are wrong on this vital subject of the 7 thunders, I will add such facts to this work, with great honor to him as an aged man of 94 years. This will bring great deliverance to many message believers around the world. I am not very optimistic of this as long as Pastor Kocourek is in control and answers questions for Dr. Vayle in a fanatical manner. Nevertheless, Dr. Vayle has expressed enough to disqualify his suggestions as speculations which lack revelation. In the first church age **heresies started as sayings:** —the deeds of the Nicolaitansl, and later became established doctrines, which are yet the foundation of the Catholic Church. Many can say that Dr. Vayle did not mean to formulate heresies, and that may be true. But we must take into consideration that these things are on Kocourek's and Dr. Vayle's **website**. How many souls are wrongly influenced by his suggestions? Therefore they are heresies. It would have been more acceptable if such suggestions were confined to Dr. Vayle's church. But it is totally unacceptable for it to be published internationally. By this exposition, God has allowed both these brothers to know, as Dr. Vayle so humbly expressed that he desired to know. I would like to see a further display of this humility that was expressed in all his suggestions.

Our contact address: E-mail: Mountainspring_@hotmail.com or Shalom10@tstt.net.tt.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... What's the seven thunders?" I don't have an understanding to give you all of it. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #6).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...and the Seventh Seal, that was to be broken to the public. That was in a three-fold manner. Now you tell me if the Rapture is not in a three fold manner. "Well, I don't know if I've got the right to compare it that close, but I'm just interested in knowing." I'm interested in knowing, if it wasn't on December 4, 1965 that the Seventh Seal was broken to the public. At least the tremendous revelation of the Rapture was part of the Seventh Seal. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... I believe I can prove to you by Bro. Branham's own words that the Rapture is the Seventh Seal. I believe I can show you where it was revealed to the public. **That's my understanding**. I'm not trying to preach a revelation on a revelation; just trying to tell you what I believe, what he taught. **I could be wrong**. Let's wait and see. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3)

Dr. Vayle has already agreed that his interpretations that he has given above are presumptuous. That means to venture without authority. I will therefore quote God's authority, W. M. B., on the subject.

Heretical Article No. 753: The revelation of Melchisedec was part or one of the seven thunders.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: Now in this message, "Who Is This Melchisedec," Bro. Branham placed the identifying of Melchisedec, or his identification of Melchisedec, as an end time revelation under the Seventh Seal. ... Bro. Branham states that this is only found under the Seventh Seal, and definitely is either one or a part of one of the Seven Thunders. (Lee Vayle Melchisedec Melchisedec #1).

E.O.D.H. Answer: A. The prophet did not indicate that Melchisedec was under the 7th seal, but since the opening of the seals, all the mysteries are revealed, with indication of the mystery of Melchisedec. Thus the prophet was erroneously quoted by Dr. Vayle. His suggestion is wrong.

Quote W.M.B.: 30 Think of this great Person, of how great this Man must be. And now, the question is: "Who is this Man?" Theologians has had different ideas, but **since the opening of the Seven Seals**, the mysterious Book that's been mysterious to us... According to Revelations 10:1-7, all the mysteries that's wrote in this Book that's been hid down through the age of the reformers is supposed to be brought out into view by the angel of the last church age. (Who is this Melchisedec 65-0221E).

B. The prophet never identified which seal, **neither did he say** anything about it hiding under the seven unknown thunders. We positively state that this revelation of Melchisedec preached by the prophet in 1965 was not **a thunder or part of a thunder**, because the prophet said the seventh seal/seven thunders was not opened, nor was it revealed to him.

Heretical Article No. 754: *The mystery of the seven thunders are contained in the mysteries revealed by Revelation 10: 7.*

Quote Dr. Vayle: But in the days of the voice of the seventh messenger when he shall begin to sound, the mystery of God (What mystery? What's under the Thunders is going to become unsealed. It's going to be finished. (Lee Vayle Melchisedec Melchisedec #1).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a popular heresy. The prophet interpreted the above scripture in a different manner and taught contrary to what Dr. Vayle is saying, along with many other message followers. His teachings are that the seventh angel was to reveal all the **mysteries** that were **written in the Bible**; which excludes the seven thunders, because they were **not written** in the Bible.

WRITTEN MYSTERY DIFFERENT FROM SEVEN THUNDERS

Quote W.M.B.: Page 37-3 102"And in the days of the voice of the seventh angel all this mystery that's written within should be finished." It should be taken care of in that day. Now, do you see what I mean? Are you following me? Then is the time for the seven voices of Revelations 10 to be revealed. When the Book is finished, there's only one thing left, and that is the seven mysterious voices of thunder that was wrote on the backside of the Book that John was forbidden to write... Seal up those things which the seven thunders uttered, and write them not. It's on the backside. When a book is complete... Now, He didn't say on the front side; He said on the backside. After it's all done completed, then these seven thunder voices is the only thing that is stuck to the Book, that's not revealed. It's not even written in the Book. (Sirs Is This The Time 30/12/62).

SEVENTH ANGEL TO OPEN SIX-SEAL MYSTERY

Quote: 270 The seventh angel was to open the six-seal mystery. (Proving His Word 64-0816).

Heretical Article No. 755: The seven thunders and the seals are the same thing.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... What about those Thunders? They're in there... they were in the Book, because the Thunders and the Seals were the same thing.

...Now this messenger of Malachi 4 and Rev 10:7 is going to do two things. According to Malachi 4, he'll turn the hearts of the children to the fathers. Two: he'll reveal the mysteries of the seven thunders in Revelation 10 which are the revelations **contained in the seven seals**. (That's exactly what the prophet said.) (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #4).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This statement is inconsistent with the teachings of the prophet. If this statement was true, that would mean that the **horse riders, which are the antichrist spirit, are thunders.** The thunders are not the coming of the antichrist. It is the coming of Christ. The thunders and the seals are not the same thing. The prophet said they are different. Dr. Vayle quoted his error on the seven thunders, injected into the C.A.B. to support this heresy. **Two wrongs** don't make a right. The prophet, in opposition to this heresy, said:

Quote W.M.B.: 75-3 {49} ... These Seven Seals has the Book sealed. See?... The Book is absolutely a sealed Book until the Seven Seals is broken. It is sealed up with Seven Seals. Now, that's a **different from the Seven Thunders**. (The Breach 63-0317E).

Heretical article No. 756: The seventh seal was opened in secret in 1963 by the prophet.

Quote Dr. Vayle: Most people don't even know the Seventh Seal was opened. **Bro. Branham said it was** open, but there's silence. But **he said**, "It's open, but not open to the public." And then he sneaks one in; "Rev 10:1-7 is the Seventh Seal." People, are writing me letters saying, "Oh, it's not open. It's not open." Well it is open! Rev 10:1-7 is the Seventh Seal! It's an interpolation; it opens the whole book. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #7).

E.O.D.H. Answer: That is not true. The prophet of God said it was **not opened**, he did not reveal it, but when it starts it will be a total secret. Dr. Vayle is absolutely wrong and the prophet is right.

THE SEVENTH SEAL WAS NOT OPENED, HE DID NOT REVEAL IT

Quote W.M.B.: 564-2 {301} ... So just remember, the Seventh Seal, the reason it was **not opened** (See?), the reason It did not reveal it, no one should know about it.

... Notice now, for the end of time message this Seal, after all... He--**He's revealed all the six Seals**, but it don't say nothing about the Seventh. And the end time Seal, when it starts, will be absolutely a total secret according to the Bible. (The seventh seal 63-0324E).

Quote: 575-6 {392}... There'll be seven voices of these thunders that will reveal the great revelation at that time.

... If we don't know it, and ... it won't be knowed till that time, but it **will be revealed in that day**, in the hour that it's supposed to be revealed in.

... this Seventh Seal cannot be broke to the public until that hour arrives. (The Seventh Seal 63-0324e).

WHAT THIS GREAT SECRET IS, I DO NOT KNOW

Quote: 567-1 {322} Now, notice. So help me, by God **I tell the truth**, that these are spiritually discerned to me (See?), discerned by the Holy Spirit. And by every one of them, has identified his place in the Bible.

Now, **what this great secret is** that lays beneath this Seal, **I do not know**. I don't know it. I couldn't make it out. I couldn't tell it, just what it and just what it said. But I know that it was them seven thunders uttering themselves right close together, just banging seven different times, and it unfolded into something else that I seen. Then when I seen that, I looked for the interpretation that flew across there, and I couldn't make it out. That's exactly right, friend. See? The hour isn't quite yet for it. (The seventh seal 63-0324E).

Heretical Article No. 757: Revelation 10: 1 is not the Lion of the tribe of Judah.

Quote Dr. Vayle: (1) And I saw another mighty angel (messenger) come down from heaven

...3) And cried with a loud voice, as when a lion roareth: and when he had cried, seven thunders uttered their voices. (Now the loud voice was not a lions roar, it was a loud voice as when a lion roars. **So this evidently is**

not the lion, the tribe of Judah. But it utters evidently with the same strength and the same words of the lion. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #4).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a heresy and in direct opposition to what was taught by the prophet. He said:

REVELATION 10; HE COMES AS THE LION OF THE TRIBE OF JUDAH

Quote W.M.B.: 207 The seven and last one of these seals, when they're opened, is found in Revelations the 10th chapter; there was a mighty Angel (which was Christ) came down and put one foot on the land and one on the sea.

...The last one of them is **Revelations 10**, foot on land and on sea; time had run out; redemption is over; now He **comes in as the Lion**. He was a Lamb then; now He comes in as Lion of the tribe of Judah. (Rev. Chap 5 Part 2 61-0618)

The scripture says that **the Lion of the tribe of Judah**, the Root of David, hath prevailed to open the book, and to loose the seven seals thereof. Here in Revelation 10: 1 He stands with the open book in His hand.

Heretical Article No. 758: The rapture is in a threefold manner.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...and the Seventh Seal, that was to be broken to the public. That was in a three-fold manner. Now you tell me if **the Rapture is not in a three fold manner**.

"Well, I don't know if I've got the right to compare it that close, but I'm just interested in knowing." I'm interested in knowing, if it wasn't on December 4, 1965 that the Seventh Seal was broken to the public. At least the tremendous revelation of the Rapture was part of the Seventh Seal. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3).

E.O.D.H. Answer: No Brother Vayle, the rapture is **not in a threefold manner**, you don't have a right to compare it with the 7th seal's three folds. There is no scripture or quotation which says that. This is to pervert the message. The coming of the Lord is in three phases, the seventh seal is in a threefold manner, but the rapture is not. We shall be caught up to meet him in the air, period! Other scriptures are to be fulfilled prior to the rapture-the catching away, but cannot be termed folds of the rapture.

If we are interested in knowing about the seventh seal, we should obey the instructions of the prophet. He said not to make any isms on the seventh seal, go on and live a Christian life, be humble; don't interpret anything, go on with the plain message; and **if we would know anything** God would send it. Your suggestion is an —isml. Let us obey the prophet. Humble your heart sir.

DON'T MAKE ANY ISM; IT ISN'T OPENED

Quote W.M.B.: 577-1 {400} And now, if this tape would happen to fall into the hands of some persons somewhere, **don't try to make any kind of an "ism"** out of it. The only thing you do, you just continue serving God, because this great secret is so great that God wouldn't even let John write it. It thundered out, but He... knowing that... promising us that it would be opened, but **to this time, it isn't opened.** (The Seventh Seal 63-0324E).

Quote: 459-5 {16} And so, but if the--the church here not supposed to know these things, so **don't put no interpretation** to anything. See? You just go ahead and just remember what you're told; live a Christian life. Go to your church, be a real light wherever you are, and just burn for Christ, and tell the people that how you love Him. And just let your testimony be with love all the time with the people (See?), 'cause if you don't you twist yourself out into something there, and then you're-you're off the beaten track.

See, every-time you try to do it, you've done that. See? So just **don't--don't-don't try to make no interpretation.** And especially tonight, when that Seal becomes up in front of you. See? Just don't try to interpret it. You just go ahead and **just be humble** and go right on with the same plain message. Now you say, "Brother Branham, is that... we, being the Church of the Living God, shouldn't we..."

Well, as I was trying ... Look here, I want to say ... Say, "Why can't I? I ought to have ..."

No, no. I... Don't... You remember, I'm saying this for your good. See, I'm saying it so that you'll understand. If you believe me now, listen to what I tell you. See, see? (QA. On The Seals 63-0324M).

Heretical Article No. 759: The seventh seal (coming of Christ) was broken to the public in a threefold manner of shout voice and trumpet.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: Now, nineteen years roughly had gone by, almost exactly seven months had gone by when Bro. Branham started preaching, bringing that out in 1946 to 1965, when he preached the final message which, in my estimation, was the Seventh Seal revealed to the public-the Coming. And remember. He said that Rev 10:1-7 was the Seventh Seal. What are you going to do about it? And he said, "Rev 10:7 was here at the same time that Rev 10:1 was." It was in a three-fold manner made public-Shout, Voice, Trumpet; and God reveals His Word by manifesting It. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #4).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is erroneous. Dr. Vayle is propagating that the prophet opened the seventh seal in 1963 and it **started in secret**. Let him **show us where** he ever said that the three folds of the seventh seal are the shout, voice and trumpet. When the trumpet sounds, the dead in Christ shall arise and the rapture will follow. The prophet spoke only of one fold. Two other folds were kept secret. It was hidden in the 7 thunders. That was not revealed.

I WILL SPEAK TO YOU OF A FOLD OF IT

Quote W.M.B.: 557-3 {245} And now, as certain as I stand in the platform tonight, I had the revelation that revealed... It's in a threefold manner. That, I will speak to you by God's help of a fold of it. And then you... Let's go over there first. Here's the revelation to begin what... I want to tell you what it is. What happens is that those seven thunders that he heard thunder and was forbidden to write, that's what the mystery is laying behind those seven consecutive thunders rolling out.

Now, why? Let us prove it. Why? It is the secret that no one knows about. John was forbidding to write about it, even, even write a symbol about it. (The seventh seal 63-0324E).

ADDITIONAL HERESIES OF DR. VAYLE

The following is a list of Dr. Vayle's heresies, exposed by the Word of God and the message of the prophet; Malachi 4: 5-6.

Heretical Article No. 760: The Rapture is secret, and the Bride gets out of here one and two at a time.

Quote Dr. Vayle: You see, the Rapture is secret. And the Resurrection is secret to the Gentiles; so nobody really knows. And the Bride gets out of here one and two at a time. It's very secret. (Rapture #2 Backgrounding: Secret Bride for a Secret Rapture September 25, 1983).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This heresy is pretty new, alarming and comical. The Bible said we shall all be caught up together to meet Him in the air. What nonsense is this big man talking about?

Quote W.M.B.: 203 Give me faith, O Lord, for a rapture. For, there will be two in the bed, and one will be taken, one left. Two will be in the automobile seat, and one will be taken and the other one be left. It's going to happen in a moment. (O Lord Just Once More 63-0628A).

Quote: E-81 Oh, my. How the church ought to be waving Its hands in glory, thanking God, thanking God, any minute the change could come. For the Bible said it'd universal. Jesus said, "There will be two in the mill, grinding, I'll take one and leave one; two in the field, I'll take one and leave one; two in the bed," showed it'd be on

both sides of the earth, while it's night on one side, be day on the other, "I'll take one and leave one." The **rapture will be universal**, and their bodies will be changed. (Abraham And His Seed After Him 61-0423).

I guess Dr. Vayle is indicating the scriptures of two in a bed, two in a field and grinding at the mill. But the Bible said, one was taken and the other left behind. Nowhere in the scripture nor the message even indicate this heresy. It's a lie of the devil and is based upon another heresy that the rapture has started.

Quote W.M.B.: 161 Oh, it's going to be a wonderful day, some morn, some of these times. To show you that the resurrection's going to be universal, "There'll be two in a field, and I'll take one; and two in a bed, and I'll take one." See, it'll be a night one place and daylight on the other side of the earth; it be a universal resurrection, that rapture. The trumpet of God shall sound, and every one of these, of this little church here, here, here, and even that little bunch that went through there, and come out here, here, here. (Smyrnaean Church Age 60-1206).

Quote: 716-141 The gathering of the people will be not clannish, for a group here. It'll be a universal resurrection gathering together, and the rapture will come the same way. (Questions And Answers 62-0527).

Quote: 935-60 "There will be two in the bed; I'll take one and leave one," the same moment, "There'll be two in the field; I'll take one and leave one," one on the dark side of the earth and one on the light side of the earth. See? It'd be a universal rapture. (Questions And Answers 64-0823).

Heretical Article No. 761: The voice that followed the sign was the rapture message.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: P:51 [156] You're not blind people. You're sensible people. If I stood and said these things for prejudice...I don't... It's because it is Life. I'm responsible to God for saying it. I must say it. And my Message... And all the time knowing back there under healing and so forth, was to catch the people's attention, knowing the Message would come. And here it is.

He tells you, "Here it is: the Message to come. And here it is." Therefore, he's talking about what? The Rapture. He's talking about the Shout. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #11)

E.O.D.H Answer: This is gross error and misrepresentation of what the prophet is saying in the quotation below. The prophet is saying that the message that was to follow the sign came forth at the opening of the seals.

Quote W.M.B.: 156 You're not blind people. You're sensible people, and if I stood here and said those things for prejudice... I say it because it's Life, because I'm responsible to God for saying it. And I must say it. And my Message... All the time knowing back there under healing and so forth like that, was just to catch the people's attention, **knowing the Message would come.** And here it is. And them **Seven Seals opened, those mysteries** and showing those things is what's happened. (The Rapture 65-1204).

Quote: 262 Notice the very day when this messenger, not when he starts on the, but when he begins to declare his Message. See? The First Pull, healing; Second Pull, prophesying; Third Pull, the opening of the Word, the mysteries revealed. No more... There's no more higher order to reveal the Word than prophets. But the only way the prophet can be a-vindicated is by the Word. And remember the Third Pull was the opening of them Seven Seals to reveal the hidden Truth that's been sealed in the Word. (Anointed Ones 65-0725M).

Quote: 201 And then He promised in the hour of the seventh angel's Message, the Seven Seals would be revealed; and the mysteries of God would be declared (Revelations 10) when the seventh angel begins to sound his Message, not the healing service, the Message that follows the healing service. (I Have Heard But Now I see 65-1127E).

Heretical Artcile No. 762: The ushering in of the millennium is now. I am in it.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: *P:25 There's nothing that hasn't started here now. Or I ask you in the name of my God, where is the entering in, or the ushering in of the Millennium? "Oh, Bro. Vayle, that's down the road." I beg to*

disagree with you. It is now, and I am in it! Oh, I know they're going to cover up and say, "Well, the Seventh Seal wasn't open." Maybe to them it wasn't. It's fine by me. You have it your way. (Lee Vayle Paradox Paradox #3).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is an old heresy that the prophet had to deal with while he was alive. We excuse Dr. Vayle. He seems to be more than a little bit confused.

MILLENNIUM GOING ON NOW - NO - CONFUSED

Quote W.M.B.: 516-2 {351} A man running up here the other day from North Carolina, just before we left, he said, "Glory to God. Can you tell me where some great somebody was?" And I said, "No." ... He said, "Well, where's the millennium at?" I said, "I don't know." He said, "Why, ... You mean it's going on right here and you don't know it?" And I said, "No, sir, I don't." And he said, "Well, glory to God," said, "I got some--some friends that come, told me," and said, "I quit work;" still had his work clothes on. Said, "Brother, I want the millennium." And I said, "Well, I--I believe you're just a little bit confused, aren't you, brother?

...Said, "What did... You say your name was, Branham?" I said,... "And you don't know nothing about the millennium?" I said, "Well, ... No, I don't." I said, "I don't understand it just right in the Bible." He said, " No, it's right now. People's come from everywhere." I said, "Where's it at?" He said, "Jeffersonville, Indiana, right under the bridge." (QA.On The Seals 63-0324M).

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 763 TO 782

CHAPTER ELEVEN

INTRODUCTION

ude 1-16 <Jude, the servant of Jesus Christ, and brother of James...

...Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you of the common salvation, it was needful for me to write unto you, and exhort you that ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints.

For there are certain men crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation, ungodly men, turning the grace of our God into lasciviousness, and denying the only Lord God, and our Lord Jesus Christ.

... Likewise also these filthy dreamers defile the flesh, despise dominion, and speak evil of dignities.

... But these speak evil of those things which they know not: but what they know naturally, as brute beasts, in those things they corrupt themselves.

Woe unto them! for they have gone in the way of Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam for reward, and perished in the gainsaying of Core.

These are spots in your feasts of charity, when they feast with you, feeding themselves without fear: clouds they are without water, carried about of winds; trees whose fruit withereth, without fruit, twice dead, plucked up by the roots;

Raging waves of the sea, foaming out their own shame; wandering stars, to whom is reserved the blackness of darkness for ever.

And Enoch also, the seventh from Adam, prophesied of these, saying, Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousands of his saints,

To execute judgment upon all, and to convince all that are ungodly among them of all their ungodly deeds which they have ungodly committed, and of all their hard speeches which ungodly sinners have spoken against him.

These are murmurers, complainers, walking after their own lusts; and their mouth speaketh great swelling words, having men's persons in admiration because of advantage.>

As promised, I now turn my attention to expose other major heresies of Pastor Kocourek in conjunction with that of Dr. Vayle's.

RESTLESS KOCOUREK

Pastor Kocourek has not yet revived from his previous exposition and is like a fish out of water. He is condemned by his own conscience. In another desperate attempt to evade his exposition he continues to debate publicly on his website, as if yet in a discourse with me. But he is further exposing himself with each attack. He is determined to hold to his **lies and malicious plot** unto the end, saying that I am anti-message, anti-Branham and anti- C.A.B. By his lies he hopes to misconstrue the thoughts of the people away from the real issues which were under discussion-3 major errors injected into the C.A.B. by Dr. Vayle, Kocourek's inability in defending such errors and the exposition of his own heresies. Above all he is worried and **restless about the lies he told** and the arrogant attitude he displayed in our discourses. In his furious attack he is trying to paint an image of me as the renegade and him as the good old priest, even as the prophet described the battles of the Pharisees against Jesus and their carnal thoughts against him.

DR. VAYLE BLAMED FOR NASTY QUESTION

At longed last he now has an excuse why he was rude, vulgar and nasty with his mouth in asking me about my personal life and that of my wife. **He blamed it on Dr. Vayle** saying on his website that Dr. Vayle told him to ask that question. I refuse to accept that accusation against elder Vayle. I am mindful that Pastor Kocourek lied about the statement of the prophet's wife. His words cannot be trusted. His evil deed to his mentor is alarming, since he published Dr. Vayle to the world as the one who asked that nasty question. Shame on you pastor, Reverend Kocourek! You never mentioned Dr. Vayle in connection with this issue when I challenged you in our discourse. This was the excuse you gave on your e-mail letter:

Quote Pastor Kocourek 1: "I was just asking for you to name the names because you say one thing but show no evidence. And furthermore, since everything in the Church Age Book was spoken, written and edited by William Branham himself, so I was Just asking because you say there are many people damaged by heresy in the Church Age Book."

You have once again run off to the internet for international support making the feeble old man your **scapegoat**. You are less than a man and sound to the world like a cackling hen that lost her eggs. You cannot **save your face by lies. Repent!**

SELF EXALTED NATURE

Under no condition can Dr. Vayle understand the **wicked deed** of Pastor Kocourek in advertising him to the world with such vulgarity and also with his three major errors injected into the C.A.B. This was a private and personal discourse with Pastor Kocourek. But by his highly ambitious spirit he jumped out of it and took it **worldwide to elevate himself** as a great defender of the message. Now he is like a tick in a sheep's ear, trying to deny many heresies that he wrote in our discourse. He is trying to save his face by twisting his words. This was his general attitude that was manifested throughout the entire discourse. Those who faithfully study the articles of the discourse would understand the slimy and self exalted nature of this man. His advertisement of his worldly achievement and also his spiritual success **reveals this fact** (See —Wikipedia the free encyclopedia.I) He boasted and praised himself about **sports, business**, education and religion. May the Lord help him to change that nature before he departs this life or before the rapture takes place.

"PROPHET" - CROCODILE PRAYERS

He claims to be praying for me after he told **another lie** to the world that I think I am **a prophet** he sounds like the prophet and appearing of Christ with discernment of the mind but he lied. These crocodile prayers has further displayed his ignorance of the scriptures, since he imputed to me the sin of blasphemy-Hebrews 6; Cainism and Cain's seed, and has me standing at the white throne with many other condemnations. He is so pre-occupied in projecting himself as a man of love, pity and compassion by the false love-gospel as a part of his defence that he ignored 1 John 5: 16-17, which states that if one commits the sin of death we should not pray for him. He rageth and is confident. I chose not to waste God's time in answering his foolish and dishonest statements, compounded with lies. I will therefore use such time in further exposition of his damnable heresies.

UNSCRIPTURAL SUGGESTIONS ON WEBSITE

As much as Dr. Vayle's successor has boasted about his mentor and being faithfully taught, it can be seen from the statements of Pastor Kocourek, that they **don't believe alike.** He denied several of his teachings from the C.A.B. page 104, on the subject of marriage and divorce. He spoke in the positive and the affirmative concerning the thunders, while Brother Vayle spoke in suggestive terms. He has his **own doctrine**, which is heretical in nature, and is **using Dr. Vayle's influence and popularity** to propagate his heresies. I wonder if he had permission from Dr. Vayle to advertise his suggestions on his website. Doctrinal suggestions should be isolated to a pastor's congregation, but heretical suggestions were made public by the internet. Dr. Vayle clearly stated on his sermon on

2nd November 2008:

NEVER WANTED SERMONS TAPED OR PUT ON BOOKS; AND STILL DON'T

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle:...I want to say this and **put it on the record** because this could well be the last time that I'm in public to give a little message.... I never wanted anything taped, although, if people want to do it, well, who am I to say they shouldn't do it; what do I care anyway. And then they wanted them put on tape so they could be sent out, and I didn't want that either. And then it came to the place where people wanted to read along. I didn't want that either. This is the truth: **I never wanted** any of these things, **and to this day I** still do not want them.

I hold Pastor Kocourek responsible for this great and hideous offence to the Bride of Christ. Pastor Kocourek is taking advantage of Dr. Vayle's old age and his lack of mental alertness. Of course, he can say that Dr. Vayle gave him the consent, but is Dr. Vayle really capable of understanding the internet system and the publicity of his name and humble suggestions about the 7 thunders.

TAUGHT WRONG - DON'T LISTEN TO ME

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...Don't let him get in my way of saying what I believe is right... I have no vindication... If I've taught one thing wrong... then you don't listen... I believe... to the best of my knowledge, and I've done the best I can tonight. If you see a flaw, I'll be glad to **hear what the flaw is.** I don't pretend I got it all down exactly... and I don't pretend it is thus saith the Lord. I can't tell you that. But I have done my best.

...Now Lord tonight I pray, if there be some little discrepancy in this message tonight, we both know Lord, you and I, that I did my best... Lord I would really want to see the truth. And I hope Lord that I am right... if that I've said one thing wrong, it won't **affect the people** of God. (The Seven Seals And The Seven Thunders 1969).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... What's the seven thunders?" I don't have an understanding to give you all of it. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #6).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...and the Seventh Seal, that was to be broken to the public. That was in a three-fold manner. Now you tell me if the Rapture is not in a three fold manner. "Well, I don't know if I've got the right to compare it that close, but I'm just interested in knowing." I'm interested in knowing, if it wasn't on December 4, 1965 that the Seventh Seal was broken to the public. At least the tremendous revelation of the Rapture was part of the Seventh Seal. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... I believe I can prove to you by Bro. Branham's own words that the Rapture is the Seventh Seal. I believe I can show you where it was revealed to the public. **That's my understanding**. I'm not trying

to preach a revelation on a revelation; just trying to tell you what I believe, what he taught. **I could be wrong.** Let's wait and see. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3)

ONE-MAN IDENTIFICATION OF CHRIST'S APPEARING

Dr. Vayle's suggestive terms in teaching the subject of the seven thunders fully declare his lack of revelation. He expressed much uncertainty. Pastor Kocourek did not view it that way and has perverted them into hard core doctrines, and speaks of Dr. Vayle as the only one who was taught right in the message. Dr. Vayle himself has never claimed an office or claimed to be one that was faithfully taught by the prophet. He claimed no office of prophet nor messenger, but Pastor Kocourek is propagating that image of him. In so doing, he falsely elevates himself to the world as the only one taught right after Dr. Vayle. On his Parousia heresies, he is identifying the appearing of the Lord in a human being. He is speaking in the present tense of that one man. Certainly he could not be speaking of Dr. Vayle, as he himself denied any kind of vindication and said that he does not have —Thus saith the Lord. Who is this person to whom he makes reference? He is very secretive about identifying that certain man. This can be seen in his —Parousia, presence of Christl booklet questions and answers. He claimed to be the one faithfully taught. Is he also claiming this ministry? That was isolated to Malachi 4: 5-6.

TWO DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS

On the subject of the tent vision, Dr. Vayle said if it is literal it cannot fit the Word, and he also spiritualized it and concluded that it is not literal, while Pastor Kocourek believes differently as follows:

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: To me if that were a literal tent and that was literal women, then Brother Branham booed when he said women can't preach or exercise authority. If that was a literal vision it couldn't have slid in the Word as far as I can see.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Now, the question then arises, what do you believe concerning the tent and the time of the resurrection. Well, I believe exactly what William Branham taught about it. As for the tent, he told his wife Meda not too long before God took him home, he said, "Meda, I am going to have that tent even if it is for one meeting." So do I believe there will be a Tent? Yep! For how long? I don't know but I believe it will be for at least one meeting. (Questions and Answers no. 14 Return Ministry August 19th, 2007 Brian Kocourek, Pastor).

HERESIES OF PASTOR BRIAN KOCOUREK

- Dr. Vayle received his doctorate from God through the lips of His prophet, W.M.B.
- If there is any man who is living who understand the Message, it is Dr. Lee Vayle.
- Brother Branham said if any minister or laity had any questions concerning the Message to ask Lee Vayle. This includes message ministers and believers.
- Pastor Kocourek was authorized to answer the questions of ministers worldwide.
- Anyone who challenges errors in the C.A.B. has no light in them.
- Dr. Vayle is a gospel writer. Those who disagree with him are in error.
- God has never raised up a man from one area and then all of a sudden changes course and raises up another from another part of the World.
- God never continued the light from men who did not know the Messenger.
- God continued the light unto other generations, through those who knew and studied under the messenger.
- Brian Kocourek; spiritual leader amongst message ministers.
- Land and sea in Rev. 10 is Europe and America where the pillar of fire appeared.
- The Rapture is a process that began in Luther.
- Souls of Old Testaments saints were awakened before their bodies.
- The Spirit that raised Jesus from the dead was in the Old Testament saints.
- Same Holy Ghost article in Old and New Testament.
- The Bride is made up of Old Testament and New Testament saints.
- A worldwide revival is now taking place.
- Rapture and Parousia Heresies.
- The process of the Rapture began in Luther.

- The rapture has started.
- The resurrection has started.
- The voice of the resurrection wakes the sleeping virgins.
- The first light in Genesis was Jesus the son of God.
- God birthed his son Jesus in Genesis, in the beginning.
- The beginning is in Genesis when God birthed Jesus the son of God.
- In the beginning two beings are involved; one who is God and the son of God who is not God.
- God was not even in Jesus until he was baptized in the Jordan River.
- The one (Christ), spoken of in Colossians one, and who was with God in the beginning, was not God.

HERESIES OF PASTOR KOCOUREK AND DR. VAYLE

- *The rapture is in three stages of shout, voice and trumpet.*
- When the seals were opened Jesus stepped up unto His Father's Throne and is still making intercession.

DR. VAYLE

- *T*he Tent vision spoke of a spiritual and not a literal tent.
- The Tent vision was the symbol of the seventh seal; a part of the thunders.
- The half hour silence was 21 years; from 1955 to 1976, which takes us into the millennium by or before 1977.
- Marriage and divorce was the symbol of the seventh seal/seven thunders.
- The symbol was interpreted when the prophet preached "Invisible union of the Bride."
- *The symbol interpreted was "My people are forgiven." It's a great mystery.*
- No other preacher has any vindication. The prophet had it all.

PASTOR KOCOUREK'S HERESIES EXPOSED

Heretical Article No. 763: Dr. Vayle received his doctorate from God through the lips of His prophet, W.M.B.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: ...Reverend Lee Vayle, Indicated Message Teacher who received his doctorate in this Message from God Himself through His End-Time prophet William Branham.

I just thought I would add that to help the scoffers out who are always trying to use the fact that God's prophet called Br. Lee Vayle Doctor Vayle, seeing they do not know that Lee Vayle did not go to seminary, but God Himself gave him that tag through the lips of His Prophet. (Questions & Answers No. #3).

E.O.D.H. Answer: There is no scripture or quotation of Brother Branham, to support this heresy. The **prophet was definitely mistaken** thinking that Brother Vayle actually earned his Doctorate, and besides that, the way he argued with Brother Branham, compounded the idea that he was a scholar.

Quote W.M.B.: 24-6 Brother Lee Vayle, of course, is a scholar and a Doctor of Divinity. He really **earned his degree.** (Look Away To Jesus 63-1229E).

Pastor Kocourek took the mistake of the prophet and blamed it on God. It is strictly the foolish imagination of Pastor Kocourek, to elevate Dr. Vayle. In so doing, he is elevating himself. By the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, **God condemned all such titles** of DD. LL.D, Ph.D., preachers' licenses etc., along with Bible schools and colleges, **as inspired of the devil.** How could Brother Branham come under inspiration of the same Holy Ghost to give Dr. Vayle his title by inspiration? Pastor Kocourek is blaming God for the title that the prophet mistakenly gave to Dr. Vayle. The prophet understood the thinking of the brother and could not help but attribute those titles to him of —Scholarl, —Doctorl, —Theologianl etc. Pastor Kocourek turned that into **a prophecy**, as —thus saith the Lordl, which means, —God said. There are no doctors of the message. God never, in the **history** of the Bible, ordained any of His servants with those titles.

DOCTOR OF DIVINITY-DEGREE-CORD OF DELILAH

Quote W.M.B.: 15 — Then they bound the church with another cord as Delilah did... Instead of having God-called men, men who were called by the Holy Spirit, maybe didn't know their abc's, but they knowed Christ. Then the church got stylish, and fashioned after the political speakers. And they had to give their preachers doctor's degree, everybody had to be a Doctor of Divinity. That was another cord to bind the church. Men go off and study, each seminary tries to produce a better scholar so that their churches can brag, "Our pastor is a Doctor of Divinity." ... that doesn't mean anything in the sight of God.! (Deceived Church By The World 59-0628m).

Quote: E-4 —Someone was trying to give me a doctors degree not long ago. And I said, "I'm too smart for that." I said, "I know better; the people does too." So... If a man knows his limitations and..." Now with my old Kentucky "his," and "hain'ts," and "tote," and "fetch," and "carry," you know people know how I wouldn't be a doctor of divinity. And so I'm just Brother Branham, you see. (Hear.Ye.Him 56-0611).

DOCTOR, DOCTOR -FURTHER FROM GOD

Quote: 268-2 {286} "You doctors of divinity and everything and talking how... And introducing yourself as 'Doctor,' 'Doctor,' 'Doctor.' (The Third Seal 63-0320).

Quote: 185 —Culture, science, beautiful churches, high steeples, fine polished preachers, education: DA., D., Ph.D., LL.D., Doctor of Literature, Doctor of Divinity, Doctors... Every time you pronounce that, it just takes him that much **farther from God**, just throws him plumb away.! (Power of transformation 65-1031).

Dr. Vayle said on his sermon on 2/11/08: **Quote Dr. Lee Vayle:** *"I'm not a Doctor of Divinity. I'm a Doctor of nothing. Bad medicine maybe."*

Heretical Article No. 764: If there is any man living who understands the message, it is Dr. Vayle.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: If there is any man who is living who understand the Message it is the one that brother Branham himself identified as his coworker, and that is Lee Vayle.

E.O.D.H. Answer: That is very nice of Pastor Kocourek to think so highly of his pastor. But we cannot say the same. This was evidently proven in the fact that he injected three major heresies into the C.A.B., which Pastor Kocourek **failed to defend.** That closes the case.

Heretical Article No. 765: Brother Branham said if any minister or laity had any questions concerning the Message to ask Lee Vayle. This includes message ministers and believers.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: Brother Lee Vayle was one person indicated by Brother Branham for the ministry or laity "to go to" if they had any questions concerning the doctrine he preached. Brother Branham said, if you have any questions concerning anything we preach (Brother Branham or Brother Vayle), Brother Branham said you have a right to ask us (Wm Branham or Br. Vayle) for an explanation.

The problem we have in this Message is that people would rather believe what they hear third hand, than what has been actually said.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Seemingly, Pastor Kocourek is indicating that all message believers should direct their questions to Dr. Vayle, on an **international basis.** The statement of the prophet was isolated to people attending his campaigns, since Dr. Vayle was his campaign manager, associate and representative of his teachings at that time. Pastor Kocourek is misinterpreting the prophet's statement and taking it out of context, beyond the campaigns of the prophet and beyond **his grave**, with enough indications to say, in so many words, that Dr. Vayle was the **man after W.M. Branham**, and Pastor Kocourek is **next in line.** I doubt that the aged elder at 94 years is aware of this heresy formulated by this ambitious successor. He has fitted himself into a ministry identifying a specific office. Is it a 9th messenger?

Heretical Article No. 766: Pastor Kocourek has the right to answer questions on the message from ministers around the world, because he was authorized to do so by Dr. Vayle, who was authorized by the prophet to answer any question concerning the message.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: ... *I will say the following for those who will scoff at what I am doing and saying to themselves, "what gives you a right to Answer questions from around the world?"*

... I asked brother Vayle if he would be interested in answering the people questions since brother Branham said the following;

<u>Jesus Christ the same</u> 58-0323 P:0022 And if there's anything you lack of understanding, something that you do not understand, my associate Brother Vayle here, is available at all times to explain anything that seems mysterious to you ministering brethren, or wherever you are, or any lay member, anything. If there's a question, if we ever preach anything, or do anything, that's not a promise of God in this Bible, you got a right to come to us and ask us like brethren...

...So with that in mind, I asked him if he would do so, and he agreed to do so. Then when **hi** wife took sick he said that he would not have time to do so and said, "Brian, **you know this message as well as anyone**, why don't you just answer the questions, and if there is anything you need help with, call me and I will do what I can."

E.O.D.H. Answer: This heresy is **further proof** that he believes that Dr. Vayle was left to continue the message, and now that Pastor Kocourek has succeeded him, he is using that **office to answer questions**, teach and lead ministers worldwide, as the only one equipped with the knowledge compared to Dr. Vayle, and the ability to answer questions and train ministers to do the same.

In this recent discourse he proved this claim false, irresponsible and a big, empty boast.

Heretical Article No. 767: Anyone who challenges errors injected into the C.A.B. doesn't have any light in them.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: *This next quote comes because some ministers had challenged* some things in the church age book saying it was Lee Vayle's doctrine and not brother Branham's. So brother Branham tells them it's true and they will receive it if they got any light in them to receive it.

Anointed ones at end time 65-0725M P:139 Now, Lee, there comes your name on the Book. See? You've got it fixed out. You got it. And you that's not here and out on the hook-ups. Doctor Lee Vayle setting here, he's grammarizing this book of the Seven Church Ages, and the problem come up, the question about your name taken off the Lamb's Book of Life. See, it's puzzled a lot of ministers, but wait till you get the book; you'll understand it if you just got any Light in you. Notice. Now, you'll turn your head and won't even look at it if you don't want to see it.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Pastor Kocourek is using the above statement of the prophet to show that the C.A.B. is unquestionable, and if people do not receive it, there is no light in them. But he has perverted this quotation. It is not saying that. It is speaking of a particular doctrine: —Names on the Lamb's book of life. I —Did he faithfully document the teaching on that subject as taught by the prophet? I is a question for consideration.

Heretical Article No. 768: Dr. Vayle is a gospel writer. Those who disagree with him are in error.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: Now do you suppose that the men who wrote the Bible did not know what they were writing? Do you men, who **challenge the Gospel writers**, know more than the ones who wrote it? Those who would disagree with the men who wrote it, are the ones in error, and not the writers? Then why would any one try to change God's order of doing things. Then why would any one try to change God's order of doing things. If there is any man who is living who understand the Message it is the one that brother Branham himself identified as his coworker, and that is Lee Vayle.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This statement is heretical, erroneous, and unscriptural and contradicts the message of the prophet. Such a statement **cannot be attributed** to even Malachi 4: 5-6, William Braham, and much less to any man upon the earth. A man set a trap for Brother Branham by using such statement:

Quote W.M.B.: 274 Now, someone has been, many has been saying to me, and theologians said, "Brother Branham, if the Lord God..." Said, "...with your experience that the Lord has given you for His people," humbly saying this, said, "you'd be **eligible to write a Bible yourself**, your Word that God has manifested."... (Unveiling Of God 64-0614M).

In other words, be a gospel writer. The prophet rejected such compliment as heresy: —adding to the Word.

Quote: ...I said, "That might be true." See, he was trying to catch me. See? And I said, "But, you see, I couldn't do that." He said, "Why couldn't? You have all the qualifications." I s aid, "But, you look, one word cannot be added or taken away." See? And he said, "Well then, them seven thunders (You see?)," said, "wouldn't them seven thunders blasting out, won't that be a revelation be give to some man?"

I said, "No, sir, it would be adding something to It or taking something from It." It's all revealed in there, and the seven seals opened up the revelation of what that was. (Unveiling Of God 64-0614M).

The prophet is right; the thunders hold the coming of the Lord, which was not revealed but will be revealed about the time of the rapture.

There cannot be any more gospel writers. The Bible is a complete book. The last book; Revelation, is the seal or climax of the entire Revelation of God, of both the written and unwritten mysteries of God. It cannot be added to or taken away from.

Revelation 22:18 <For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book.>

What is Pastor Kocourek trying to do to Dr. Vayle in his old age? Did he ever speak such blasphemy? I can see that this ambitious successor is preaching many heresies in the name of Dr. Vayle because his sight and hearing has diminished at 94 years of age. Shame on you sir!

Quote W.M.B. 13-5 ... I believe that any word that's added to this Bible and whoever is guilty of doing it, his part will be taken out of the Book of Life: Revelation 21, "Whosoever shall add to This or take from This..." I do not believe that any creed, any dogma, anything else, but just the literal Word of God is God's plan. Anything else is

sinful and will be dealt with and will be **eternally lost:** any man, any creed, any denomination, or anything that will **add to or take from** one punctuation of this Word.

... What is the Word. Now, It is eternal. It must not be tampered with, added to, or taken away from. See? Must not be tampered with: God sees to that. It must not be added to--anything to It. Nothing can be taken from It because It's eternal. See? Now, now, to base upon to show you that, what I'm trying to say, is in between these (Genesis to Revelation) it will not mix with anything else. (Spoken Word Original Seed 62-0318M).

Heretical Article No. 769: God has never raised up a man from one area and then all of a sudden changes course and raises up another from another part of the World.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: Then why would any one try to change God's order of doing things...God has never raised up a man from one area and then all of a sudden changes course and raises up another from another part of the World.

E.O.D.H. Answer: I understand the thoughts of Pastor Kocourek in this statement above. He is indicating that the **gospel passed down from W.M. Branham to Dr. Lee Vayle and then to him** as the successor, because all three are from the same area. Dr. Vayle never claimed a special office. Pastor Kocourek is trying to fit him into one. He is afraid that God may use other men from other countries, without coming through him as the only one faithfully taught the message by Dr. Vayle. God does not pass down heresies. He passes down the Word of God. Kocourek's words are unscriptural in several aspects. The gospel passed down to different messengers in different parts of the world: from Apostle Paul unto W.M. Branham. Most of them never met each other. This sounds like the man the apostles met and did forbid him from preaching the gospel. Jesus commanded them not to forbid him. This is such a foolish statement that it does not even warrant God's time.

Heretical Article No. 770: God never continued the light from men who did not know the Messenger.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: 156-2 SMYRNAEAN CHURCH.AGE - CHURCH AGE BOOK Chapter 4 In every age we have exactly the same pattern. That is why the light comes through some Godgiven messenger in a certain area, and then from that messenger there spreads the light through the ministry of others who have been faithfully taught.

... God is the God of Continuity, and He raises up men who are willing to disciple and study at the feet of another who has been confirmed to be the Elder. These are the ones who spread the light. God never continued the light from men who did not know the Messenger.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is gross ignorance of the simple Word of God. Pastor Kocourek is so wrapped up in his —succession of Dr. Vayle's ministry that he is trying to elevate his office by propagating **a hand me down religion** or a hand me down message. He wants to be certain that everybody knows and recognizes his position, without using the title of —Doctor, apostle or prophet. **Paul never personally knew the messenger**, as the apostles who were taught under Christ's ministry, and yet God ordained him to carry the light to the gentiles. He is perverting a **true New Testament order**, way out of bounds. This is the same perversion that formulated the heresy of successions of Peter and the popes of Rome.

Paul was not taught the revelation by any man (Galatians 1:1-8). It was not handed down to him. Where is your **succession plan** in the light of this? I guess in your proud imagination. Also certain church age messengers lived hundreds of years apart and were **not taught by the former messenger.** For example; two messengers were separated by almost 1000 years of Dark Ages. Yes, men are faithfully taught by each other, but when you isolate that fact to a trio today, you are wrong. It formulates a heresy. It's nicolaitanism. You are trying to conquer the laity and bind the fivefold ministry of Christ into your bundle of heresies. True servants of God cannot submit to such popish rule.

Quote W.M.B.: 38 But when Paul, that little Pharisee that received the Holy Spirit when Ananias baptized him, and he went into Arabia and studied for three years, come back, and **never consulted the church about anything** for fourteen years, and when he come and met Peter, the head of the church at Jerusalem, they were eye to eye in doctrine. Why? The same Holy Spirit. Where Peter baptized in the Name of Jesus Christ, Paul did the **same**

without anybody telling him. Where Peter taught the baptism of the Holy Ghost, and sanctification, and so forth, Paul did the same thing, without consulting the church, because It was the same Guide. (A Guide 62-1014E).

Just the same as other church age messengers never met each other personally, but had the measure of light allotted for their age. **Thousands of ministers around the world** only heard the message of the prophet through tapes and books and preach the message, and have won thousands of souls into the Kingdom of God. In essence, you are saying that if they do not come under Pastor Kocourek's ministry, they are not faithfully taught. When the persecution arose against the early church, they went every where preaching the gospel. **Who taught them?** The teacher was inside them by the Pentecostal blessing. There will be a return of Pentecost, but you don't believe it. Thus you are the great teacher.

Heretical Article No. 771: God continued the light unto other generations, through those who knew and studied under the messenger.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: ... God is the God of Continuity... God never continued the light from men who did not know the Messenger.

He used men knew the Messenger and studied under the Messenger and then when that generation were gone the light continues to go forth from those who have been faithfully taught so that no generation is without direct handed down Truth.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a heresy, based on the above heresy. All never studied under the messenger. They were separated by hundreds of years and never met each other, and much less taught by the former generation. Truth was lost, thus God sent a messenger to bring truth for that age. God continues the light through a five-fold ministry. Is Pastor Kocourek of the five-fold ministry alone? When did the prophet **ordain him to train** the five-fold ministry? You have fitted yourself into the statements of the prophet from —Taking sides with Jesusl, and assume that you are the one to fulfill the wishes of the prophet and you are carrying a revival to the continents of the world. It is a lie of Satan. God's fivefold ministry is not trained up by heresies. This exposition is sufficient to expose Pastor Kocourek to his ministers, 183 countries, and all who love the truth.

Heretical Article No. 772: Pastor Kocourek is faithfully teaching the truth of the message, because he was faithfully taught by Dr. Vayle, who was faithfully taught by W.M.B.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: I met brother Vayle in 1976 and by 1979 he began to mentor me. I have been faithfully taught by one who himself had been faithfully taught by William Branham. To be faithfully taught requires one who is faithful to teach and one who is faithful to shut up and listen and learn.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is another branch of his former heresy which expresses his ambition, pride and selfish motives. My discourse with him has proven this to be a heresy. He is propagating many heresies, **evident by the heresies exposed** in this work, for which he had no defense, but arrogance, lies, anger, foolish statements and boastings about Dr. Vayle. There is where you failed Pastor. You **never did shut up**, you never listened and you were never taught. This can be clearly seen from your feeble discourses, your week defenses and substitution of the Word of God for your opinion. You boasted about staying with the Word and message in answering questions, but had no respect for your published policy.

Heretical Article No. 773: *Pastor Kocourek is a profound teacher and spiritual leader amongst message ministers, teaching them the deeper things of the message.*

Quote Pastor Kocourek from his website: *Question: Why do you go around the world holding ministers meetings to teach the doctrine of the Message?*

Answer: Because that is what Brother Branham said we should do. He said the deeper things of the Message should be taught that way. Brother Branham called Brother Vayle a profound teacher and said if any minister or laity had any questions concerning the Message to ask Lee Vayle.

...I have been faithfully taught by one who himself had been faithfully taught by William Branham.

... In the year 2000 I felt the leading of the Holy Spirit to do just as Brother Branham said it should be done... I have personally taught the Revelation of Jesus Christ to more than 1,700 ministers ... and because their cups have overflowed they can not help but to teach others what they have been taught.

Taking sides with Jesus COD 62-0601 P:49 And then in there, get training in your own groups other ministers, men that you see that has a calling in their life for the ministry. Train them young men; bring them in here to the elder. All of you set together in a ministerial meeting and there teach the deeper things of God. Don't go on the bad end. Keep someone who, can have confidence in to be kind of like a leader for you.

E.O.D.H. Answer: He is not fulfilling the wishes of the prophet. It is evident that he is **teaching them heresies.** The prophet expected men who are faithfully taught in the Word to teach others, not those who are faithful to heresies. His knowledge of this message is **so shallow** that he lacks knowledge on the basic teachings and principles of Christianity. He definitely does not know the deeper things of God. This is evident, by the **great blunders** in answering simple questions, attributing the article of Pentecost to the O.T. saints even without the New Birth, saying, —God gave Dr. Vayle a doctorate and he is a gospel writer; a divorced woman can be remarried; the Bride is now having a revival; the 7th seal is revealed etc etc.||

Heretical Article No. 774: Pastor Kocourek is **one qualified man** to teach the message, travelling around the Globe. He is fulfilling the wishes of the prophet by trying to train up men in the message.

Quote Pastor Kocourek from website: *My intention was to make sure these meetings were not a one man* show, because I do not wish for the people to look to me for their answers. I do not believe that God has only one man qualified to teach the doctrine, and I do not wish to be the only one traveling around the globe doing so. I do not believe that is what God intended.

From the sermon, Taking sides with Jesus COD 62-0601 P:49 brother Branham said, And then in there, get training in your own groups other ministers, men that you see that has a calling in their life for the ministry. Train them young men; bring them in here to the elder.

...And training up a group of men. And if I'm in evangelistic work somewhere, there's places I can place them worldwide.

...What if I had a group of men, young men trained in the Message (See?) to say, "Now, wait a minute, before I leave here, we're going to set in order these churches. I'll have a man who I've already telegrammed him, and they got the money; they're on their road here right now to take over this, a good man. There's two or three young men with him who'll be his helpers and assistants.

...So we traveled with a group of men who that we could leave behind that would be available to work with the ministers who wanted to have a better handle on teaching the correct understanding of the Message Doctrine. (The masterpiece Africa mission trip report 2006)

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a big lie which was already proven in the exposition of these new heresies. He is going around the **globe preaching heresies**, hence the exposition of his heresies. I pity the people who sit and hear him.

Heretical Article No. 775: In Revelation 10; land and sea refers to America and Europe.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: And he had in his hand a little book open... and he set his right foot upon the sea, and his left foot on the earth, (now the sea represents people, multitudes nations and tongues, and the earth is America. And the two places where the Pillar of Fire was photographed were in America and Europe, the earth and the sea.) (The Masterpiece 120 He's Still on the Throne of Mercy April 1st, 2007 Brian Kocourek)

E.O.D.H. Answer: Produce one scripture or one quotation to back up this heresy. I challenge you. You cannot prove it. Sea, represent people etc. How can you isolate that to Europe and leave out the other nations in their billions-China, India, Africa?

Is Pastor Kocourek indicating that because the **Angel's feet** were as pillars of fire, that the two appearances of the pillar of fire in America and Europe coincide with the description of Revelation 10:1's feet? **Nonsense!** If so, he is getting like Parnell. God forbid. I don't think he is so stupid, but he must prove that by telling us how he arrived at such a heresy; for this is the only foolish idea in formulating this heresy, that he can use.

There is no scripture and no quotation of W.M. Branham to support this heresy. This is unacceptable, highly intellectual, and sick to the stomach.

Heretical Article No. 776: There is a difference between the opening of the seals and the revealing of the seals:

Quote Pastor Kocourek: When the seals were opened was not the same time they were revealed. There is a difference between opening and revealing what has been opened. (The Parables of Christ no. 15 The Throne of Mercy and Judgment January 4, 2004).

E.O.D.H. Answer: A similar heresy was exposed earlier in this book as —Heretical Article No. 741.I See page 78. However this is a different version of the same heresy. This poor deluded brother, master of the message, successor of Dr. Vayle, mighty teacher, mentor to 3000 ministers, who visited all continents that man inhabit don't know that the **opening and revealing are the same and it is the loosing** of the seals that was not revealed in the past ages. All his explanation of this heresy is to prove that the 7th seal was opened by W.M.B. but not revealed until a later date. It's a lie!

Heretical Article No. 777: The souls of the Old Testament saints were awakened first before their bodies, when Jesus cried with the loud voice.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: In Matthew 27:50 we read, Jesus, when he had cried again with a loud voice, ...the graves were opened; and **many bodies of the saints** which slept arose, 53 And came out of the graves after his resurrection...So we see their souls were awakened by the Loud Voice but their bodies did not come out of the grave, in other words, there was no resurrection until Jesus arose three days later.

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is a big heresy. When Jesus was resurrected, both his body and soul came out together. Pastor Kocourek is preaching "**soul sleeping.**" This was condemned by the prophet, and it is an Adventist doctrine. The souls of the saints do not go to sleep. So there is no reason for them being awakened before their bodies. The scriptures specified —**bodies** which slept arose, not souls. Their souls and their theophanies returned to the earth from a Heavenly dimension-paradise to be reunited with their bodies. At the events of the resurrection, translation and the rapture, this will be repeated.

Quote W.M.B.: 109 II Thessalonians tells us... "... the trumpet of God shall sound; the dead in Christ shall rise first; we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them to meet the Lord in the air."

...Therefore the theophany, if you have died and entered into that theophany, what happens? The theophany comes to the earth to pick up the redeemed body. And if you're here in the air, you take the body to meet the theophany (There you are.), and caught up and go to meet the Lord in the air. (Who is this Melchisedec 65-0221E).

Heretical Article No. 778: The Spirit that raised Jesus from the dead was in the Old Testament saints.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: ...those people then that rose up from the dead when Jesus rose from the dead?...They were Old Testament Saints. The Apostle Paul told us that if The Spirit that was in Christ be in us, It will raise us up also as it did Him? Then what spirit was in them to raise them up? What spirit was in them to quicken their mortal bodies? I tell you it was the same Spirit that raised up Jesus from the dead.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Pastor Kocourek added to the Word by saying the same Spirit of Christ was in the O.T. saints. This heresy is a **great foundational heresy** of Pastor Kocourek for other heresies about the Holy Ghost. He has reduced the Pentecostal article to the measure of the Holy Ghost allotted for the Old Testament saints. The Pentecostal article was the promise of the Father, the Kingdom of God, which men looked for since Old Testament times. John the Baptist declared it was coming as the Holy Ghost and fire, and Jesus, John and the apostles declared it's the coming Kingdom, which came on the day of Pentecost in **fulfillment of prophecy.** Jesus possessed it and the Pentecostal fathers only received it at Pentecost. Hence the Lord Jesus proclaimed that it was not yet given out. It came by the New Covenant that was also promised of the Father (Hebrews 8-9). Pastor Kocourek missed the mark.

Even theologians know and establish this fact. I am alarmed that a message minister who controls thousands of believers could preach such a heresy, insomuch that he would attribute this great Holy Ghost article that **Jesus possessed** unto Old Testament believers. They could not receive it since they were not born again. It's only for New Testament saints, who are born again (John 3:3-5). They cannot even see or understand the kingdom, much less to enter the Kingdom, which is the Holy Ghost that came on the day of Pentecost.

THE HOLY GHOST IS THE KINGDOM OF GOD

Quote: 366-452 The Holy Ghost is the Kingdom of God. (Hebrews Chapter 7 Part 2 Church Order 57-0922).

THE KINGDOM IS HOLY SPIRIT IN YOU

Quote: 67 ... the Kingdom is the Holy Spirit in you. (Proving His Word 64-0816).

KINGDOM COMES INTO HEART BY POWER OF HOLY GHOST

Quote: E-27 The **Kingdom** of God comes into the heart by the power of the **Holy Ghost**, brings God's Spirit upon anointed church to perform signs and wonders as He did, to prove that Messiah is King of His Kingdom. (The Messiah 61-0117).

KINGDOM - BAPTISM OF HOLY GHOST CAME IN POWER AT PENTECOST

Quote: 187-239 He said, "Thou art Simon, and I will give you the keys of the Kingdom of heaven... What is the Kingdom of heaven? The Holy Spirit. The Bible said... "The Kingdom of heaven is within you..." I mean, "the Kingdom of God,...Now, He said, "Some standing here will not see death until they see the Kingdom of God coming in power." Just a few days later till **Pentecost**. See?...

—...He... was crucified, died, rose the third day, was on earth forty days among men, ascended up into heaven, told them to wait till they seen the Kingdom of God come upon them; at this time the Father will restore the Kingdom in a **spiritual form** to them. They went up to the city of Jerusalem and waited there for ten days and nights, and all of a sudden, the baptism of the Holy Spirit (the **Kingdom** of God) come with power upon them. Is that right? (Questions and Answers Image Of The Beast 54-0515).

This article could have **only come to men by the glorification of Jesus Christ**, the messiah. The scripture specified this fact in St. John 7: 38-39. Jesus was not glorified in the Old Testament; therefore they could not receive it. They received an article of the Holy Ghost that the covenant provided by the blood of animals. The blood of Jesus Christ provided the grace for the early church to receive what Jesus possessed. It was the fullness of the godhead bodily that came to the early church in a collective manner.

The scripture reference used by Pastor kocourek for the resurrection of the saints **does not apply to Old Testament saints**, but only to the New Testament saints and the first resurrection. It could not have been speaking of Old Testament saints. They were not born again and Jesus was not glorified. By this heresy, he has erroneously applied it to them to prove other heresies which are about to be exposed.

The Spirit that was in Christ has to do with the measure of the Spirit that He received. God gave Him the Spirit not by measure.

St. John 3: 34 <For he whom God hath sent speaketh the words of God: for God giveth not the Spirit by measure unto him.>

John 7:38 <He that believeth on me, as the scripture hath said, out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water.

(But this spake he of the Spirit, which they that believe on him should receive: for the Holy Ghost was **not yet given**; because that Jesus was **not yet glorified.**)>

Jesus spoke of the measure of the Spirit that the early church was to receive on the day of Pentecost. It was not yet given. Therefore **nobody had it before** in that measure. It was the seal of God which **none of the prophets possessed. Only Jesus** had the seal.

John 6:27 <Labour not for the meat which perisheth, but for that meat which endureth unto everlasting life, which the Son of man shall give unto you: for him hath God the **Father sealed**.>

The prophet of God taught the same. It was a different measure even from that which they had during the middle ages. Pastor Kocourek is seeing both Old and New Testament articles as the same. It shows how shallow his knowledge is on the Holy Ghost. He was not faithfully taught, as he has boasted. Therefore he has no business

teaching others. E.O.D.H. – Book 14: —100 years of Pentecostalism Versus Pentecost exposed many heresies on the Holy Ghost. This heresy has its roots in Pentecostalism.

Quote W.M.B.: E-61 Adam had the Holy Ghost potentially. That's right. All the prophets as they was moved by the Holy Ghost. But the... Saint John said that the **Holy Ghost had not yet come**, for Jesus had not yet been glorified. That's right. (Maniac Of Gadara 54-0720A).

Heretical Article No. 779: When Jesus said that the Holy Ghost was not yet given, He meant in a way that all could receive it.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: John 7: 39 ... for the Holy Ghost was not yet given;... Now, the word given is not even in the original, so it says "the Holy Ghost was not yet". Not yet what? We are told that the Holy Ghost was not yet. We know the Holy Ghost was spoken of in the Old Testament, and we see the evidence that it was already indwelling certain individuals, but it had not yet come in a way that it was available for whomsoever will. (Masterpiece #62 Why Did God Smite Adam And Christ? P:10)

E.O.D.H. Answer: This heresy is erroneous and heretical. It conflicts with the prophet's teaching. To isolate the Lord's declaration to a greater volume of People is wrong, dumb and unscriptural. This is another lie to prove that the Old Testament saints had the Pentecostal article and the same Holy Ghost that Jesus received at Jordan. Though the cross opened the way to pour out the Spirit upon all flesh, that is not what Jesus was speaking about. He was speaking of the measure of the Spirit that was allotted for that age. That which was allotted for the Old Testament was a different measure to the day of Pentecost. It is also termed in scriptures: —The seal of God, Headstone, promise of the father, earnest inheritance, adoption etc. It is **isolated to the New Testament** saints that are born again. Jesus possessed it since he was **born by the Word**. The 120 received it because they were born again by the word before the day of Pentecost St. John 5:24.

Heretical Article No. 780: As long as you have the Word in you, you have the Holy Ghost, because the Word is Spirit and life.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: People that say that the Old Testament saints did not have the Holy Spirit don't understand what the Holy Spirit is? Jesus said in John 6:63, "My words are spirit and they are life." "In the Beginning was the Word and the Word was God," And God is Spirit and He is holy, therefore God who is the Word, is the Holy Spirit. 1 John 1:4.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Yes, the Old Testament saints had the Holy Ghost in a measure and Potentially like Adam. This heresy is expressing the **same misconception** that the Old Testament saints possessed the same article of the Holy Ghost that Jesus possessed and which the early church received on the day of Pentecost, only that it is **one with the Word**. Jesus was born the Word made flesh-St. John 1: 14; but was baptized with the Holy Ghost thirty years after. The Word is the seed. The Spirit is the water that fell on the seed on the day of Pentecost. The Word is Spirit and life but it is not the article of Pentecost. Jesus had the Word and Spirit before His holy Ghost Baptism. Hold your peace sir! It will be wisdom on your part.

Quote W.M.B.: 42-6 And when so, the Bible is manifested again like in the early days of Christ. See? 'Cause you are a predestinated seed just like Christ was; and when the rain falls on the seed, the life produces itself. When the Holy Spirit falls... When It fell on those seed that Jesus said He chose them when? Before the foundation of the world. Then they were a predestinated seed. Is that right? Then here they haul themselves up in the upper room, these seeds, the Word, and **the Word was laying on there without Life in it**. All of a sudden there came a sound from heaven as the waters begin to gush down. And it actually filled all the house where they were setting. And the seeds begin to grow. It begin to manifest itself, the Word of God being manifested. (Spoken Word Original Seed 62-0318M).

Heretical Article No. 781: The Bride is not made up of only New Testament saints.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Some even say that the Bride is only New Testament saints. I'd like to know where do they get that from?

E.O.D.H. Answer: We got that from the message of the prophet. He declared that everywhere. How readest thou? It shows that you have not been faithfully **taught**. Added to that, the prophet taught that the Old Testament saints were Jehovah's Bride; and the New Testament saints were Jesus' Bride.

THREE BRIDES: ADAM'S - CHRIST'S - GOD'S

Quote W.M.B.: 81-4 Adam's bride... never stayed with God's Word... there's the three: Adam, Christ, God...The reason that Jehovah's wife was put away, or His bride, was because that she rejected God's Word, the Prophet... The reason that Christ's bride is put away in divorcement is because that she has rejected the Word of God...They was already pregnated 'fore their husband got to them. (Spoken Word Original Seed 62-0318).

ISRAEL HAD THE RIGHTS TO BE THE BRIDE – THE BLESSING WENT TO THE GENTILE BRIDE THROUGH THE CROSS

Quote: 153-1 {239} Notice, and when Joseph, rejected by his brethren, he was given a Gentile wife... Why? Israel, having the rights to be a Bride, rejected and sold their birthrights, and went from the old son, Israel, to the new, Gentile, and the blessings went from there through the cross to the Bride. (The First Seal 63-0318).

THE NEW TESTAMENT CHURCH AS THE BRIDE OF CHRIST

Quote: 31-1 The 4th mystery, of the New Testament church as One Body, composed of both Jews and Gentiles: Ephesians 3:1-11, Romans 16:25, and also Ephesians 6:19, Colossians 4:3.

The 5th mystery is of **the church, as the Bride** of Christ: Ephesians 5:28-32. (Sirs Is This The Time 62-1230E).

A PEOPLE OUT OF THE GENTILES FOR THE BRIDE

Quote: E-84 Israel will be converted all at one time, of course. They're--they're a people. They're a nation. God deals with Israel as a nation; but a people out of the Gentiles for His Bride. (Sign Of His Coming 62-0407).

Heretical Article No. 782: A world-wide revival is now taking place.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: There is a world wide revival taking place among the bride right now, and yet most Americans who claim to believe the Message are **not even aware** of what God is doing, and not only is He doing it, but he is confirming it with signs and wonders. (Questions and Answers #18 What is the new Birth? Brian Kocourek, Pastor, September 23, 2007).

E.O.D.H. Answer: If it is, **it is mighty small**. The revival of the prophet shook the world seven times before it was over. He said the revival is over and there is no revival nowhere. The next revival is the Bride's revival.

Quote W.M.B.: 253-2 {182} **Don't think we got revivals**. We haven't. Oh, they've got millions and millions of church members, but not a revival nowhere. No, no.

The **Bride** hasn't had a **revival** yet. See? There's been no revival there, no manifestation of God to stir the Bride yet. See? We're looking for it. It will take those seven **unknown thunders** back there to wake her up again. He will send it. He promised it. I (The Third Seal 63-0320).

This has not yet taken place. It takes the seven unknown thunders to produce it, and the thunders were not revealed by W.M.B., neither has it yet been broken to the public. Pastor kocourek assumes that he is in a great revival of the seven thunders. Pastor Coleman had the same **false conception** and deceived tens of thousands of message believers. It is now dead and forgotten. The seven thunders revival comes in power and demonstration; the spoken word ministry and the new name etc. Where are those things? It's more than an airplane ride sir! God heals, saves, performs signs and wonders, but the volume promised to the Bride is far greater (St. John 14:12). Where is it? You are in a **delusion** of Satan. God promised a real Bride's revival.

ANOTHER REVIVAL – WHITE HOSRE RIDER – WE WILL RIDE THIS TRAIL AGAIN

Quote W.M.B.: 4 I was getting pretty old, and I thought, "... Will there be **another revival**, I'll see another time?" And just remember, from the west will come a white horse rider. We'll ride this trail again. That's right. Soon as we get ready. It's a promise. (God's Only Provided place of worship 65-1128).

It was so great that it attracted the unbelieving scientists (And Knoweth it Not 65-0815). All such claims of a worldwide **revival now in progress** are false and proceed from hearts that do not scripturally know what a true revival is. The prophet said that a revival signifies a move of God in power, and the world will be shook under the power of the church of the living God when her revival starts.

And if you are claiming that your travelling to all the continents of the world is the gospel being preached into all nations; you are wrong. Going around the world and holding ministers' meetings in which you propagate your heresies is not the Gospel preached unto all nations nor a true revival. Every revival **carries the Word**.

REVIVAL – A FRESH CHANCE AT THE WORD

Quote W.M.B.: 18-4 That's why each generation has its own revival, a chance at the Word. (Spoken word Is The Original Seed 62-0318M).

Anytime heresies are being propagated under the banner of a revival, it is **not a true revival**. But rather, it's the fulfillment of the prophet's vision of the **man dressed in black**, going around the world sowing seeds of discrepancy. He was a slippery, dishonest, sneaky fellow. He lied on the messenger in Genesis. Today he is doing the same, and even lying on the prophet messenger's wife, in order to make way for the sowing of his **seeds of discrepancy**.

The prophet of God said that the gospel is **not preached unless** it is backed up by signs and wonders. If you were faithfully taught you would know that you have no business on the field unless you are preaching the gospel backed up by signs and wonders, and doing the works of Christ and the greater works that are promised in the end time by a third pull ministry through the manifested sons of God. It will shake the world. What is yours' doing?

REVIVAL SHAKES THE WORLD

Quote W.M.B.: E-3 —But if all of the full Gospel people would come one heart and one accord, there would be a **revival** that would shake the whole world. And every spiritual gift would be in the Church within a hour's time after it took place. **Signs** and wonders that was done by the **apostles** would be a **minor thing** to what would be if we could just get the Church together. It's got to happen. It will happen. It may be after I've passed off the scene.! (Angel of God 48-0304).

Quote: E-62 —I believe that the church of the living God is moving into a sphere now that's going to shake the whole world... that anointing will strike the whole church of the living God, and she'll rise to her feet like a mighty marching army. The **sick will be healed by a word;** the blind will see; the deaf will hear; the dead will be raised up; and the power of God will shake the world with the church of the living God. We're in the process of it now. I believe it's soon coming to pass. I believe it will be just exactly that way. I believe it's going to strike the church of God soon, and it's going to start a **revival that'll shake the world**. I believe it.! (Speak to this mountain 59-1123).

Quote: 80 —Now, the world and nature is groaning, crying...For the manifestation of the sons of God, when true sons, born sons, filled sons speak and their word is backed.I (Adoption 60-0522E).

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES

RAPTURE, RESURRECTION STARTED - PAROUSIA

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 780 TO 785

CHAPTER TWELVE

II Peter 2:2-19 <But there were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction.

And many shall follow their pernicious ways; by reason of whom the way of truth shall be evil spoken of. And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not.

... But chiefly them that walk after the flesh in the lust of uncleanness, and despise government. Presumptuous are they, selfwilled, they are not afraid to speak evil of dignities.

... But these, as natural brute beasts, made to be taken and destroyed, speak evil of the things that they understand not; and shall utterly perish in their own corruption;

And shall receive the reward of unrighteousness, [as] they that count it pleasure to riot in the day time. Spots [they are] and blemishes, sporting themselves with their own deceivings while they feast with you;

Having eyes full of adultery, and that cannot cease from sin; beguiling unstable souls: an heart they have exercised with covetous practices; cursed children:

Which have forsaken the right way, and are gone astray, following the way of Balaam the son of Bosor, who loved the wages of unrighteousness;

But was rebuked for his iniquity: the dumb ass speaking with man's voice forbad the madness of the prophet.

These are wells without water, clouds that are carried with a tempest; to whom the mist of darkness is reserved for ever.

For when they speak great swelling words of vanity, they allure through the lusts of the flesh, through much wantonness, those that were clean escaped from them who live in error.

While they promise them liberty, they themselves are the servants of corruption: for of whom a man is overcome, of the same is he brought in bondage.>

FOUNDATION OF RAPTURE HERESIES – PAROUSLA-PRESENCE

Many heresies have their roots in the "Parousia" doctrine. Parousia simply means —Presence of Christ. The word is employed to make a simple doctrine mysterious, super-spiritual and to exalt ambitious men. It concerns 1 Thessalonians 4: 16: The descending of the Lord with a shout, voice and trumpet before the first resurrection, the changed body and the rapture.

It is simply true and evident by the written Word and message of the prophet that the Lord Himself descended from heaven at the event of the seals, His presence will be with His elected Bride and the ministry of Christ who has the Word and revelation of the message of Malachi 4: 5-6. Therefore, **not all** who use the mysterious term of —Parousial are identified with His presence nor will Christ Himself identify Himself by His presence with those who preach heresies.

To be spiritual and experience the presence of God, it is not necessary to use the word —Parousia. If message believers sit under **the truth**, be honest and sincere to God, they will experience God's presence. If they continue to humble their hearts, God will give them all that is necessary for the next outpouring of the Spirit and the rapture.

Most message ministers who preach Parousia have **never experienced the presence** of the Lord. It is evident that if a man preaches heresies, he automatically cuts himself off from the presence of the Lord. Cain rejected the revelation of the blood, and was arrogant, angry and proud when God corrected him, and he went out from the presence of the Lord. Likewise, His presence departed from Israel under Moses because of their unbelief in possessing the land. This is a type of the next outpouring of the Holy Ghost.

Pastor Kocourek has spiritualized the events before the rapture in his parousia teachings. (Pastor Kocourek's book; —Parousia - Presence of Christ.) He preaches a heresy on the actual doctrine of parousia, saying that **it is the appearing of the Lord**. The appearing of the Lord was fulfilled in the ministry of W.M. Branham. It was accompanied by signs wonders and miracles, which made the coming of the Lord known, and it was also confirmed by the sign of discernment, even like when the Lord appeared unto Abraham. Kocourek further perverted the events before the rapture, namely: the first resurrection, the changed body and the rapture itself. He is teaching that the appearing of the **Lord is in a man**, but is secretive and tightlipped about that man's identity. This heresy is bigger than any can imagine.

1 Thessalonians 4:16-17 <For the Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first.

Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord.>

Heretical Article No. 780: The Rapture is a process that began in Luther.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Notice brother Branham said the one makes a way for the other. Look, he also told us in the Rapture sermon that the Rapture is a process that **began in Luther**, which was represented in the seed coming forth from the ground. Notice under Rome the seed church the Alpha church was martyred and the seed went into the ground in martyrdom. (Masterpiece 72 What Denominates Dies July 2nd, 2006 Pastor, Brian Kocourek).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Nowhere did the prophet say that the rapture is a process, and much less that it began in Luther or in any other age, year or time. It is not a process but the aftermath of such events. **Reformation could never be rapture**. Even if you are making a type, it cannot fit. It's a dumb doctrine. The rapture **follows** a changed body. Luther's body was never changed. And this —aspect of the rapture! that you are teaching, is a heresy, superspiritual, heretical, and a perversion of simple scriptures. It is spiritually dumb. How is it that you never spoke of the rapture taking place with the **apostles** and the early church? Their souls were perfected by the Word and presence of God. They were a hairline away from the rapture; and yet for a man to say that the rapture started then, it is a heresy. That shows the infidelity invested in this heresy.

The rapture cannot take place until 1 Thessalonians 4: 16 is fulfilled. This takes place in the end time, not in Luther's day or any of the past ages. Therefore, your parousia doctrine is erroneous and heretical, and a **perversion** of the true revelation of parousia. The Roman Catholic priests spoke in Latin to deceive the masses but you speak in Greek to deceive message believers internationally. If the rapture began in Luther, then the shout, voice and trump began with him. Impossible! It's isolated to the loosing of the seals. After such events, then shall the catching away be.

Heretical Article No. 781: The resurrection has already started. We have already been resurrected spiritually.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: ...the apostle Paul said, and brother Branham taught as well, that we have already been spiritually resurrected from the dead. That is why we all do not have to die first. There is a group who will be living, and we that are alive and remain to the **Parousia** of the Lord will be changed in the moment, in the Twinkling of an eye, when the **Trumpet sounds**.

... This evening we are going to look at this **process of the body change**. We know a loud voice is a shout, therefore, I believe that the **resurrection** has already began. It is a process that happens over a period. The living are spiritually resurrected or awakened from a deep sleep, and then those that sleep in the grave. But the Living are quickened first, then the dead come **froth** in Life. (Questions and Answers #19 - What is the new Birth? Part 2 Brian Kocourek, Pastor September 29, 2007).

E.O.D.H. Answer: This is not far from the doctrine of Hymenaeus and Philetus. They preached that the **resurrection is passed**, and you preach that it has started. It's the same spirit of heresy. Their doctrine ate like a canker, and yours will **eat like a cancer**. They erred, thus you erred. It's vain babbling and ungodliness.

II Timothy 2: 16-18 <But shun profane and vain babblings: for they will increase unto more ungodliness.

And their word will eat as doth a canker: of whom is Hymenaeus and Philetus;

Who concerning the truth have erred, saying that the resurrection is past already; and overthrow the faith of some.>

The first resurrection has not started. You are not more spiritual than the prophet. If that was so, he would have declared it when the seals were opened. You lied on Paul. If he was here, he will put you into the hands of Satan that you may learn not to speak blasphemies. Brother Branham used no such statements. You lied on the man's wife, now you are lying on him everywhere in your parousia heresies. Nowhere did the prophet **spiritualize the resurrection** of 1 Thessalonians 4:16. He knew more about parousia than all the parousia heretics, and he never made such a dumb statement. This is misleading to the followers of the message and is designed of Satan to overthrow their faith, even as Hymenaeus and Philetus overthrew the faith of some of the people in their age.

And I am very familiar with the quotations of the prophet which states that —we have the potentials to be resurrected, when Christ rose we rose with Him, when we receive the Holy Spirit potentially we are resurrected and we are already sitting in heavenly places and are resurrected in him. We believe those things and preach them, and Brother Branham was correct in what he was saying. But that is in a different light and concerns the redemption of the soul. That adds up to Romans 8: 30 which said that we are already justified and glorified.

Romans 8: 29-30 <For whom he did foreknow, he also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of his Son, that he might be the firstborn among many brethren.

Moreover whom he did predestinate, them he also called: and whom he called, them he also justified: and whom he justified, them he also glorified.>

Quote W.M.B.: 22-1 Let us now notice this quickening Spirit as it come upon other people, like it did on the day of Pentecost... They knowed He'd died; they know He had risen...But here on the day of Pentecost, the Holy Spirit, the Abstract, fell upon the believers that made them part of His resurrection... The Holy Spirit came and confirmed to them that they would be raised up, because they were potentially raised then from cowards to brave men. (Easter Seal 65-0410)

Quote: 19-1 It's the same Spirit that raised Him up that quickened the true believer to Eternal Life. Now, remember, potentially now...Notice, the same Spirit... in Romans 8:11 now... But if the Spirit of him... (God, the Holy Spirit)... that raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you,...

Now, there you are: if the Bridegroom's Spirit dwells in the Bride. (Rising Of The Sun 65-0418M).

Brother Branham never connected that to 1 Thessalonians 4: 16 because that is the manifestation of what is predestinated. **Who gave you the authority** to connect 1 Thessalonians 4: 16 to that? Show me where the prophet ever did such.

That scripture is speaking of the resurrection of **the body**. You by your parousia have spiritualized the redemption of **the soul** and heretically applied it to the resurrection of the body. The prophet did not apply 1 Thessalonians 4: 16 that way, neither did Paul. Who are you? Certainly not the appearing of the Lord.

Heretical Article No. 782: The voice of the resurrection wakes the sleeping virgins.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: ...the Shout has already begun. The Lord has already descended with a Shout. And that Shout is a Message quickly gathering His people. Then the next thing is the Voice which if you will remember in the Alpha Ministry of Jesus Christ, when He cried with a Loud Voice, the graves were opened and many of the sleeping saints arose and came out of there graves after He was resurrected. Therefore the Voice, the Loud Voice is to waken the sleeping virgins as we also saw in Matthew 25, the Wise are awakened out of there sleep.

E.O.D.H. Answer: Pastor, nowhere in scriptures and the message can you prove that **the voice of the resurrection** awoke the sleeping virgins. It's a **cry** that awoke all the virgins. The prophet indicated it as the shout, and that was his message.

Quote W.M.B.: 141 The first thing come when He starts descending from the heaven, there's **a shout**. What is it? It's a Message to get the people together. A Message comes forth first. Now, "Lamp trimming time. Rise and trim your lamps." What watch was that? The seventh, not the sixth, the seventh. "**Behold the Bridegroom cometh**. Rise and trim your lamps." And they did. Some of them found they didn't even have any oil in their lamp. See? But it's lamp trimming time. It's Malachi 4 time when you come... It's Luke 17. (The Rapture 65-1204).

If that happens, it means that the **foolish virgins are going in the rapture**, because according to your doctrine, the first resurrection will begin in them, even as the wise virgins. So now, where is your theory? It's wrong, it's senseless, unscriptural and not message based. All that hear the voice of the resurrection are **sheep**, bride members and will go in the rapture, because it **transforms their souls and gets them born again**. The foolish virgins were not Bride members, born again nor baptized with the Holy Ghost, therefore they missed the rapture. This is another parousia nonsense that cannot be backed up by the scriptures.

IDENTICAL HERESIES

Heretical Article No. 783: *The Rapture is in different stages. The three stages of the Rapture are the shout, voice and trumpet.*

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Apparently you are aware that we receive our change under the Shout, the voice and trumpet which are three stages of Melchesidec #1 June 18, 1997.)

... He descends he will let forth a Shout. That is the first **faze** of the Rapture or catching away. (God's provided place of worship October 15, 1995).

...Now, we know the Rapture is already in Process because a vindicated prophet told us that the Lord does three things in His descent, a Shout, A Voice and a trumpet. And he told us the Shout is the Message... The next thing is the Voice which Brother Branham said is the Resurrection, the same voice that raised Lazarus from the Grave. And that was not the voice of the Son of God, but God that was indwelling His Son. He said Lazarus come forth and he did.

The last is the trump which is the catching away. And that is what takes us out of here. So there is a time element to the three phases of the Rapture. (Questions and Answers no. 14 Return Ministry August 19th, 2007 Brian Kocourek, Pastor).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...and the Seventh Seal, that was to be broken to the public. That was in a three-fold manner. Now you tell me if **the Rapture is not in a three fold manner**.

"Well, I don't know if I've got the right to compare it that close, but I'm just interested in knowing." I'm interested in **knowing**, if it wasn't on December 4, 1965 that the Seventh Seal was broken to the public. At least the tremendous revelation of the Rapture was part of the Seventh Seal. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3).

E.O.D.H. Answer: From the above quotations of Pastor Kocourek and Dr. Vayle, their heresies are identical. This heresy of Dr. Vayle was exposed as —Heretical Article No. 758, page 115: *The rapture is in a threefold manner*. " The coming of the Lord is in a threefold manner, but the rapture is not in a threefold manner. And the fact that we did not receive our change under the shout is evident. Here again you are falsely spiritualizing the changed body to mean the soul. The shout did not change the soul. It was the cry to announce the coming of the Lord. The voice of Christ converts the souls of the sheep by a revelation of Christ, St. John 10. The prophet said that the rapture is a revelation. We positively know that revelation was neither given to Pastor Kocourek nor Dr. Vayle, because it is locked up in the thunders which produce rapturing faith. And the thunders were not revealed to neither of them. Dr. Vayle's suggestions are wrong. Pastor Kocourek's heresy is based upon Dr. Vayle's suggestions and it is fanatical.

RAPTURE IS A REVELATION – BRIDE WAITING

Quote W.M.B.: 65 But to the church, the Bride, the rapture is a revelation to her. It's revealed to her, that the revelation, the true Bride of Christ will be waiting for that revelation of the rapture. (The Rapture 65-1204).

SEVEN THUNDERS – RAPTURING FAITH

Quote: 128-2 {75} And then there's coming forth seven mysterious thunders that's not even written at all. That's right. And I believe that through those seven thunders will be revealed in the last days in order to get the Bride together for rapturing faith; because what we got right now, we wouldn't be able to do it. There's something we've got to step farther; we can't have enough faith for Divine healing hardly. We've got to have **enough faith to be changed** in a moment and be swept up out of this earth. (The First Seal 63-0318).

All can observe **the suggestive manner** in which Dr. Vayle has presented this gross error concerning the rapture in three stages. Pastor Kocourek has spoken about the same heresy in the positive and affirmative. It shows how deluded he is in his perversion of the parousia doctrine. If Dr. Vayle has stepped down in age and is not very alert as he used to be, I think that a healthy, strong man like Pastor Kocourek should try to help Dr. Vayle, instead of turning **his suggestions into vital doctrines** and deceiving thousands around the world. Did he have the permission to publicly advertise Dr. Vayle's suggestions? I doubt it; unless it's under duress at his age.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...and the Seventh Seal, that was to be broken to the public. That was in a three-fold manner. Now you tell me if the Rapture is not in a three fold manner.

"Well, I don't know if I've got the right to compare it that close, but I'm just interested in knowing." I'm interested in knowing, if it wasn't on December 4, 1965 that the Seventh Seal was broken to the public. At least the tremendous revelation of the Rapture was part of the Seventh Seal. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3).

E.O.D.H. Answer: No Brother Vayle, the rapture is **not in a threefold manner**, you don't have a right to compare it with the 7th seal's three folds. There is no scripture nor quotation which says that. This is to pervert the message. **The coming of the Lord is in three phases,** the seventh seal is in a threefold manner, but the rapture is not. We shall be caught up to meet him in the air, period! Other scriptures are to be fulfilled prior to the rapture-the catching away, but cannot be termed folds of the rapture.

If we are interested in knowing about the seventh seal, we should obey the instructions of the prophet. He said **not to make any isms** on the seventh seal, go on and live a Christian life, be humble; don't interpret anything, go on with the plain message; and **if we would know anything** God would send it. Your suggestion is an —isml. Let us obey the prophet. Humble your heart Brother Kocourek. Make no isms of the seven thunders.

DON'T MAKE ANY "ISM"; IT ISN'T OPENED

Quote W.M.B.: 577-1 {400} And now, if this tape would happen to fall into the hands of some persons somewhere, **don't try to make any kind of an "ism"** out of it. The only thing you do, you just continue serving God, because this great secret is so great that God wouldn't even let John write it. It thundered out, but He... knowing that... promising us that it would be opened, but **to this time, it isn't opened.** (The seventh seal 63-0324E).

Quote: 459-5 {16} And so, but if the--the church here not supposed to know these things, so **don't put no interpretation** to anything. See? You just go ahead and just remember what you're told; live a Christian life. Go to your church, be a real light wherever you are, and just burn for Christ, and tell the people that how you love Him. And just let your testimony be with love all the time with the people (See?), 'cause if you don't you twist yourself out into something there, and then you're-you're off the beaten track.

See, every-time you try to do it, you've done that. See? So just **don't--don't try to make no interpretation.** And especially tonight, when that Seal becomes up in front of you. See? Just don't try to interpret it. You just go ahead and **just be humble** and go right on with the same plain message. Now you say, "Brother Branham, is that... we, being the Church of the Living God, shouldn't we..."

Well, as I was trying... Look here, I want to say... Say, "Why can't I? I ought to have..."

No, no. I... Don't... You remember, I'm saying this for your good. See, I'm saying it so that you'll understand. If you believe me now, listen to what I tell you. See, see? (QA. On The Seals 63-0324M).

Heretical Article No. 784: The rapture has already started.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: *He descends he will let forth a Shout. That is the first faze. (God's provided place of worship # 86, The Fulness. Brian Kocourek, October 15, 1995)*

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: And he put the Cloud of Angels absolutely with the Pillar of Fire that they caught in Houston-said, "the same thing"-the seven mighty messengers embodying the Holy Ghost that came with the seven delineations of the Seven Seals, and the Seventh Seal, that was to be broken to the public. That was in a three-fold manner. Now you tell me if the Rapture is not in a three fold manner.

"Well, I don't know if I've got the right to compare it that close, but I'm just interested in knowing." I'm interested in knowing, if it wasn't on December 4, 1965 that the Seventh Seal was broken to the public. At least the tremendous revelation of the Rapture was part of the Seventh Seal. (Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #3).

Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #4: P:50 We are not in the Rapture in the sense of the dead out of the ground. We are into the Rapture in one place-to the living, to the living.

Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #11: P:60 I want to tell you something, brother/sister: either this is true, or forget it. Either the prophet told us the truth and **this is the first phase**, the Seals is the Message, and this is the Capstone, this is it or else, brother/sister, I don't know what he talked about.

Lee Vayle Rapture Rapture #7 : Brother/sister, I just want to tell you again: as far as I'm concerned, the **Rapture is going on.** It's already started. People can do what they want. You say, "They're going to laugh at you." They're laughing right now. "They're going to fight you." They're doing it right now. What do I care?

E.O.D.H. Answer: This expresses how faithfully taught is Pastor Kocourek. **This is a duplicate** of Dr. Vayle's heresy. The rapture has not yet started. It does not take place over a period of time. The rapture is a catching away which takes place as **the aftermath** of the coming of the Lord.

The Rapture never even started up to the time of the death of the prophet. He said in 1965 that the Bride will be waiting on the Revelation of the rapture. Dr. Vayle said that the rapture has already started while the prophet was yet alive. Who should we believe? The prophet never preached that doctrine. You are not more spiritual than him. You are perverting the scriptures. The Bride has a **changed body before she is caught away.** That is God's order. Now show me, I demand of you, if you have any light in you, prove to me how the rapture could start before the changed body, which is in a moment's time. I can clearly say that you do not understand that the **changed body is different** from the rapture. Away with your parousia nonsense and come to the Word of God. You are deceiving people. The prophet never even hinted this nonsensical doctrine in any of his messages. You cannot show anybody that the rapture has started. To apply that to the **changing of the soul by birth** and baptism is wrong. You are misplacing the scriptures by your misinterpretation of 1 Thessalonians 4: 16.

That revelation of the rapture that the Bride is waiting for is locked up in the seven thunders, because the thunders are to produce rapturing faith.

Heretical Article No. 785: The doors of mercy are still open... when the seals were opened Jesus stepped up onto His Father's Throne and is still making intercession for our confession.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: ... they believe that Jesus came off the Throne of God and took the book and then came down with it, but that is not what happens. The Lamb did not come down with the Book open. God did. What happened here is that the lamb stood before God, and came forward to open the book, and then he gives the book to the Father Who is sitting on the Throne of God. Then when God steps off His throne and comes to earth. This is seen in 1 Thessalonians 4:13-18 and Revelations 10:1-7. At his time when he Father comes, the lamb has climbed upon the Father's throne, which is the throne of God, and stay's there until God finishes the work.

...So you see, this one who opened the book then gave the book to His Father, who then stepped down from His throne and the lamb then sat down on the Father's throne while God Himself came down to earth to place all things under the feet of His son. (The Masterpiece 120 He's Still on the Throne of Mercy April 1st, 2007 Brian Kocourek)

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Notice that **the doors of mercy are still open**. Therefore when the seals were opened Jesus did not step off the mercy seat. He stepped up onto His Father's Throne of Mercy and is still making intercession for our confession. (The Parables of Christ no. 15 The Throne of Mercy and Judgment January 4, 2004 Rev. Brian Kocourek).

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: But, let me tell you factually; the Son was placed upon the Mercy Seat behind the throne. And, when the Book was called to be opened from the hand of the One that sat upon the throne, which was Almighty God Himself with a rainbow over His head, it was **the Lamb that came and took the Book** out of the hand of the One that was sitting on the throne, (Two people are there.) and he opened the Book, opened the Seals, and handed it back to the One on the throne. And the prophet told us by revelation the **One on the throne got off** and came down here, and the **Son got on the throne**. (Lee Vayle, Godhead #8 - Q&A God Is in His Word, Key #3: Having the Petition You Desire March 5, 200077).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Both Dr. Vayle and Pastor Kocourek agree on the same heresy. There is no scripture nor quotation to support this. I challenge them both to prove this heresy. Yes, it is true that the door of mercy is still open but their interpretation of it is wrong.

This heresy seeks to justify the fact that the Blood of Christ's atonement is yet effective to sinners, on the basis that since 1963 the bloody Lamb occupied the vacant seat of God to continue His mediatorial work. Another version of the same heresy states that He returned to the mercy seat to continue His mediatorial work after He opened the 7 seals.

This heresy is saying that the Lamb left the mercy seat, came and took the Book, loosed or revealed the Seals, and at the same time, the One who was sitting on the throne descended from heaven and came down to earth according to 1 Thessalonians 4:16, and then the Lamb sat upon the throne of God, making intercession for us.

This heresy expresses gross scriptural ignorance upon the subject of **the atonement** and its simple plan evidently documented in the Scriptures of the Old and New Testament. God has only **one ordained place** within His temple, where the Blood of atonement is accepted for the salvation of sinners, and that is upon the mercy seat behind the second veil in the holiest place. The Old Testament temple was patterned after the one in heaven. Christ Jesus, the lamb entered once into the heavenly temple within the holiest place and offered his own blood upon God's mercy seat for the redemption of sinners. If Christ enters twice to make intercession, He is **reduced to the Aaronic High Priest** and is not the Melchisedec priest. Thus this heresy is a lie that has belittled the great Melchisedec priesthood of endless life.

Hebrews 9: 12 <Neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by his own blood he entered in once into the holy place, having obtained eternal redemption for us.>

It's the only place in heaven that intercession by the Blood of Christ can take place. (**Hebrews Chapters 8** and 9) Thus I emphatically state that this heresy has no scriptural nor message foundation. It has broken all the types and shadows of the old Jewish temple set up by the command of God through Moses. It has totally disregarded the revelation of Paul the apostle in the book of Hebrews where he taught of the heavenly temple and the holiest place where Christ entered to perform His mediatorial work as a High Priest after the order of Melchisedec.

There is **absolutely no scripture** nor quotation of Brother Branham that even suggests, and far less to prove that the Lamb ascended the judgment throne of God after He opened the seven seal book.

In opposition to this heresy Brother Branham taught that when the Lamb takes the Book and opens the seals it's time for Him to **claim the purchased possession,** —the Elected Bridel because His intercessory days are over.

Quote W.M.B.: —[John] never noticed [the Lamb] before. Why? He had been back there doing His mediatoral work, for He was bleeding - intercessing for the people until the last soul that was put on the Lamb's book of Life before the foundation of the world come in. So then when that last soul comes in, then the time of redemption is finished. Then the Lamb comes forth to claim His rights to what he has redeemed." (Seals pg. 233-234)

Quote W.M.B.: —After [the Lamb] had been slain, It had raised again and was sitting on the Throne, way back in **behind the Throne** like this interceding for all of those souls that would come. Then when the last one was here and it was completed, God still held the book of redemption." (Seals page 234)

REVELATION CHAPT. 4 – JUDGMENT THRONE

Quote W.M.B.: —Let's speak of this throne now for a few minutes. This was not a throne of mercy's, mercy throne is finished. No more mercy, its without mercy... The white throne judgment is there going to be mercy then? There is not one speck of mercy to be given. At the judgment throne you could scream—mercyl till you couldn't scream no more, cause there's no more mercyl. (Revelation Chapter 4, part 3 - Throne of Mercy and Judgment, 61-0108, par. 91)

Quote W.M.B.: -- I want you to notice that this was not a throne of grace no more... There the blood had

been gone... The blood was off the mercy seat. And it was now a judgment seat, because thunders and lightening issued off of it. Is that right? (Page 662 - Revelation chapter 4, part 2, Twenty Four Elders, 61-0101, par. 207)

In essence, this damnable heresy is saying that the lamb continues to do his mediatorial on the throne of God, which is a **judgment throne**. Scriptures speak of only one place within the temple of God; that is upon the mercy seat within the second veil called the holiest. Thus the throne of God (*Revelation 4*) is not a place of intercession. It's a throne of judgment at the event of the seals. God could not turn it into a throne of mercy. The bloody lamb had left the altar of sacrifice. Pastor Kocourek has no knowledge of what the prophet taught about sinners yet being saved and Christ sitting at the right hand of God doing the mediatorial work in 1964. And in contrast says while the seals were being loosed that the lamb took the book after intercession was over. The seals were opened in 1963, thus this heresy was formulated. He will never understand until he humbles in his heart. This is another parousia heresy that proclaims that the Lamb ascended the judgment throne to intercede, while God's presence is saving the elect.

INTERCESSION TAKES PLACE BEHIND THE THRONE

Quote W.M.B.: —And now, we found out that a slain lamb is a bloody lamb, bleeding all over. It's been slayed. After It'd been slain, It'd raised again and was setting on the throne, **way back in behind the throne** like this, interceding for all those souls that would come. Then when the last one was here and it was completed, the... God still held the Book of Redemption. See? Now, He's just doing the Kinsman work now... Now, notice, but then after it was all over, after the--His--His interceding was done, He comes forth, takes the Book out of the hand of Him.! (Seals, 63-0320, page 234)

CHRIST DOING MEDIATORIAL WORK IN 1964

Quote: —He's setting at the right hand of God tonight doing His mediatorial work. And when that last soul is saved that was redeemed and seen and knowed by God before the foundation of the world, when that last soul's saved, that closes the Book. Then the Lamb comes out and takes the Book out of the right hand of Him that sets upon the throne. Christ Is Identified The Same, 64-0415, par.E-5)

I believe that the door of mercy is yet open until the last soul is saved like the prophet stated above, but do not believe that He is upon God's judgment throne interceding for those souls. It's a heresy and gross perversion of the plan of salvation.

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES - GODHEAD

HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 786 TO 791

CHAPTER THIRTEEN

INTRODUCTION

Pastor Kocourek published a booklet entitled: —The Godheadl in July 1994. The contents were brought to our notice after the year 2000. After careful study of this book, several heresies were identified. Around that time he became a subscriber of E.O.D.H. and was one who displayed a humble attitude. Having good communications and discussing heresies from different parts of the world, I considered him as a friend, a brother and minister of the message. Knowing his connection with Dr. Vayle, I respected him even more because of **Dr. Vayle's humble attitude** and sincere remarks concerning errors injected into the CAB that one of our editors discussed with him. I was mindful **not to identify** Pastor Kocourek with his Godhead heresies, when I exposed them with others that were sent to me from overseas in 2003. This was published on E.O.D.H. book 9, page 107. In the same manner, I published, without identification, certain heresies of Dr. Vayle based on the seventh seal/seven thunders, which were

repeated in this book, but formerly published in E.O.D.H. book 13. A little article was also published on a similar heresy on book 12, page 89. This included Dr. Vayle's name, with utmost love and respect. This brought about a discourse between Pastor Kocourek and myself in 2008, which resulted in the writing of this book, which I hope will be of edification to many around the world.

KOCOUREK EXPOSED VAYLE

In the process of our discourse Pastor Kocourek exposed Dr. Vayle and his heresies to the world. It is evident from the above facts that I never sought to publicly expose Dr. Vayle nor his successor Kocourek. When he went to the internet for international support and to make a name for himself, by destroying the influence of E.O.D.H., I was forced to also publicly respond to his vicious attacks. I believe that his foolish action will turn to be a blessing to many, as it will bring deliverance from heresies.

EFFORT TO EXPOSE ONENESS HERESY - BLUNDERS

The outline on the subject of the Godhead by Pastor Kocourek sought to establish the truth concerning the Father in relation to his son Jesus Christ, and to differentiate that revelation from —Trinitarianisml and the —Onenessl and —Jesus onlyl conceptions of God. I respected his **efforts** since the majority of message ministers internationally hold to the concept of the —Onenessl doctrine, thinking that they preach the Godhead as Brother Branham declared it, but have missed the true revelation of the Godhead. However, Pastor Kocourek ignorantly made some **terrible blunders** in trying to teach the revelation of the Godhead in the same manner in which Brother Branham preached it. This forms the basis of this exposition. His name must now be identified with his Godhead heresies since he has formulated, preached and published many other heresies that are very detrimental to message believers, and by extension, all people. He refused to be corrected, and has manifested a very unpleasant attitude.

People who **don't have the revelation** of the Godhead cannot understand the revelation of the son of man; Luke 17:30, the descending of the Lord; 1 Thessalonians 4:16, Revelation 10:1-4, the appearing and the second coming of Christ. They cannot be born again and receive the next outpouring of the Holy Spirit to qualify them for the rapture.

IF CAN'T SEE GODHEAD, CAN'T SEE THE REST OF THE TRUTH

Quote W.M.B.: 309-1 If people can't see the TRUTH of the Godhead, but fight it; they can't ever see the rest of the truth because the REVELATION IS JESUS CHRIST IN HIS CHURCH AND HIS WORKS IN THE MIDST OF THE

Did you get that? Now I am sure you understand. (Philadelphian Church Age

CHURCH FOR THE SEVEN AGES. Church Age Book Cpt.8).

Pastor Kocourek by his heresies of the Godhead has proven not to have a revelation of the same and fits the above statement precisely; thus his lack of comprehension on simple subjects such as the new birth, baptism of the Holy Ghost, 1 Thessalonians 4:16, seven thunders etc. This lack of understanding causes him to formulate many heresies, which are detrimental to message believers.

KOCOUREK AND DR. VAYLE - GODHEAD

After studying the teachings of Dr. Vayle and Kocourek, comparing heresy to heresy, assessing the differences of attitudes, humility and other attributes, it is evident that Pastor Kocourek believes differently on certain subjects from Dr. Vayle. Several of their heresies compare exactly. Though he claims that the prophet was Dr. Vayle's mentor, and Dr. Vayle was his mentor, it is absolutely **a fallacy.** This was only advertised to the public to **foster his own ministry** by using the influence and popularity of Dr. Vayle. This has been my projection throughout this work. If he doesn't believe like Dr. Vayle, why —break his neck to defend Dr. Vayle?

Teachings in which he differed with Dr. Vayle, are: —Adam's sin was not that he took back his wife; Revelation 10: 7 was not completed, and seemingly that the tent vision is literal. These teachings though **opposite to each other** are published on the same website. It is evident that there are great doctrinal conflicts in their ministries. Nevertheless, Kocourek's defence was formulated to prove that I am against Dr. Vayle and is very disrespectful to him. Also that I am anti-message, anti-Branham, and in essence anti-christ and that I am of the devil.

KOCOUREK'S HERESIES

It is evident by the teachings of Dr. Vayle and the prophet that Kocourek does not believe on the subject of the **Godhead like him.** His Godhead heresies are based upon the Trinitarian concept of God. Though he is not a Trinitarian, oneness nor Jesus only, he has formulated a new version in the name of the true revelation of the Godhead. The Trinitarians believe as follow:

- A. God birthed an eternal son named Jesus.
- **B.** Jesus is the second person in the Godhead.
- C. Jesus is co-equal in power with His Father and the Holy Ghost.
- **D.** Jesus, who existed in spirit form, was made flesh by Mary the mother of God.

Kocourek:

- A. God birthed Jesus His son in spirit form as the light of Genesis 1: 3.
- **B.** Jesus in spirit form is not co-equal in power with His Father. His office is secondary.
- **C.** Jesus the spirit son was made flesh by the virgin birth. He became God when the Holy Ghost indwelt Him at Jordan.
- The first light in Genesis was Jesus the son of God.
- God birthed his son Jesus in Genesis, in the beginning.
- The beginning is in Genesis 1: 1-3 when God birthed Jesus the son of God.
- In the beginning two beings are involved; one who is God and the son of God who is not God.
- God was not even in Jesus until he was baptized in the Jordan River.
- The one (Christ), spoken of in Colossians one, and who was with God in the beginning, was not God.

I will use some of Dr. Vayle's teachings to expose Pastor Kocourek's since his Godhead teachings in general are similar to what the prophet taught. Dr. Vayle constantly referred to the teachings of Brother Branham to support his Godhead teachings. His teachings are not based on the Trinitarian concept like Kocourek's. He emphasized John 1: 1, and emphatically stated that the Logos was very God in another form, later manifested as the son of God, Jesus Christ.

DR. VAYLE TEACHINGS - GODHEAD

- **T**he Logos was in existence before there even was an atom.
- John 1: 1 The Logos is God.
- The Logos was the expression of God.
- The Logos was with God, but there are not two Gods.
- There cannot be any identification of God apart from the Logos.

QUOTE DR. LEE VAYLE

"The Only-begotten Son was literally birthed by God when there was nothing there but God." (Godhead Q&A #2)

"...there was **no creation** whatsoever **until the Light formed**, which means God gave birth to that Son." (Godhead 1994 P:21)

"...the Logos was with God;"...and It was God. Rhema Logos-Jehovah-Elohim-one God, right in the Greek." (Godhead #1 P:96)

"... But if Logos is actually God, how can God be with God? There are not two Gods, only one God." (Godhead #10 P:63)

"...Logos is the expression of God. The substance containing the life or reality, and that's what Logos is." (Godhead #7 P:46)

"...So therefore, we have something in the beginning with which God is identified, and is actually of God, and must be so much a part of God that there cannot be any identification of God apart from whatever is there, which is called 'Logos,' so that God Himself is known as 'The Logos.'" (Godhead #12 P:15)

We highly compliment Dr. Vayle. We never knew that he had such understanding on the Godhead and we are assured and more confident of his sincerity, honesty, love for God and salvation.

We will let Dr. Vayle refute the heresies of Kocourek, on this subject, by his scriptural statements, along with those of W. M. Branham.

EXPOSITION IN LOVE

I wish to expose these heresies in the same spirit of love and understanding in which I exposed them in 2003. I do not think that Pastor Kocourek meant to be wrong or to **formulate heresies** when he wrote that book on the subject of the Godhead. I only change my approach to correction when people refuse to be admonished. May Brother Kocourek find grace to understand that his doctrine is wrong on the Godhead, though he himself was trying to expose the oneness heresy around the message, even as I have done since 1968. The prophet himself denounced that doctrine throughout his ministry. That heresy is based upon the idea that —God and His son Jesus are the self-same person, and the son is the incarnation of the Father.

ONENESS – JESUS ONLY SPIRIT - DOGMATIC

Quote W.M.B.: E-96 There's only one God. And I different with the organization of Pentecost that calls the Oneness like your finger is one. That's wrong. Absolutely, it's wrong. God... Jesus couldn't have been His Own Father, and if God is a Man, then Jesus was born sexual desire and not virgin birth. That settles the whole thing. You see? If He's one like your finger's one, then what? Then He was His Own daddy. How could He have been? That's wrong. He had a Father, Jesus did. (Show Us The Father 53-0907A).

Quote: E-71 And some people say, "He's a 'Jesus Only,"' **You're mistaken** there. I wouldn't have that **kind of a spirit on me**. There that dogmatic, ungodly thing that... No, sir. I'm not Oneness. Not at all. I'm not trinity either. I'm a Christian. I believe in God. I believe in God manifested in three offices. Now His office is in my heart, in your heart. Not another God somewhere else; another God somewhere else; another God somewhere else. That's as pagan as pagan can be. Never one time was that even thought of until the Nicean Council. Find it in the Bible, or find it in history--till that time. It's not there. (Abraham's Covenant Confirmed 61-0318).

Pastor Kocourek's attitude to this exposition of his Godhead heresies will prove whether he has any honesty, sincerity and humility, because his heresies were infallibly disproved by the written Word and quotations of the prophet in this very first heresy that I am about to expose from his book, which **annuls all his other teachings** on the subject. I emphatically declare, by the written Word of God and the message of the prophet, that Jesus was not co-existant with God in spirit form, but the Word was God-John 1: 1. He was made flesh: John 1: 14 by the virgin birth and given the name Jesus. Even if the prophet referred to him as the son of the spirit, yet that was not Jesus but the very expression of God, the Word was God, the Logos. This will be the basis of the following exposition.

Heretical Article No. 786: The first light created in Genesis was Jesus the son of God.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Now, in verse 3 we read And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. We see in the very beginning God birthed Himself a Son... Therefore, we can see that this first **Light in Genesis 1:3** is the Son of God. (The Godhead.) And God said, Let there be light: and there was light...And God called the light Day...This light is not the s.u.n because we see the sun spoken of in verse 14... I believe according to what brother Branham taught us, it speaks of the SON of God. (The Godhead.)

E.O.D.H. Answer: The prophet **never taught** this error, he spoke of another light at another time. This gross error is the basis of Kocourek's heresy formulated on the subject of the Godhead. It is a heresy to say that the light of Genesis 1:3 was at the beginning. It was not. It was on the first day of God's week of creation-Genesis 1:4-5. The prophet said that the beginning when God created the heavens and the earth might have been a hundred trillion years before Genesis creation.

Genesis 1: 4-5 <And God saw the light, that it was good: and God divided the light from the darkness. And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening **and the morning were the first day.**>

The first light created in Genesis 1:3, was **not Jesus the son of God.** This is also a mammoth heresy, which will be exposed immediately after the one above. That light was specially created by God to divide the 1000 years days of creation upon the earth. Such day, was from evening to morning-Genesis 1: 5. The creation of that light took place on **the first day** of creation on earth. **Not at the beginning** of Gen 1:1. The prophet placed it a hundred trillion years before that first day.

Quote W.M.B. He might've been a **hundred trillion years** making it... But then the creation begin to spring up now in the **other time**, when He begin^{II}. (Countdown 62-0909M).

God created the earth in six days and rested on the seventh day. The creation of the two great lights-sun and moon on the fourth day divided the 24 hours day. The scripture is silent about that first created light, since the creation was completed by God. God created that light because darkness covered the deep. Both kinds of lights, created in Genesis, were to divide days. The first divided the thousand years day of God, and the second the 24 hours day of man.

Genesis 1: 2-3 <And the earth was without form, and void; and **darkness** was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. And God said, Let there be light: and there was light.>

SIX DAYS - 6000 YEARS GOD BUILT WORLD

Quote W.M.B.: E-33 In six thousand years, God built the world. Seven thousand is a type of the millennium, His rest... As God labored and built the world in six days, six thousands years (which we know the Scripture speaks that a day is a thousand years in heaven). (Fellowship 60-0611B).

Quote: 10-4 Satan won it, and he took it over. And he's had six thousand years to build up his Eden, as God had six thousand years to bring His Eden to a close. (Satan's Eden 65-0829).

II Peter 3:8 <But, beloved, be not ignorant of this one thing, that one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day.>

I emphatically state that the light of Genesis 1:3 was not the Lord Jesus Christ, the son of God. It was not the Logos of John 1:1-3. The Logos was formed before all creation. Dr. Vayle taught likewise. Kocourek is wrong!

THERE WAS NO CREATION UNTIL THE LIGHT WAS FORMED

Quote Dr. Vayle: Now, the Bible distinctly says that God, by Christ Jesus, created all things...And It's not talking about the ages. It's talking about the absolute, sheer creation...But there was **no creation whatsoever until the Light formed**, which means God gave birth to that Son. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead 1994 P:21)

Heretical Article No. 787: God birthed His son Jesus in Genesis, in the beginning.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: ... What then is this first Light we see in Genesis. From the Message "QA ON

GENESIS 53-0729 010 he said, "Out of God came the Logos, which was the Son of God;

E.O.D.H. Answer: Jesus was birthed by Mary, after she conceived by the Holy Ghost; God the father – Matthew 1:18. That was approximately 2000 years ago, and not 6000 years ago in Genesis. The scriptures declared Him to be the only begotten son of God who came to save mankind, by shedding His blood at Calvary.

Pastor Kocourek by his gross misunderstanding of what the prophet taught concerning the Word or the Logos, which came into being in the beginning, **confused this existence with Jesus** the son of God. Jesus never **co-existed** with the Father. He only came into existence on earth when He was born from Mary. He was both humanity and divinity, since he was **one with God** here on earth. He was the Word-Logos about one hundred trillion years, before that time.-John 1:1-Genesis 1:1, Colossians chapter 1. Let Dr. Vayle teach his student.

LIGHT OR SON OF GOD FORMED BEFORE THERE WAS NOTHING BUT GOD

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: Now you go back to Genesis, and see if you find Adam being born. He wasn't. The Only-begotten Son was literally **birthed by God when there was nothing there but God.** So when, as Bro. Branham said, "The Light-or Son of God formed" he was telling us of the begetting, as It says in Scripture. (Godhead Q&A #2)

Quote Dr. Vayle: So when God said, "I want to have a Son," what came forth? A light formed, and it formed from God Himself, because nothing else was there to form from. (Godhead #8 P:43)

Heretical Article No. 788: The beginning was in Genesis when God birthed Jesus the son of God.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: *We see in the very beginning God birthed Himself a Son... ...Therefore, we can see that this first Light in Genesis 1:3 is the Son of God. (The Godhead.)*

E.O.D.H. Answer: Again, this is the great error that Pastor Kocourek made in his explanation of the Godhead. **He isolated the beginning to** the beginning of the creation upon the earth. He failed to recognize that there was a beginning before that time when God created principalities and powers, angels of God, Seraphims and Cherubims. This includes Lucifer and his angels and all things that were created before the creation of the things on earth. If Pastor Kocourek would deny this, then he would have to tell us when did the war in heaven between Michael and His angels and the dragon and his angels take place, and also other spiritual activities.

Revelation 12:7-9 <And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, And prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him.>

Ezekiel 28:13-15 <Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God; every precious stone was thy covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper, the sapphire, the emerald, and the carbuncle, and gold: the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created. Thou [art] the anointed cherub that covereth; and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God; thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee.>

Isaiah 14:12-15 <How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! [how] art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.>

Job 38: 4-7 <Where wast thou when I laid the foundations of the earth? declare, if thou hast understanding. Who hath laid the measures thereof, if thou knowest? or who hath stretched the line upon it? Whereupon are the foundations thereof fastened? or who laid the corner stone thereof; When the morning stars sang together, and all the sons of God shouted for joy?>

Quote W.M.B.: 140Job said... "Where was you when I laid the foundations of the world, when the morning stars sang together and the sons of God shouted for joy?" millions of years before the foundation of the world. Amen. Whew. That's right. (Witnesses 53-0405E).

It is a total impossibility to fit such activities in the Genesis six-day creation. The Heavens and the earth were created at that beginning. This is when the Logos, Word, which means thought and expression, came into manifestation. The Logos, Christ, the Word evolved out of God. He was **not birthed** in the sense of a separate individual secondary to God, as that would make the Logos the second person in the Godhead. Jesus was not in existence at the **beginning or the beginning** of God's creation in Genesis, but only came into existence when God created Him in the womb of Mary and was born Jesus the son of God. The Word-Logos was only made flesh in John 1:1-14. Before that time it was very God in spirit form. God was manifested in the flesh-1 Timothy 3:16. The Trinitarian heresy is that God had a spirit son named Jesus and he later was made flesh. Kocourek has the same idea. No! It was God made flesh. In Genesis, He was only represented as the Tree of Life.

The prophet said this beginning might have been a hundred trillion years before creation in the other time. **That is how far Kocourek missed** the revelation of the Godhead. Therefore the beginning that speaks of the Logos is not on the first day of creation, but St. John 1:1-3, Colossians 1:14-19 and Genesis 1: 1. All three scriptures speak of the same event.

GENESIS 1:1 BEGINNING 100 TRILLION YEARS

Quote W.M.B.: 26 Someone was discussing it with me sometime ago, on one of my meetings. I was speaking on the evolution of man, and said he was only six thousand years old. And this man said, "Well, Brother Branham, we can prove that the world is millions of years old. So the thing that you're talking about, you're all wrong."

I said, "Don't you believe the Bible?"... "The Bible's never wrong, never wrong." He said, "And God made that world in six days..." I said, "Now, the Bible **didn't say that.** See, you just thought it said it." I said, "Let's just go back now to settle your argument. The 1st chapter of Genesis, said, 'In the beginning God created the heavens and earth,' period. How long He was doing it, I don't know. He didn't tell us. But, 'In the beginning God created the heavens and earth,' period. Then, 'And the world was out of form.'" That's when God started to use it. See?

... God made the world. He might've been a **hundred trillion years** making it; I don't know how long He was, but He made it. And He didn't say how long He was, and it's none of our business how long it was. He just said, "In the beginning God created the heavens and earth," period. That settles it. That's all of it. How long He was doing it, that's... But then **the creation begin to spring up now in the other time,** when He begin. (Countdown 62-0909M).

LOGOS BEFORE THERE WAS AN ATOM

Quote: 15-28 ...the next thing we begin to see, by eyes of supernatural looking, we see a little white Light forming out there. What is that? That was called, by Bible readers, "Logos," or "the anointed," or "the anointing,"... That was the Word of God. Now, God gave Himself **birth to this Son which was before there was even an atom** in the--or air to make an atom. (QA. On Genesis 53-0729).

Heretical Article No. 789: In the beginning two beings are involved; one who is God and the son of God who is not God.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: ...Brother Branham tells us that when God birthed forth Jesus, there were two beings involved. One who is God and One who is the Son of God.

...It is apparent that the scripture speaks of One who was with God in the beginning, who was not God (The Godhead.)

E.O.D.H. Answer: The beginning in reference here is yet a gross error. It refers to when God created in Genesis 1:3, when the correct beginning should be St. John 1:1, when the son of the Spirit, the Word, the Logos evolved out of Him. This is evident by the same chapter which stated that **all things were made by Him.**

John 1: 1-3 < In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.

The same was in the beginning with God.

All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made.>

Later, after that beginning and the creation of light on the first day in Genesis, Jesus Christ became the beginning of the creation of God, the only begotten son of God, John 3:16, the firstborn among many brethren - Romans 8:29; Church age page 336.

Pastor Kocourek has grossly misinterpreted St. John 1:1 by misplacing the two beginnings, and by extension **confusing the son of the Spirit with Jesus the only begotten son** of the Father, who came as the woman's seed, thus this is a heresy. While it is true to say that God and His begotten son were dual in nature and God was God, but His son was not God, in **reference to Jesus** as the son of God. **It is unscriptural** to apply the same to God and the son of His Spirit, the Logos-Word of Colossians one, who is the expressed image of the person of the Godhead; God in another form. This is proven by the Word, the prophet and even Dr. Vayle, in the following statements:

Hebrews 1:3 <Who being the **brightness** of his glory, and the **express image of his person**, and upholding all things by the word of his power, when he had by himself purged our sins, sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high.>

THE LOGOS RHEMA WAS GOD

Quote Dr. Vayle: Rhema and Logos are interchangeable..."In the beginning was the Logos, and the **Logos** was with God;" that tells you very flat, that the full manifestation was in living intercourse with God, and **It was God**. Rhema Logos-Jehovah-Elohim-one God, right in the Greek. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #1 P:96)

ELOHIM IS LITERALLY LOGOS

Quote Dr. Vayle: But if Logos is actually God, how can God be with God? There are not two Gods, only one God. So let us see how this can work. Well, notice: #1. God is originally defined as Elohim, not Logos. He is merely the self-existent One completely known as that; but also being Logos by nature lying within Him. But He hasn't done anything about it yet. Now He begins to do it. #2. He now is Jehovah-Elohim, the Self-existent One with a relationship within Him to those attributes, or thoughts, that are within Him but not yet displayed.

So having the ability and being Logos, **Elohim Logos, now He is literally Logos;** and He lays it out first, it's all there, picks it up, puts it together, gathers together, moves in on it. If He is Jehovah-Elohim, He's now embarked upon being truly Logos. He's got a relationship to what is within Him as those parts. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #10 P:63).

LOGOS - ALL OF GOD

Quote W.M.B.: E-55 ... as He begin to move it in close to the sun, it begin to thaw out. Then God commissioned the Holy Spirit. The first Person introduced is God: God, in the beginning was God, in Genesis.

And then the next is introduced, is the Holy Spirit or the **Logos**, which went out of God, yet **it was all of God** went out into a Person. (Impersonation Of Christianity 57-0120M).

Quote: 77 He was neither Jew nor Gentile. He was God, the Logos, the Word that went out of God. (Seed Shall Not Be Heir 65-0429B).

ALL OF GOD BECOMING HIS FIRST BODY FORM - LOGOS

Quote: E-15 And now, the Bible teaches us that the Logos went out of God, or I might say, all of... God becoming His first body form when the Logos went out of God. Let's look what It looked like. No one has seen the Father at any time, nowhere, never did and never will. (Pillar Of Fire 53-0509).

IT WAS THE LOGOS WHO WAS THE SPIRIT OF GOD THAT BROOD ON THE WATERS

Quote W.M.B.: 79 When He begin to brood upon the earth, and brought forth marine life... When the Spirit of God, the **Logos, the Word, which God** said, "let there be," and there went the Logos, which is the Word... And as the Logos begin to breathe upon the earth, there come marine life, and building up to bird life, on up to animal life. And finally there come something in the representation, or looked like the thing that was brooding over it: **God, a man in the very image of God.** (Seed Shall Not Be Heir 65-0429B).

Please note that Kocourek's heresies establish that the light, Word or Logos was created in Genesis 1: 3. The prophet said just the opposite. **The Word, Logos was doing the creating,** and had already created the heavens and the earth (Genesis 1: 1), besides principalities and powers and all things that were created before that time.

Colossians 1: 13, 15-17 < Who hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of his dear Son:

... Who is the **image of the invisible God**, the firstborn of every creature:...>

For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him:

And **he is before all things**, and by him all things consist.>

That reference to God's son, coincides with St. John chapter one but does not blend in with scriptures which are pertinent to St. John 3:16; God's begotten son as the sacrificed lamb. Both John and Paul in the above scriptures had a revelation of Christ. They were referring to the first beginning and attributed Godhood to the son of His Spirit. They kept that revelation separate and blended the same with the only begotten son of God-Jesus. They declared that the Word-Logos was very God at the beginning. The prophet W.M.B. declared him as very God of that same beginning, and all three declared Him as both God and the begotten son of God **after He was born** on earth by the immaculate conception through his mother, Mary.

LOGOS- WORD-ANOINTING BEFORE ALL THINGS

Quote W.M.B.: 15-26 Now, "No man has seen the Father at anytime." No man can see God in the bodily form, because God is not in body form; God is a Spirit. See?

...Now, but notice now, there's nothing; there's just space. There's no light; there's no dark; **there's no nothing;** it's just seems nothing. But in there is a great supernatural Being, Jehovah God, Who covered all space of all places at all times. He was from everlasting from everlasting; He is the beginning of creation. That's God. Can't see nothing, can't hear nothing, not a move of an atom in the air, not nothing, not no air, no nothing, but yet God was there. That was God.

... Then after while I begin to see a little sacred Light begin to form, like a little halo or something;

...we see a little white Light forming out there. What is that? That was called, by Bible readers, "Logos," or "the anointed," or "the anointing," or the--as I was going to say, the part of God begin to develop into something so human beings could have some type of an idea what It was: it was...a little Light moving... That was the Word of God. (QA On Genesis 53-0729).

THE WORD WAS WITH GOD – JEHOVAH ELOHIM IS THE WORD

Quote Dr. Vayle: Last night we also looked at Jn 1:1, "In the beginning was the word, and the word was with God, and the word was God." Now you **cannot for one minute deny** the way the Bible is written. We read: "In the beginning was the Word," and then we leave out the peripheral: "and the Word was with God." So we now read it: "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was God." Thus God, Jehovah Elohim, is the Word.

So therefore, we have something in the beginning with which God is identified, and is actually of God, and must be so much a part of God that there **cannot be any identification of God apart** from whatever is there, which is called 'Logos,' so that **God Himself is known as 'The Logos**.' (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #12 P:15)

Heretical Article No. 790: God was not even in Jesus until he was baptized in the Jordan River.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Brother Branham speaks of God not even being in Jesus until He was baptized in the Jordan River.

PARADOX -- 64-0206.1M, 282 And this little Boy, twelve-year-old Child, no wisdom at all, why, but just a twelve-year-old Boy. The Father didn't dwell in Him at that time; because He come on the day when He baptized Him, "He saw the Spirit of God coming down, see, and went in Him." But, look, this little twelve-year-old Boy, being the Word; He was born the anointed One, see, to be the anointed.

23 Notice Brother Branham tells us that the Father did not yet indwell Jesus at this time. He tells us that God entered the Son at the river Jordan when he was baptized. (The Godhead).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Pastor Kocourek has misquoted the prophet. **He did not say** that God was not in Jesus, but the Father did not dwell in Him at that time at 12 years of age. He had the Spirit, wisdom and grace of God before God indwelt Him at Jordan. Luke speaking of Jesus said:

Luke 2:40 <And the child grew, and waxed strong in spirit, filled with wisdom: and the grace of God was upon him.>

God dwelt in Him by His Word-Logos-Spirit. In the very quotation above, W.M.B. said, —being the Word; He was born the anointed One, see, to be the anointed. His statement blends with that of the angels at Jesus' birth.

Luke 2:11 <For unto you is born this day in the city of David a Saviour, which is Christ the Lord. >

He was born Christ the Lord. Capital L-o-r-d is translated _Elohim'. The one that created the heavens and the earth is born today in a manger, born Christ the Lord.

The following scripture verses blend with the teaching of the prophet that Jesus was born the Christ.

St. John 1: 1,14 <In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.

...And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father,) full of grace and truth.>

Jesus Christ was born the Word of God and the Word is God. The Word was made flesh, which means that the Word who is God was hiding in his son, Jesus Christ.

Isaiah 9:6 <For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given: and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace.>

Isaiah 7:14 <Therefore the Lord himself shall give you a sign; Behold, a virgin shall conceive, and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel.

Matthew 1:22-23 <Now all this was done, that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet, saying,

Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel, which being interpreted is, **God with us**.>

The prophet based his revelation on the above scriptures and declared that Jesus' birth was a super sign, —Jehovah God crying on a manure pile. God was crying. Jehovah God became man. He played like a baby but he was **Emmanuel**.

Quote W.M.B.: 61 But He said, "I'll give you a sign, a super sign, an everlasting sign. A virgin shall conceive and bear a Child."

...He had to select a place that this child would be born... And the sign was told to the shepherds, "You'll find Him in the stable, wrapped in swaddling's cloth." That's the super sign, borned over a manure pile... Jehovah God became man, took on our stock, crossed Himself from God, and become man. There's the sign...

... When that first little toothless mouth opened in that manger, on that first Christmas morning, in His little manger crib, and the first little yell that went from His voice, **that was God crying**. Jehovah crying: a man. Come from God; and was man, every whit, man.

...He cried like a baby in the manger. He played like a boy, on the street. He toiled like a man, but yet He **was Immanuel.** This is the super Sign: God dwelling in the creation that He created. (Super Sign 59-1227M).

Quote: 141 And that great **Creator became** my Saviour, come down to a **little blood cell**, not through a man, but come virgin to a woman; and took this little pollen from the woman, and formed Himself a little house and lived in it. Oh, it ought to be striking. Jehovah, **Jehovah over a pile** of manure in a barn, crying: Jehovah in a manger of straw. That's an everlasting sign... **Jehovah, God, a crying baby** (Hallelujah.) in a stinking stable.

...Jehovah laying in a stable, over a pile of manure, crying like any little baby.

... God said, "I'll give you an everlasting sign." That's a real sign: **Jehovah, playing as a boy**. Jehovah, Jehovah working in a workshop, sawing wood like a carpenter. Hallelujah. My, oh, my. Jehovah washing the feet of fishermen. "I'll give you a sign." (Smyrnaean Church Age 60-1206).

Quote: 197 God came to the earth in the form of Man, and unfolded Himself, stretched His tent, from being Jehovah God to become Man to stand the shame and curse. Little **Jehovah God in a manger**, under a pile of manure, down there crying in a manger. (Greater Than Solomon 63-0628E).

God indwelling Jesus at Jordan did not make Him God nor the messiah. The Father indwelt Him in the power of the Holy Ghost and endued him with power in order to begin His ministry.

Luke 4: 1, 14 < And Jesus being full of the Holy Ghost returned from Jordan, and was led by the Spirit into the wilderness,

...And Jesus returned in the power of the Spirit into Galilee: and there went out a fame of him through all the region round about.>

Heretical Article No. 791: The one (Christ), spoken of in Colossians one, and who was with God in the beginning, was not God.

Quote Pastor Kocourek: Now, this duality of being did not begin when He was in the flesh. We see in the very beginning God birthed Himself a Son as we have **already established** and then God worked in that Son to create all things.

...It is apparent that the scripture speaks of **One who was with God in the beginning, who was not God** but was a companion of God whom God brought forth. In Colossians 1: 16 - 17 we read of Christ that," For by Him were all things created that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones or dominions or principalities, or powers: all things were created by Him and for Him: And He is before all thing, and by Him all things consist. " So we see here this One Who is not God, yet it was God through this One, Who created all things. We see also in John 1:3 we are told that all things were created By Him

...In COLOSSIANS 1: 15 - 19 we read,... "Who is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of every creature: For by him were all things created...What we have here is God bringing forth a Son and through this Son God performing all His creative acts. Yet this Son was not God, but the Son of God. He was not the Father, but He came forth in the image of the Father.

BEGINNING - LOGOS FIRST VISIBLE FORM OF GOD

E.O.D.H. Answer: To say that Christ in the beginning, in John 1:1 and Colossians one, was not God, is absolutely wrong erroneous and heretical. To reduce him to the son of God who is not God makes him a secondary God. **This is the foundation** upon which the **Trinitarian heresy** was formulated. Christ, the Word, the Logos which is the thought and expression of God was very God in a different form. The prophet painted a clear picture of this great mystery. He said the Logos was the **first visible form of God**, since he was previously invisible. This is the greatest mistake of Kocourek in formulating this heresy of the son of God, saying he was not God in the beginning. He misplaced the beginning. Upon this misinterpretation of Colossians one, he has formulated most of his Godhead heresies. This is speaking of the first beginning, when time begun, when the world stepped out of eternity into time. This was before anything was made, when the Word was God and was with God.

GENESIS 1:3 - CREATION ON EARTH

Genesis 1:3 was the beginning of creation on earth when God created light by the spoken word to divide the thousand years day. This has to do with the **creation of things upon earth.** This beginning was entirely different from the first. Colossians chapter one accounts for the creation of all things, at the beginning, visible and invisible, principalities and powers. Hence there is no account of such creation in Genesis. This is the scripture that the prophet used, dovetailing it with John 1:1-3, to paint his mental picture of the Logos coming out of God. He declared it to be **very God in His first visible expression.** The Logos was the fullness of the Word and attributes of God. This expression was identified by the prophet as the Logos and also the son of the Spirit, but it was very God. Moses was permitted to see the back part of God in this form. This was also the image of the invisible God as declared in Colossians one. Thus, God patterned man after **His own image**. It says in the image of God created he him, not the image of a secondary God or the son of God, but in His own image. **This proves** that God and His image are one. Hence it is stated that we are also in the image of God's son without any contradiction of scriptures. He is the Word, Logos, thought and expression of God. Hence none of these accounts spoke about the back part of God's son or the image of His son but specified God's back part and image.

JESUS NON-EXISTENT

The son of God; Jesus, was non-existent at this time. He only existed in the eternal thoughts of God as the lamb slain from the foundation of the world (Revelation 13:8). Four thousand years after God's creation in Genesis, He was born by the spoken word of God. The predestinated lamb, Jesus, was manifested. He was one with the Logos at His birth; hence the angel referred to Him as Christ the Lord. He was called —Emmanuel, God with us. I The only reason that Jesus was exalted to that position of God is invested in the fact that He became **one with the Logos**. If that Logos in the beginning was not God, then we had **two sons of God**, the second by Mary. But since the son of the Spirit was very God, God declared Jesus as His only begotten son. Heaven and Earth embraced and kissed each other.

CREATION NOT BY JESUS

God created the world, not by Jesus. That's a heresy, for He himself was a creation (Revelation 3:14). He was the first born among many brethren, but God created the world by the son of His Spirit. Colossians one bears record of this mysterious act when it stated that Christ created the world. Since we do not have two creators, God and His son, it is evident that the Logos, Word, son of the Spirit, image of God and the first visible expression of God was very God.

Colossians 1: 15-19 *<Who is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of every creature:*

For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him:

And he is before all things, and by him all things consist.

And he is the head of the body, the church: who is the beginning, the firstborn from the dead; that in all things he might have the preeminence.

For it pleased the Father that in him should all fulness dwell.>

LOGOS IS THE EXPRESSION OF GOD

Quote Dr. Vayle: So this is direct Old Testament. But Logos, in the Greek, New Testament, is just the same. God, Who is Word, becomes living or manifested God, according to exactly how and what God said He was. In the beginning was the Logos, the God who said exactly what He was and is exactly what He is, which is the Strong One Bound by an Oath, this Word, this One, is God, and He is the Logos. So He's a Logos or a God-Word. He's Omnipotent Word. Absolutely He is.

...So God, Who is Word, becomes living or manifested God according to exactly how and what He said He was. So Logos is the expression of reality. "I am the reality, the truth, the light." Logos is the expression of God. The substance containing the life or reality, and that's what Logos is. (Lee Vayle Godhead Godhead #7 P:46)

GOD BEFORE CREATION

Quote W.M.B.: 15-26 Now, "No man has seen the Father at anytime." No man can see God in the bodily form, because God is not in body form; God is a Spirit. See?

...Now, but notice now, there's nothing; there's just space. There's no light; there's no dark; **there's no nothing;** it's just seems nothing. But in there is a **great supernatural Being, Jehovah God,** Who covered all space of all places at all times. He was from everlasting from everlasting; He is the beginning of creation. That's God. Can't see nothing, can't hear nothing, not a move of an atom in the air, not nothing, not no air, no nothing, but yet God was there. That was God.

...Then after while I begin to see a little sacred Light begin to form, like a little halo or something;

...we see a little white Light forming out there. What is that? That was called, by Bible readers, "Logos," or "the anointed," or "the anointing," or the--as I was going to say, the part of God begin to develop into something so human beings could have some type of an idea what It was: it was...a little Light moving... That was the Word of God. (QA On Genesis 53-0729).

LOGOS - GOD MADE INTO WORD

Quote: E-22 Let's close our eyes to our imaginations for a few moments and go way back...-'fore there was anything. The great fountain of all eternity was that spirit of love, joy, that spirit of honesty, that spirit of trueness in this perfection. And then out of the existence of the Father went the **Logos which was the Son**, which was the theophany, which was the body of the great Jehovah God went forth in a celestial Body. That's the Logos.

The Word spoke out of them great Fountains of Life and went forth. And there was the **theophany**, which was God made into Word. (Life 57-0602).

LOGOS MADE FLESH

Quote: 54-121 All the power in heaven, all the power in earth has been given unto Me." What was it? Man and God had united. **The Logos had been made flesh**, and had been killed, and rose again for our justification, and was then the anointed Emmanuel forever and forever. God changed His dwelling place from a throne in the spaces yonder to the heart of His Son Christ Jesus, to live and reign forever. God was in Christ; He's the final resting place of the Spirit. (Hebrews Chapter 2 Part 2 57-0825E).

Quote: 142-132 God in the beginning was Spirit. And then from God went out the Logos, or the Theophany which was a form of a man called the Son of God (prefigured). He came in earth in a body of flesh, even before He came in Jesus Christ...when Moses saw Him... he said it was the back part of a man. That was that Theophany. (Hebrews Chapter 4 57-0901E).

We will now turn our attention to Dr. Vayle's heresies on the seven thunders, which include the tent vision. This will prove that Pastor Kocourek believes entirely different from his mentor on the tent vision. This shows that he was not faithfully taught by Dr. Vayle.

HERESIES OF DR. LEE VAYLE'S 1969 MESSAGE: "THE 7 SEALS AND THE 7 THUNDERS" HERETICAL ARTICLES NOS. 792 TO 797

We now have the proof that Dr. Vayle was one of the first preachers who ventured to interpret the 7th seal/7 thunders. All others, according to our previous knowledge, dated back to 1973 or thereafter. The C.A.B. was in circulation from December 1965. Knowing what he stated on C.A.B. page 327, he knew that he **owed the people an explanation** and had to identify where the prophet preached the thunders before his departure. If his revelation was true, why did he only come to that knowledge in 1969? He would have gone to the prophet, being so close to him, in writing the C.A.B., and asked about the revealing of the thunders. Why wait until 1969, after his death? The approach of Dr. Vayle to the subject of the 7 thunders was very humble and honest. He spoke in suggestive terms on this and other subjects. That is evident by his own words in the following paragraphs:

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...Don't let him get in my way of saying what I believe is right... I have no vindication... If I've taught one thing wrong... then you don't listen... I believe... to the best of my knowledge, and I've done the best I can tonight. If you see a flaw, I'll be glad to hear what the flaw is. I don't pretend I got it all down exactly... and I don't pretend it is thus saith the Lord. I can't tell you that. But I have done my best.

...Now Lord tonight I pray, if there be some little discrepancy in this message tonight, we both know Lord, you and I, that I did my best... Lord I would really want to see the truth. And I hope Lord that I am right... if that I've said one thing wrong, it won't **affect the people** of God. (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

Dr. Vayle further stated that the half hour silence of the 7th seal —*from the time of the tent vision in 1955 to 1976, which takes you into the millennium before or by* **1977**. The passing of time proved this teaching to be erroneous and he was humble enough to later admit that he doesn't know what the tent vision means.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: Now, Bro. Branham comes back, or when he comes back... How he comes back, with what he comes back, doesn't interest me in the sense **I don't know what that vision of his meant, with the tent**; and he never did tell us; and it's his vision not mine, so I'm not responsible. (The Presence, The Kingdom Journey 07 Feb 1999).

DR. VAYLE WRONG IN HIS DOCTRINE - RIGHT IN HIS SPIRIT

This shows that Dr. Vayle, though wrong in his doctrine, is right in his spirit. The right spirit will cause a Christian to repent when he or she realizes their error.

Pastor Robert Lee Lambert, the Revivalist and first Martyr for the message of Malachi 4: 5-6, told me something that kept me on the middle line. He loved Dr. Vayle dearly and said, —Dr. Vayle is a member of the Bride. This has proven itself when on 2nd November 2008, Dr. Vayle came to the pulpit and repented for wrong doctrines that he had taught, and it was published on the net before the whole world.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: "Tonight it's going to be pretty chopped up, because I'm going to look at three things that I made a mistake in...

...Now, first of all we start with the correction, and the correction comes from Revelation 10: 1. And in there, there is where the mighty Angel comes down with a rainbow above his head, his one foot on land and one on sea and he cries with a loud voice saying, "Time shall be no longer." Now, **I made a mistake on that** because when Brother Branham said that the 7th seal is Revelation 10: 1-7, I somehow tried to compress, and did compress in my own mind and my teaching: if Revelation 10: 1-7 was actually **Brother Branham's ministry** here on earth; that is **from the time of 1933 to 1965** because that's where those major visions came in, and then Brother Branham passed away.

So, you have to **pardon me for that "Boo, Boo**."that was, looking as I said, Revelation 10: 1-7 as the ministry of Brother Branham. Well, **it is not**. It is the 7th seal and the 7th seal opens under the ministry of Brother Branham."

May the good Lord bless Dr. Vayle, and may he depart in peace at the appointed time that God has for him. God never led me to denounce him as a heretic and one who is seeking a special office. He confirmed the same by his testimony on his November 2nd message:

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: "... if I were to live another thirty years...it would be the same way: **no thought of leadership** or no thought of being **any authority** or no thought of having something that someone else doesn't have. It's just a brother and sister attitude. That's all I've ever had... My purpose is only to tell people exactly what I see.

...So, long as we have this on tape and people know where I'm coming from, that I have no ambition; never have had. To be of service? Yes. To be helpful to Brother Branham? Absolutely, and to be helpful to you, and also to anyone because God gives the sun and the rain on the just and the unjust."

I truly believe that the contents of this discourse was not exposed to Dr. Vayle, and if so, not in their true manner or he would repent. He has proven himself to be a man of such honour. I also believe that Pastor Kocourek has a space of repentance. I have not placed him at the white throne Judgment, nor in Hebrews 6: 4-6, but have denounced his unscriptural teachings. May the Lord bless him with eyesalve that he may see.

Heretical Article No. 792: If the tent vision is a literal vision, it cannot fit in the Word.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: Brother Branham said, ... the vision of the tent with the three pull, slid in the Word. I don't see any Word for there for a woman, any prayer line taking up prayer cards; and any woman talking to people... To me if that were a literal tent and that was literal women, then Brother Branham booed when he said women can't preach or exercise authority. If that was a literal vision it couldn't have slid in the Word as far as I can see. I said far as I can see. I might be wrong. God's gonna have to help me get this ironed out... Where do you find that sliding in the Word? (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

E.O.D.H. Answer: The prophet looked for a literal tent unto the end of his life. He never spiritualized it by losing faith in God's promise. All three pulls were literal; therefore the tent was literal because the tent was the venue for the third pull manifestation in the fullness of its power.

Quote W.M.B.: 6-1 ... the third pull has now been vindicated... Now, it's in existence... it's just happened, so it could identify its presence among you. See? But it will not be used in a great way, until this council begins to tighten up... when the squeeze comes down, then you'll see what you have seen temporarily, be manifested in the fullness of its power. (Look Away To Jesus 63-1229E).

The tent vision is a part of the phases of the prophet's ministry, in type of three pulls. Yes Dr. Vayle, it was proven in the Word by the message entitled: —Souls that are in prison now.

Quote W.M.B.: 41-3 Jesus' ministry consisted of three pulls.

...the First was healing the sick, the Second was rebuking their organizations and prophesying what they had done, what they were, and what was coming... But His Third Pull was when He preached to the lost that couldn't be saved no more... Preached to the souls in hell that did not accept mercy, but were eternally separated from the Presence of God.

...Noah's ministry, **all ministries done the same**... Noah preached... He went into the ark, and when he went into the ark, there was seven days that nothing happened. His testimony preached to the doomed... Sodom and Gomorrah, Jesus referred to both them as coming 'fore the coming of the Son of man, so shall it be like the days of Noah, so shall it be in like it was in the days of Sodom.

He referred to Noah. Noah had Three Pulls, and his third was to the lost after the door was shut. For God let him set right there where nobody could enter or go out.

... In the days of Sodom, the First Pull was to righteous Lot. And the Bible said, "The sins of Sodom vexed his righteous soul daily,"...

...Then He sent another messengers, two of them, and they went down. That was His Second Pull for Lot-for Sodom.

...But that last messenger preached to the doomed. He went down there. The Scripture doesn't say what happened, but the fire fell the next morning. That's right. (Souls In Prison Now 63-1110M).

Mark 11:23 verifies the speak the word ministry.

<For verily I say unto you, That whosoever shall say unto this mountain, Be thou removed, and be thou cast into the sea; and shall not doubt in his heart, but shall believe that those things which he saith shall come to pass; he shall have whatsoever he saith.>

There is no scripture to support this heresy. Yes Dr. Vayle, you are wrong.

Heretical Article No. 793: *The tent vision is the symbol of the* 7th *seal and the interpretation; a part of the thunders.*

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: So what's the tent vision? As far as I know, it's the similar 7^{th} seal, because the 7^{th} seal didn't even had a symbol, and yet it was there... So the vision of the tent to me, it's the symbol of the seventh seal and the interpretation. (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

... To me, the 7th seal was a sign, of which the tent was the symbol, and the interpretation was the revealed Word to and in the Bride... This is part of that thunder. (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

E.O.D.H. Answer: The prophet of God never even indicated such thing about the 7th seal. This is just speculation by Dr. Vayle. His humble declaration is unique to this blunder. All should appreciate the honesty of Brother Vayle. Yes Dr. Vayle, your theory is wrong.

Heretical Article No. 794: The tent vision is a symbol of the 7th seal, so the half hour silence is a space of twenty one years, from the time of the tent vision in 1955 to 1976, which takes you into the millennium before or by 1977.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: The space of about an half an hour... taking a day as a 1000 years... if you take thirty minutes and divide one thousand... you will get...exactly twenty-one days...When did Brother Branham get the vision of the tent? Nineteen hundred and fifty five... If the tent vision is the symbol of the 7th seal, and the half hour from heaven is the complete 7th seal... So going back to the tent and starting in fifty five, add 21 years and you'll get nineteen hundred and seventy six, and he said by seventy seven it is all over. The space of about a half an hour is absolutely... from the opening of the 7th seal starting with the symbol, which takes you into the millennium.... before or by seventy seven... (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

E.O.D.H. Answer: I am sure that Dr. Vayle realized his error by this time, since his mathematical calculation was wrong, since 1977 came and went. It missed it by decades.

Heretical Article No. 795: The symbol interpreted was "My people are forgiven. Those who follow me and this message have their sins blotted out." It's a great mystery.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... marriage and divorce was not preached as a carnal, human precept. It was absolutely preached in a symbol of Christ and the Bride... and he came back with the symbol interpreted, which was: "My people are forgiven. Those who follow me and this message have their sins blotted out"... he came back with the great mystery: "You have been forgiven." For what? For your adultery, Why? Because you've been turned, and now you are no longer married to the old system, which is death. He's dead and gone, like he said in Shreveport, now you can come and be joined in the marriage supper of the Lamb. (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

E.O.D.H. Answer: The prophet of God never applied it that way in any of his messages. Though it may be a type, it should not become a heresy. Forgiveness of sins for the church is not a mystery any longer. Jesus, on the cross, said, —It is finished. If that was true, the prophet was spiritual enough to declare it to the church. Forgiveness of sins that seems impossible is not a great mystery. God did that before. He said to the adulterous woman: —Neither do I condemn you, go and sin no more. I According to the Law, she was supposed to die. People in the Tabernacle and around the message; their forgiveness of sins, concerning marriage and divorce, seemed impossible. But God's mercy pardoned them.

Heretical Article No. 796: Marriage and divorce was the symbol of the 7th seal/7 thunders. The symbol of the 7th seal/7 thunders was preached in a symbol of Christ and the Bride when the prophet preached marriage and divorce. The symbol was interpreted when the prophet preached invisible union of the Bride.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ...he said those 7 thunders faded and they went into something which he couldn't interpret. Now, what it is **he can't interpret**? A mystery. A symbol. It went to a symbol, and he couldn't interpret it that time. He went back to Tucson and when he came back in 1965 in February, he preached marriage and divorce in a symbol.... the invisible union and marriage and divorce is one and the same; and he came back with the symbol interpreted, which was: "My people are forgiven. (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

I believe the 7 seals, first of all the seven... thunders was what? The seven seal... Then came what? The symbol: marriage and divorce... (The 7 Seals And The 7 Thunders 1969).

E.O.D.H. Answer: Nowhere did the prophet ever taught or indicate that marriage and divorce was the symbol of the 7th seal which he could not interpret, with the symbol interpreted when he preached —Invisible union. What was a **mystery to the prophet** was how and when Christ would come. Neither of these messages declared this great revelation.

Quote W.M.B.: 17-3 Jesus, when He was on earth, they wanted to know when He would come. He said, "... Even the Son Himself don't know when it's going to happen." See, God has this all to Himself. It's a secret. And that's the reason there was silence in heaven for a space of a half hour. And Seven Thunders uttered their voices, and John was even forbidden to write it (See?)--the coming of the Lord. That's one thing He hasn't revealed yet, of how He will come, and when He will come. (Christ Is The Mystery 63-0728).

Heretical Article No. 797: No preacher has any vindication. The prophet had it all.

Quote Dr. Lee Vayle: ... I have no vindication, and no Pastor and no preacher... any vindication, the prophet had it all.

E.O.D.H. Answer: It is complimentary of Dr. Vayle to give such a humble and honest confession concerning his ministry, but this does not hold true for all men outside of the prophet of God. The prophet said that the Gospel is not truly preached unless it is backed up by the vindication of signs and wonders. Therefore the vindication is not only for the prophet but for all who preach the gospel, and furthermore to all true believers. (St. John 14: 12).

Mark 16: 15-17 < And he said unto them, Go ye into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature.

.And these **signs shall follow them that believe**; In my name shall they cast out devils; they shall speak with new tongues.>

The signs and wonders were promised to all true believers until the end of the world.

Quote W.M.B.: E-27 Jesus said, after He was risen from the dead, He said..., "The things that I do, shall you do also." That would be a mark of the people, believers. And we seen what He done.

Then He said, "I'll be with you, even to the end of the world." And the first commission He ever give to mankind when He was here on earth... You know what it was? Matthew 10: "Heal the sick, cleanse the leper, raise the dead, cast out devils. Freely as you receive, freely give."

Is that right? First commission... The last commission: "Go ye into all the world, preach the Gospel to every creature. He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved. He that believeth not shall be damned, and these (plural) signs (plural) shall follow them (plural) that believe." Is that right?

"In My Name they shall," go to church and put... "in My Name they shall cast out devils; they'll speak with new tongues; if they take up serpents, or drink deadly things, it shall not harm them; if they lay their hands on the sick, they shall recover." Is that right?

And He was received up into heaven and the disciples went forth preaching everywhere, the Lord working with them, confirming the Word with signs following. (Living Jesus Christ 53-0906E).

Quote: E-67 ... the last words that He said when He was leaving the earth...He led His disciples out as far as Bethany, and He blessed them. And He said, "Go ye into all the world (That was Chicago too, wasn't it?) and preach the Gospel to every creature. He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved. He that believeth not shall be damned. And these signs shall follow them that believe to the end of the world." How long?... To the end of the apostles? To the end of the world. "In My Name they shall cast out evil spirits, speak with new tongues. If they would take up deadly thing, or a serpent, it wouldn't harm them. And if they lay their hands on the sick, they shall recover." That's what He said. (Book Of Exodus 55-1006E).

The opening of the seals was the third pull, to bring the full Word into manifestation, which must be vindicated in its full strength in the Bride, when she comes into that third pull ministry of speak the Word.

Quote W.M.B.: 100 ... at the opening of the Seven Seals, Revelations 10, the full Word is to be borned into manifestation again and vindicated by the Spirit of God in the full strength, as It was when It was here on earth, manifested in the same way, doing the same things that It did when It was here on earth. Amen. Hebrews 13:8 said Jesus Christ is the same yesterday, today, and forever. (Seed Not Heir With Shuck 65-0218).

AVAILABLE BOOKS FROM US AND OUR DISTRIBUTORS

Book One: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies Bars of interpretations

Book Two: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies Mamba Snake At the Jordan

Book Three: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies False anointed ones

Book Four: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies Five Major Doctrinal Divisions- Message Believers

Book Five: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies seventh Seal/Seven Thunders Two schools of thoughts

Book Six: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies - Holiness message standards, False thunders failed.

Book Seven: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies Twenty-First Century Harvest of Souls versus Unbelief

Book Eight: - Exposition of Damnable Heresies 8 Identifications - 7 Thunders Ministry Vs Impersonators

Book Nine: —Exposition of Damnable Heresies – —Questions And Answers – Controvrsies-7th Seal/7 thunders/Godhead/Deity & Resurrection Heresy

Book Ten: —Exposition of Damnable Heresies – —Shepherds, Hirelings, Goats, Wolves And The Sheep - Questions And Answers

Book Eleven: —Exposition of Damnable Heresies – —7 Seals Related Heresies: What, Who, Why & When? Vs The True Revelation - Questions And Answers.

Book Twelve: —Exposition of Damnable Heresies —7 Thunders Heresies Vs The Real Program of God

Book Thirteen: —Exposition of Damnable Heresies – —7 Thunders Questions & Answers & More – E.O.D.H. Challenged

Book Fourteen: —Exposition of Damnable Heresies – —The Final Outpouring Of The Holy Ghost For Rapture Powerl

Book Fifteen: Pentecostalism, 100 years, Versus Pentecost - The Final Outpouring Of The Holy Ghost.

Book Sixteen: - Pentecostalism Under The Message Vs The Joshua Generation.

Book Seventeen: -Final Preparation For Final Qutpouring & Rapture.

"The First Martyr for the Message of Malachi. 4:5-6" The Story of Robert Lee Lambert

Please direct all comments and questions to:

Bethel (The House of God) Calcutta Road No.2 P.O. Box 238, Freeport, Trinidad. W. I. Fax: - 1 868 636 0454 /Tel: 1 868 679 3281 E-mail: <u>Mountainspring @hotmail.com</u>Or <u>Shalom10@tstt.net.tt.</u>

> You can visit our websites for more information: <u>www.bethelthehouseofgod.net</u> <u>www.geocities.com/bethel_thehouseofgod</u>

For additional copies of this or other booklets make request to "Bethel" or our distributors:

Grace Covenant Church 999 Randolph Road Middletown Connecticut 06457

> Message Literature Distributor Hehner Str. 42B 41069 Monchengladbach Germany Tel: 011 49-21 61-66 39 58 Fax: 011 49-21 61-66 39 59

Bethel 312 Determa Street Mc Kenzie Linden Guyana Tel/Fax: 592-444-2388